

Transcript of Day 6

Tuesday, June 24, 2025

OSHA Heat Injury and Illness Prevention Hearing

www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) Scheduling@TP.One

Reference Number: 155609

	00001
2	
3	
4	
5	OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA)
6	
7	
8	
9	OSHA'S INFORMAL RULEMAKING HEARING
10	FOR HEAT INJURY AND ILLNESS PREVENTION IN OUTDOOR AND
11	INDOOR WORK SETTINGS
12	
13	Day 6 of 12
14	Tuesday, June 24, 2025
15	9:30 a.m.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	PARTICIPANTS
2	
3	PRESIDING:
4	STEVEN BELL, Administrative Law Judge, Office
5	of Administrative Law Judges, United states
6	Department of Labor
7	OSHA PANEL:
8	ADRIANA LOPEZ-MENENDEZ
9	ANDREW LEVINSON
10	DALTON MOORE
11	TIFFANY DEFOE
12	BRENDA FINTER
13	JONATHAN BEARR
14	DANIELLE WATSON
15	JASON HAMMER
16	ZOE PETROPOULOS
17	RACHEL CARSE
18	JOO-HYUNG SHIN
19	PATRICIA DOWNS
20	OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR OF LABOR:
21	LINDA WILES
22	JENNIFER LEVIN

1	PARTICIPANTS, IN ORDER OF TESTIMONY:	
2	COMPOSITE PANEL ASSOCIATION	
3	Connie Klinkam	11
4	NATIONAL MARINE MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION	(NMMA)
5	Dustin Hollis	25
6	VIRGINIA SHIP REPAIR ASSOCIATION	
7	Lawrence Ryan	36
8	LBT, INC	
9	Jim Nelson	58
10	DRYCLEANING & LAUNDRY INSTITUTE	
11	John Meijer	75
12	NATIONAL APARTMENT ASSOCIATION & NATIONAL	
13	MULTIFAMILY HOUSING COUNCIL	
14	Nicole Upano	93
15	IAAPA, THE GLOBAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE	
16	ATTRACTIONS INDUSTRY	
17	Keith Stephenson	109
18	AMERICAN SHORT LINE AND REGIONAL RAILROAD	
19	ASSOCIATION (ASLRRA)	
20	Jo Strang	129
21	FARMRAIL SYSTEM	
22	Eric Betke	144

1	INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SHEET METAL, AIR,	
2	RAIL, AND TRANSPORTATION WORKERS (SMART) -	
3	TRANSPORTATION DIVISION (SMART-TD)	
4	Jared Cassity 153	}
5	EDISON ELECTRIC INSTITUTE	
6	Al Payton 174	Ŀ
7	INTERNATIONAL SAFETY EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATION	
8	Daniel Glucksman 204	Ŀ
9	Lexi Engelbart 215	
10	Kayla Stevens 216)
11	Saif Islam 217	,
12	AMERICAN ROAD & TRANSPORTATION BUILDERS	
13	ASSOCIATION	
14	Prianka Sharma 230)
15	Meg Rietschlin 231	-
16	Bradley Sant 235)
17	CONN MACIEL CAREY'S (CMC) EMPLOYERS HEAT ILLNESS	
18	PREVENTION RULEMAKING COALITION	
19	Khris Hamlin 272	2
20	KITCHEN CABINET MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION	
21	Betsy Natz 285	5
22	Manesh Rath 286	5



1	
2	ALSO PRESENT:
3	MARIAM CARLON, ABT Global
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	



_	_	_		_	_	_	_			
Р	₽	\cap	\sim	F	F	ת	Т	M	α	S

JUDGE BELL: This is an informal public hearing on the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's proposed rule for Heat Illness and Injury Prevention in Outdoor and Indoor Work Settings. The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the Federal Register on August 30, 2024, in volume 89 of the Federal Register, beginning at 70,698.

I'm Steve Bell, the Administrative Law Judge from the U.S. Department of Labor, who will be presiding over the hearing today. The purpose of the hearing is to receive, from interested parties, oral testimony, as well as other information pertinent to the proposed rule. After this hearing and after the post-hearing comment period have closed, OSHA will review the entire record in determining the content of the final rule.

My role as presiding judge will be limited to conducting this hearing to assure that a complete and accurate record has been made and that all interested parties have received a fair hearing and have had an opportunity to present their information. The hearing schedule and OSHA's procedures governing this hearing

are available on the website for this hearing,

www.osha.gov/heat-exposure/rulemaking. These

documents were sent to people and organizations who

filed a timely notice of intention to appear at this

hearing.

A few words about the nature of the hearing.

Despite the informal nature of the hearing, it is governed by rules, both OSHA's rules governing public hearings, which can be found at 29 CFR part 1911, and the hearing procedures issued, which are specific to this rulemaking. These rules are meant to assure that everyone has a fair opportunity to speak and express an opinion about the proposed rule. To that end, they also allow me to hold witnesses to their allotted time, to limit undue repetition or excessive argument, and to generally try to keep the hearing on schedule.

Any written comments you have submitted to the docket are already part of the record for this rulemaking. In the rare case where witnesses wish to provide other documents that have not already been entered into the docket, they should provide them by email to OSHAevents_dsg@dol.gov before the witness

begins their testimony, so that they can be entered as exhibits in the record.

part of the record, your oral testimony should concentrate on presenting the highlights of your written comments or clarifying your written submission. Hearing participants may also submit additional evidence or statements for a period of 90 days after the close of this hearing, which will be September 30th, 2025. At that point, the record for the rulemaking will close.

Today, each speaker or panel of speakers, after they've gotten done with their oral testimony, OSHA representatives will have the opportunity to ask questions for that speaker or that panel. When OSHA has finished asking their questions, there will be an opportunity for others -- as time permits, for persons who filed the appropriate paperwork in order to be able to ask questions of that witness.

This is the process I intend to follow. After OSHA has finished asking questions of a witness or panel, I will ask participants who wish to ask

questions of the witness to identify themselves by pressing the raise hand button if you're in the Webex meeting, or by pressing star three on their phones, for those who've called in by telephone.

Based on the hearing schedule and the number of participants who wish to ask questions, I'll determine the order in which participants will question the particular witness or panel and any time restrictions that may apply to that questioning. If there are more questions than we have time for today, it may be possible to ask additional questions after the conclusion of the final witness's testimony.

Further, if witnesses are unable to answer questions during today's hearing or would like to expand on the answers that they've provided, they're welcome to use the post-hearing comment period to submit such additional information.

I would also like to remind you that this proceeding is being recorded and transcribed by a court reporter. To ensure that the reporter is able to provide an accurate record of all testimony and all the questions and responses, please try to remember to

provide oral, verbal responses to all questions. The court reporter may have a hard time seeing if you only nod or shake your head in response to a question. And I'll try to prompt you to -- to provide an oral response.

In addition, please remember to identify yourself before beginning your testimony and before asking or answering a question. And do not worry. I know many participants are not accustomed to doing these things, and I'll do my best to remind you as we go along. The transcript of the hearing will be uploaded to the hearing docket on regulations.gov approximately two weeks following the hearing.

Unless there are further announcements or other housekeeping matters, I believe we are ready to begin with public testimony. The -- the expected speaking order is currently displayed on the screen. Our contractor will introduce each speaker in turn and promote them to panelist. When you are called to testify, please state your name and affiliation for the record. Speak slowly and clearly so that our court reporter can record these proceedings accurately. With

all of that out of the way, I think we're ready to begin.

MS. CARLON: The first speaker will be Connie

Klinkam. Please state your name and affiliation for

the record.

MS. KLINKAM: Hello, my name is Connie Klinkam and I will be presenting comments for the Composite Panel Association. I want to thank you for the opportunity to share the perspectives of CPA on the OSHA heat proposal.

CPA was founded in 1960 and is a trade association representing more than 95 percent of the North American manufacturing capacity of composite wood products.

This includes particleboard, medium density fiberboard (MDF), and hardboard. CPA members also include those companies that supply, distribute, and use these panels to make other nonstructural, value-added products such as furniture, cabinets, flooring, moldings, and exterior siding and trim, among other products. The total impact of the composite panel industry in the US is over 7 billion annually. The industry supports over 22,500 well-paying jobs, many of which are in rural

parts of the country.

CPA recommends three changes to the proposed heat illness regulation. First, we recommend that the rule address the unique circumstances associated with heat-generating industrial processes. The process of pressing composite wood panels generates heat and, therefore, would fall under this consideration. Such a provision could be modeled by the Oregon State Heat Illness Prevention Rule. Under this rule, the effect of the heat-generating manufacturing process is taken into consideration through the implementation of engineering and administrative controls, and is not reliant on a definitive temperature threshold, such as 80 degrees Fahrenheit.

Second, CPA recommends a change to temperature set points for the initial heat conditions and high heat conditions. We suggest that the heat index set point be changed from 80 degrees Fahrenheit to 90 degrees Fahrenheit, and the high heat index set point be changed from 90 degrees Fahrenheit to 100 degrees Fahrenheit. Adjusting these set points as suggested decreases the potential burden for manufacturers in the

southern part of our country. Heat indexes of 80 degrees Fahrenheit in the southern portion of our country are routinely encountered. If the proposed regulation maintains the current set points for initial heat and high heat, our southern manufacturers would be overly burdened.

Additionally, we suggest that the high heat illness prevention regulation consider adjusting these set points to account for natural acclimatization in different regions of our country. For example, employees that are acclimatized to the high humidity, high temperature environment of the southern part of our country would be less likely to be adversely affected by the same heat index as an employee in a drier and more temperate environment of another part of our country. The set points, as proposed, do not take employee acclimatization into consideration.

Third, the CPA recommends that proposed regulation excludes workers that work in an outdoor environment, in a primarily sedentary role. The proposed regulation provides exclusions for indoor workers that primarily work in a sedentary role. CPA suggests that the same

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 exclusion be afforded to outdoor workers that work in a 2 predominantly sedentary role. Examples of these 3 employees would be operators of forklifts and front end loaders. 4 These workers rarely perform strenuous 5 activity and primarily are sedentary on their pieces of 6 equipment. CPA suggests that the same accommodation 7 provided for indoor sedentary workers be applied to outdoor sedentary workers. 8

In conclusion, the Composite Panel Association believes that the rule, as proposed, will unnecessarily burden many of our manufacturing members, especially in the southern portion of our country. By incorporating the changes that we have suggested, some of this burden may be alleviated while still providing important heat protection for our valuable employees. Once again, thank you for allowing me to present our concerns regarding the proposed heat regulation, and I would be happy to address any questions about our views.

JUDGE BELL: Ms. Klinkam, thank you very much for your testimony. Are there questions for Ms. Klinkam from the OSHA room?

MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor. Andrew Levinson

1 for OSHA. Thank you very much for your testimony, Ms. 2 So I just had a question about heat-3 generating processes. Are you proposing that all heat-4 generating processes be eliminated or be excluded from 5 the reg? Or when you talked about the Oregon approach, 6 if industrial -- sorry, if industrial hygiene controls 7 are used to reduce heat exposures to heat-generating processes, how would the agency assess whether or not 8 9 those industrial hygiene controls were adequate to 10 protect those workers? 11 This is an excellent question, and I MS. KLINKAM: 12 appreciate you asking it. As -- as -- in the Oregon 13 rule they're not completely exempt, but the heat 14 generation in the area is considered as a part of the 15 environment in which the employees are -- are 16 consistently exposed to. This -- the Oregon rule was 17 written primarily with bakeries in -- in mind, but the 18 composite panel industry is very similar to that in the

19

20

21

22

fact that you still need to provide engineering and

administrative controls for your employees, but it's

not based on an external temperature. So we're not --

not suggesting that it be excluded completely, but that

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

it be considered.

2 MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. My next question
3 relates to your remark about people who are primarily
4 sedentary and working outdoors. In your industry,
5 those folks, particularly fork truck drivers, for
6 example, do they have some sort of shade structure over
7 their head attached to the forklift, or are they in
8 direct sunlight while they're outdoors as well?

MS. KLINKAM: Again, an excellent question. And there's a large variation of what is seen in, you know, in the industry. Predominantly, honestly, many of our forklift drivers are in an air-conditioned cab which would exclude them from this anyway. But most of them would have a shade structure, at least a roofing system over them. That's a safety concern, not just for heat regulation, but for -- to protect the employee. So there are some that are completely open, but most of them at least have a roof cover.

MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. And it seemed, at least in the room, like that got -- your voice got much quieter. I just want to make sure, Your Honor, did you hear that? And/or did the court reporter catch

1 everything she said? 2 JUDGE BELL: Christine, were you able to hear the 3 witness's last answer? THE REPORTER: Yes, I can -- yes, I'm able to turn 4 5 up my volume. But yes, she did fade out. 6 JUDGE BELL: Okay, thank you. Any other questions 7 from the OSHA room? 8 MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor. Joining us 9 online, Jason Hammer has a question. 10 MR. HAMMER: Hi, good morning. 11 Go ahead, Mr. Hammer. JUDGE BELL: 12 MR. HAMMER: Hi. Jason Hammer with the 13 Directorate of Standards and Guidance. Thanks again 14 for your testimony today, Ms. Klinkam. I also have a 15 question related to radiant heat and heat-generating 16 processes. Some commenters in the manufacturing 17 industry oppose provisions of the proposed rule because 18 of the heat-generating processes needed for their work. 19 Can you explain how employers in your industry are 20 currently controlling for heat exposure, particularly 21 exposure to radiant heat? 22 Right. Many of our manufacturers MS. KLINKAM:

1	have air handling systems, which they provide
2	there's fans in place and air movement requirements in
3	the press area. Our presses are very large; we're not
4	talking like a bakery oven. This is you know, they
5	are they are several feet, 50 feet, a hundred feet
6	long. So it's a long area which is generally open
7	to with the doors open to the outside to allow fresh
8	air in, as well as with fans and air movement going
9	along. And as well as many of our employees
10	don't they're not standing in that facility or in
11	that area on a consistent basis. They're in and out of
12	it. It's not something that requires manual pushing
13	and and controlling of the press while it's there.
14	MR. HAMMER: Got it. Thank you for your answer.
15	That's it for me.
16	MR. LEVINSON: All right. And Your Honor, OSHA's
17	last question comes from Zoe Petropoulos, who is also
18	online.
19	MS. PETROPOULOS: Hey. Zoe Petropoulos with the
20	Directorate of Standards and Guidance and I actually
21	have a couple questions. In your testimony, you
22	suggested that OSHA should change the initial trigger



1	from a heat index of 80 to a heat index of 90 and then
2	the high heat from a heat index of 90 to 100. And I
3	think you said that 100 is routinely encountered in
4	southern parts of the country. And my questions
5	relating to that are, do you have data or evidence to
6	suggest that these proposed triggers would be
7	protective of workers, and if so, can you submit that
8	into your post-hearing comment?
9	JUDGE BELL: Sorry, ma'am, we're not hearing your
10	answer. Are you muted?
11	MS. KLINKAM: Umm
12	JUDGE BELL: Go ahead. I can hear you now, Ms.
13	Klinkam.
14	MS. KLINKAM: Oh you can
15	JUDGE BELL: Well, nope. You just dropped out
16	again. Count down for me, please? Nope. We're not
17	hearing you, Ms. Klinkam. Ms. Klinkam, we're not
18	hearing you. Are you hearing me?
19	MS. KLINKAM: How about now? Can you hear me now?
20	JUDGE BELL: Very faintly. Nope. Can't hear you
21	now. Cannot hear you now.
22	MS. KLINKAM: Hello? Can you hear me now?



1 JUDGE BELL: Now I can, yes. 2 MS. KLINKAM: Very interesting. Volume, system 3 settings -- let's try this. 4 JUDGE BELL: Okay. Do you remember the question? 5 MS. KLINKAM: Okay. Can you hear me now? 6 Yes. Nope, now we cannot hear you JUDGE BELL: 7 Nope, we cannot hear you. again. 8 MS. KLINKAM: Can you hear me now? 9 JUDGE BELL: Still cannot hear you. 10 MR. LEVINSON: Your -- Your Honor there is the possibility of a phone call option. 11 12 Ms. Klinkam, are you able to hear me? JUDGE BELL: 13 MS. KLINKAM: Yes. 14 JUDGE BELL: Okay. Now I can barely hear you that 15 Try speaking again, please. Nope, can't hear 16 you. 17 MS. KLINKAM: No? 18 THE REPORTER: Do you have a headset? 19 MS. KLINKAM: I can try --20 MS. CARLON: Yeah, let's try the headset. Ιt 21 sounds like you're there, but very faintly. If the 22 headset doesn't work, then we can switch to call in.

1	JUDGE BELL: We'll give you a minute to pair up
2	there.
3	MS. KLINKAM: One second. Okay, how's that?
4	JUDGE BELL: We can hear you, but you're not very
5	loud.
6	MS. KLINKAM: Hi. That is super interesting.
7	JUDGE BELL: And now, if you're speaking, I can't
8	hear you at all.
9	MS. KLINKAM: Okay. Well, I'll just talk very
10	loudly.
11	JUDGE BELL: Okay.
12	MS. KLINKAM: Is that going to work?
13	JUDGE BELL: That's perfect.
14	MS. KLINKAM: Okay.
15	JUDGE BELL: Do you remember the question?
16	MS. KLINKAM: The question was with regard to - it
17	was with regard to the the set points, the
18	recommendation of the set point change, correct?
19	MS. PETROPOULOS: Yeah. The changes to the
20	triggers, if you have any data or evidence to suggest
21	that these would be protective of workers, and if so,
22	could you share those in your post-hearing comments.



1	MS. KLINKAM: Right. So I wanted to clarify on
2	that, that we it was you had stated that we that
3	we had stated that the 100 degrees is very common. And
4	it was actually 80 degrees is very common.
5	MS. PETROPOULOS: Thank you for correcting me.
6	MS. KLINKAM: Yeah. So I mean but I mean,
7	also, I don't think I can't tell you that 100
8	degrees is not common because you know, especially
9	this week, right? So anyway do I have any evidence
10	for that? I would have to look for that and find that
11	evidence. I don't have it on my hand, but I can
12	certainly provide it for follow-up, absolutely.
13	MS. PETROPOULOS: Thank you. And I have two more
14	follow-up, if if that's okay. So in the scenario
15	where the triggers were both increased, would you
16	envision any controls being needed below a heat index
17	of 90, or would that be the only time where you think
18	controls would be necessary?
19	MS. KLINKAM: Triggers below 90 I don't think
20	so. Again, I think a lot of this has to do with the
21	third point that we made is the acclimatization of
22	of workers. You know, 80 degrees in North Carolina or

1	South Carolina feels a whole lot different than be
2	given the humidity and all of that. And also, the
3	employees are so much more used to living and working
4	in the high heat and the high humidity. So I think
5	that that's really kind of the crux of the matter that
6	we really need to look into, what people are used to
7	and how they are living in, you know, on a regular
8	basis.
9	MS. PETROPOULOS: Thanks. And that's actually a
10	good segue into my next question. Do you have data or
11	evidence to support this this this claim of,
12	like, natural acclimatization among employees? Do you
13	have any data among your member employees' workforce?
14	And if you do have any data or evidence, if you could
15	submit that in your post-hearing comments, we would
16	appreciate seeing that.
17	MS. KLINKAM: Yeah, let me look into that. I'll
18	make a note to find the data and evidence for the
19	the set points as well as the the acclimatization.
20	Absolutely.
21	MS. PETROPOULOS: Thank you so much. And thanks
22	for your patience. I know I had a lot there at the

1	end.
2	MS. KLINKAM: Well, I'm sorry that I had technical
3	issues. I don't even know what happened.
4	MS. PETROPOULOS: No worries. That's it for me.
5	MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor, that concludes OSHA's
6	questions. Your Honor, we're not hearing you.
7	JUDGE BELL: That's because I pressed the mute
8	button. Questions from the Solicitor?
9	MS. LEVIN: Jennifer Levin for the Solicitor's
10	Office. No questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you
11	very much to the witness.
12	JUDGE BELL: All right. Do we have other
13	questions for Ms. Klinkam?
14	MS. CARLON: There are no other questions from
15	online participants, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE BELL: All right. Ms. Klinkam, thank you
17	very much for your testimony. And thank you for your
18	grace under pressure and getting your headset working.
19	I'm not sure I would have been able to do that, so
20	thanks very much.
21	MS. KLINKAM: Thank you.
22	JUDGE BELL: Okav. Bye-bye.



1	MS. KLINKAM: Bye.
2	MS. CARLON: The next speaker is Dustin Hollis.
3	Please state your name and affiliation for the record.
4	MR. HOLLIS: My name is Dustin Hollis, and I am
5	affiliated with the National Marine Manufacturers
6	Association.
7	JUDGE BELL: Go ahead, sir.
8	MR. HOLLIS: Okay. Well, first off, we appreciate
9	this opportunity. As I said before, my name is Dustin
10	Hollis, and I'm working responsible for the
11	manufacturing facility at Grady-White Boats, a small
12	business, based in Greenville, North Carolina. Grady-
13	White is an iconic American small business boat
14	builder, and we provide over 450 excellent
15	manufacturing jobs to the surrounding Greenville area.
16	And we've been in business since 1959.
17	Recreational boat building, as a whole, is
18	uniquely an American industry, providing a \$230 billion
19	impact on our nation's economy, supporting more than
20	812,000 jobs and 36,000 businesses across the nation.
21	In fact, 95 percent of boats sold in the United States
22	are built in the United States. There are over 3000

recreational boat builders in the United States, most of which are small businesses. And recreational boat building, is truly a uniquely American and an industry that is an example of made in America.

Recreational marine manufacturers take the safety and well-being of our workers very seriously. As mentioned, many of the manufacturers are small, U.S.-based businesses building a variety of recreational boats. This includes small aluminum boats, pontoon boats, ski boats, day cruisers, personal watercraft, propulsion systems, all types of other boats, and of course, off shore fishing boats that are part of an iconic American pastime.

So we support a safe work environment, but have serious concerns and oppose the implementation of a broad, non-industry-specific rule that would set universal heat trigger levels, acclimatization processes, and recordkeeping requirements across very different industry sectors. The OSHA statistics for heat-related injuries and fatalities for the recreational boat manufacturing industry do not support a rulemaking. I'm going to say that again. The OSHA

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

statistics for heat-related injuries and fatalities for recreational boat manufacturing industry do not support a rulemaking.

Recreational boat building is already highly regulated in the United States. OSHA specifically regulates permissible exposure limits, personal protective equipment, ventilation requirements, EPA title III boat NESHAP, EPA title V air permits, RCRA, and other comprehensive compliance tracking and monitoring requirements. We are small businesses and most of us do not have only a -- some of us only build a handful of boats a year. And we don't have great big teams of compliance, regulatory, and legal and administrative folks that can deal with the disruptive and unnecessary regulatory burden that this proposed heat standard would entail. It's the same set of requirements when you work in a library or foundry, and there's huge differences in the type of work that's being done.

So an example of another issue we have is, say we have an employee named Bob that is going on vacation in July. Bob works in NC, at our facility, and he wants

1	to go to Miami Beach to drink some mojitos and go
2	boating. Bob's wife wants to go on an Alaskan cruise.
3	Bob's vacation decision has a profound impact on our
4	business. If he chooses to go Miami, there's no issue
5	for us. However, if Bob goes to Alaska, we need to
6	implement an acclimatization process when he returns.
7	What business is it of ours where Bob goes on vacation?
8	How is it even how are we even supposed to track
9	that as a small business? And is it even legal or
10	appropriate for us to track that and inquire that of
11	our employees?
11	our employees? And then also, how are we supposed to track and
12	And then also, how are we supposed to track and
12	And then also, how are we supposed to track and implement daily wet bulb temperature criteria, which in
12 13 14	And then also, how are we supposed to track and implement daily wet bulb temperature criteria, which in North Carolina can change multiple times a day? We'd
12 13 14 15	And then also, how are we supposed to track and implement daily wet bulb temperature criteria, which in North Carolina can change multiple times a day? We'd be spending all of our time tracking and implementing
12 13 14 15	And then also, how are we supposed to track and implement daily wet bulb temperature criteria, which in North Carolina can change multiple times a day? We'd be spending all of our time tracking and implementing heat action periods, basically all summer long. And
12 13 14 15 16	And then also, how are we supposed to track and implement daily wet bulb temperature criteria, which in North Carolina can change multiple times a day? We'd be spending all of our time tracking and implementing heat action periods, basically all summer long. And I'm not sure we'd be even able to produce any boats.

22

boat manufacturing industry do not support a

related injuries and fatalities for the recreational

rulemaking.

We do take the safety and well-being of our employees seriously. As most boat builders are small businesses, our employees are our family, and we work together in a safe, productive manner to produce excellent, handmade recreational boats. This is essentially a craft industry. Workers at boat plants are provided with sufficient hydration, breaks, and work schedules so that they can perform their job in a safe and comfortable manner.

Furthermore, most boat plants already require significant direct air changes throughout the day to meet OSHA permissible exposure limits. These air changes throughout the use of large outside air makeup units provide a cooling effect within the manufacturing plant. The proposed OSHA regulation does nothing to improve the safety of workers, but rather creates an administrative burden on an industry sector that manages heat in a safe manner and does not have a record of heat-related injuries or fatalities.

So once again, just thank you for the opportunity to testify today on behalf of the recreational boating

1 industry, Grady-White boats, and the National Marine 2 Manufacturers Association. We look forward to 3 continuing this dialogue with OSHA. 4 JUDGE BELL: Mr. Hollis, thank you very much. 5 Ouestions for the witness from the OSHA room? 6 Yes, Your Honor. Andrew Levinson MR. LEVINSON: 7 for OSHA. Our first question comes from Grace here in 8 the room. 9 This is Joo-Hyung Shin from OSHA. MS. SHIN: Hi. 10 In your submitted comments -- when you submitted 11 comments by your association --12 THE REPORTER: And I'm sorry --13 JUDGE BELL: I'm sorry we're not able to hear the 14 question. 15 MR. HOLLIS: Yeah. I'm struggling. 16 MS. LEVIN: Try to project your voice more. 17 The --- the mic is -- is connected to the camera. 18 MS. SHIN: Oh, all right. Sorry, my apologies. Ι 19 will -- this is Joo-Hyung Shin from OSHA. In the 20 submitted comments by your association --21 MR. HOLLIS: Yes. 22 MS. SHIN: Comments mentioned that, during warmer

1 months, outside air is applied to the manufacturing 2 facility, which provides a cooling effect which also 3 could be subject to wide variations in temperature and 4 humidity. Can you elaborate how large this cooling 5 effect is? Like does it mean that it keeps the 6 temperatures within the facility 90, or below 90, is it 7 below 80? Can you provide more specifics on that cooling effect? 8 9 MR. HOLLIS: Yes. So you know, we're using 10 evaporative cooling units and it's a technology that 11 the less humidity you have, the better it works. 12 Typically what you'll see is a 10 to 12, 15 degree 13 cooling difference from the outside air temp to the 14 inside air temp.

MS. SHIN: Thank you. My last question is the general theme in the comments is about the variations in temperatures within your facilities that are subject to outdoor conditions. So we were curious if you have additional data that you could provide on how indoor conditions of your facilities relate to outdoor conditions. So for example, is there a quantitative relationship like if the outdoor heat index is some

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	degrees, then indoor heat index is like higher or lower
2	by x amount? I think you did speak to that like right
3	before, but if you have any like detailed data that
4	could speak to that relationship between indoors and
5	outdoors, OSHA would find that very helpful. Thank
6	you.
7	MR. HOLLIS: We could we could provide data to
8	that effect. I think part of the problem with that is,
9	is you have thousands of boat manufacturers across this
10	country that our data is not going to be the same as
11	somebody else's data. And the big problem is is
12	also coming from OSHA regulations with the permissible
13	exposure limits. I mean, we're turning the air over in
14	that part of the building multiple times a minute.
15	That that makes it pretty hard to cool it to an
16	extent. But yes, we could provide that data.
17	MS. SHIN: Thank you.
18	JUDGE BELL: Other OSHA questions?
19	MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor. Our next question
20	comes from Tiffany DeFoe, who is joining us online.
21	MS. DEFOE: Hi. For the record, this is Tiffany
22	DeFoe with the Directorate of Standards and Guidance,

1	OSHA. So in your written comments, and then again in
2	your testimony, you emphasized that workers at your
3	plants or the association's plants, that is are
4	provided with sufficient hydration, breaks, and work
5	schedules so that they can perform their job in a safe
6	and comfortable manner. And we appreciate that
7	commentary and I just wonder if it's possible to
8	provide some more detailed information, either now or
9	to the record in post-hearing comments, that would help
10	us understand how rest breaks are currently provided to
11	workers in the industry. For example, like whether
12	they're built into the schedules in advance, provided
13	at workers' requests when they feel at risk of
14	overheating or any combination.
15	MR. HOLLIS: Sure.
16	MS. DEFOE: Thank you.
17	MR. HOLLIS: So let's start with the hydration
18	aspect. I mean, we have we go through pallets of
19	water a week at our facility. We have all kind of
20	Gatorade mixes, ice pops, anything we can do to keep
21	people cool, fans, air-conditioned break rooms. There
22	are set breaks that are scheduled in, but I don't know

1	how many of you ever how familiar you all are with
2	boat building, but you kind of do it one boat at a
3	time, at least within a team. And so that team is
4	regularly takes breaks in between boats, especially
5	when it's hot. If anyone ever feels like they're
6	getting too hot, we we take breaks all the time, if
7	that happens, I mean, there's no there would be no
8	issue there. It rarely happens, but normally, you
9	know, you finish your boat, you take a break before you
10	go to your next boat. And then, I mean, it's pretty
11	pretty flexible work hours within that schedule, as
12	you you're jumping from boat to boat. Does that
13	answer your question?
14	MS. DEFOE: Yes. I have maybe one follow-up,
15	which is just whether there's any information about
16	other processes? We've heard from other commenters
17	that sometimes their industry involves processes that
18	can extend for hours at a time and don't lend
19	themselves to taking taking breaks for rest or
20	hydration during that process. So if there are
21	processes like that in your industry, any information
22	you can provide about what they are, how long they

1	last, and how your industry would accommodate or does
2	accommodate a worker's need for a rest break during
3	that kind of process if it comes up?
4	MR. HOLLIS: There are processes that, as a whole,
5	can take hours. If you're laying up a side of a big
6	hull or something like that. But like I say, it's a
7	team effort. It's not you're you're one person
8	and you're stuck in this spot for four hours and if you
9	take a break, you're fired. It's the work can still
10	be done, cycling people in and out. It's not a it's
11	not one person specific to the work, if that makes
12	sense.
13	MS. DEFOE: It does. Thank you so much. And
14	that's all I have.
15	JUDGE BELL: Other questions from OSHA?
16	MR. LEVINSON: No, Your Honor. That concludes
17	OSHA's questions.
18	JUDGE BELL: Thank you. How about from the
19	Solicitor?
20	Solicitor? MS. LEVIN: Jennifer Levin, for the Solicitor's



1 witness? 2 MS. CARLON: There are no other questions, Your 3 Honor. 4 JUDGE BELL: All right. Mr. Hollis, thanks very 5 much. 6 MR. HOLLIS: Thank you all. 7 JUDGE BELL: And thanks for making a great boat. 8 Yes, sir, we do. MR. HOLLIS: 9 JUDGE BELL: Okay, thank you. 10 MR. HOLLIS: Yes, sir. Bye-bye. The next speaker is Lawrence Ryan. 11 MS. CARLON: 12 Please state your name and affiliation for the record. 13 MR. RYAN: This is Lawrence Ryan, and my 14 affiliation is the Virginia Ship Repair Association. 15 JUDGE BELL: Go ahead, sir. 16 MR. RYAN: All right. Thank you. The Virginia 17 Ship Repair Association is a regional industry 18 association representing companies engaged in or 19 supporting ship repair in Virginia and the Mid-Atlantic 20 region. The mission is to focus and coordinate member 21 resources on the issues, challenges, and opportunities 22 facing our industry. We currently have over 330 member

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

companies in the association; that's whom I'm representing. We work on everything from Navy warships to the tugs that move them around the Elizabeth River and the Chesapeake Bay, to the barges that go up and down the Atlantic seaboard, and any infrastructure such as piers, bridges, cranes, wharfs, and the labor pools that work within that industry. It is a complicated, multi-employer work environment.

9 I thought this was going to be a tough week to 10 present to an OSHA public forum, battling restrictive 11 quidelines that do not factor in regional differences. 12 This heat wave is actually going to support my 13 discussion points. It was hot in Virginia yesterday. 14 We measured 110 relative heat index on the pier, which 15 was outside, of course. We put our message alerts out 16 to the workforce of the heat hazards, we assessed our 17 work areas continuously, we shut down work locations 18 that could not meet our mitigation plans, and we 19 shifted workforce to cooler times of the day and night 20 throughout this hot week. For us, just another 90 plus 21 degree temperature day in Hampton Roads. No one had a 22 heat-related incident at our numerous work areas --

knock on wood.

We already follow a General Duty Clause that requires us to have safe and healthful work environments. We are required to assess for all hazards and execute mitigations to keep our workforce as safe as possible. As an examples, we have safety plans for heat hazards, just like we do for the cold weather plans in the winter and heavy storm weather plans most of the year. We assess both inside and outside work areas during these periods of high heat, and ensure mitigations are in place to allow work to continue or plans to shut down work when we cannot.

We have numerous mitigations in place; water, ice, electrolyte drinks, popsicles, environmentally controlled areas and cooling stations, work/rest cycles. And for at-risk events, where work just has to get done despite the hazards, we add safety briefs and we come up with mitigations to allow that to happen.

We provide training to the workforce on heat hazards and the signs and symptoms of what a heat illness might look like. And we provide training individually to the supervisors to assess for signs of

heat stress in their teams and how to trigger an incident response.

And lastly, we provide continual supervision in the form of managers, supervisors, and safety inspectors out in the work areas. None of these were created because of a new guideline that needs to come out. It's because we've been repairing ships since 1775 in this region, and it's always been hot. And so we have these factored into the way we do business.

Our concerns with the guidelines is that you do not factor in the regional differences. Our populace is acclimatized to a higher temperature, where 80 degrees is a low hazard day, a great day to mow the lawn and to work outside. We are concerned that these proposed guidelines will create regionally-based business disadvantages by increasing cost and time to perform work in our region. And that will affect our ability to earn contracts and continue business. Thank you for the opportunity to speak to this public forum. I stand by for questions.

JUDGE BELL: Thank you, Mr. Ryan. Questions from the OSHA room?

1 Yes, Your Honor. Andrew Levinson MR. LEVINSON: for OSHA. 2 3 Yes, sir. MR. RYAN: 4 A couple of questions related to MR. LEVINSON: 5 some of your statements that you just made in the -- in 6 your remarks. You talked about mitigation methods 7 being implemented when heat hits certain triggers. What triggers do you use in the Virginia Ship Repair 8 9 Association or your personal business? 10 MR. RYAN: Sure, great question. So we currently 11 have -- and it's even in our -- in our -- in our heat 12 plan, we use OSHA's -- there's a table that they have 13 with yellow, orange, and red temperatures ranging from 14 80 up to -- I usually stop looking after 115 for 15 relative heat index. But that is what most entities 16 use to, basically, start looking for the hazards above 17 80 degrees. 80 degrees around here is half the year, 18 so until we get to 90 and 100 degrees, we're really not

19

20

21

22

heat index measurement.

executing the mitigation plans. So that is the table

that and say at -- at the orange and red levels, these

But most people run off of

that we go off of even though it's not a formalized

1 are the mitigations that you have to put in place from 2 your -- your heat plan. 3 Thank you. Also in your - your MR. LEVINSON: 4 opening remarks, you talked about work/rest cycles 5 being implemented when you hit heat triggers. 6 sort of work/rest cycles do you use in the Virginia 7 Ship Repair Association? Sure. The -- let me -- let me define 8 MR. RYAN: 9 one thing first. So in our industry, most of our 10 workplaces are multi-employer workplaces. My company 11 has 350 employees. But we run a shipyard, where it 12 might be 2000 to 3000 people inside of our yard 13 working, mostly subcontractors, Navy sailors. 14 there's a -- there's a wide variety. And so our 15 requirement is -- we obviously have to protect 16 everybody, not just our own workplace. The work/rest 17 cycles are left to the supervisor of that team. 18 know, once we hit a certain trigger level above 90 19 degrees, above 100 degrees we schedule 15-minute breaks 20 every couple hours. That --21 THE REPORTER: Excuse me, sir. This is Christine, 22 the court reporter. You dropped out. You -- you --



1 once you hit what? 2 MR. RYAN: I'm sorry. So once we hit 90 degrees, 3 we start putting out to the supervisors that they have 4 the ability to start work/rest cycles based off of when 5 a worker needs a break, how long that they've been 6 working, and based off of their assessment of -- of the 7 area that they're working in. Some people are working on the pier where there's a nice breeze coming down the 8 9 Some people are working down in the engine room, 10 seven decks down in the ship, and are not -- are facing 11 a different temperature for that day. 12 So the supervisors with meters, safety inspectors 13 with meters, are evaluating the spaces and determining 14 how -- how frequently a work/rest cycle has to happen. 15 It can be -- we've had jobs where we've had to do 16 fifteen minutes in, fifteen minutes out. But it's 17 based off of -- of what that measurement is in that 18 space. 19 Thank you, sir. OSHA's next MR. LEVINSON: 20 question comes from Danielle Watson. 21 MS. WATSON: Hi, this is Danielle Watson with 22 The first question I have is, you had mentioned OSHA.

1 in your -- a lot of your members have heat safety 2 plans, and in those, that's where the mitigations are. 3 I was wondering if you would feel comfortable, in 4 follow-up comment, to submit some example -- a couple 5 example plans, so we could see maybe some of the other 6 additional mitigation, other than what you mentioned in 7 your verbal testimony. MR. RYAN: Yes, absolutely. 8 9 Okay, great. Thank you so much. MS. WATSON: 10 just - I just had one more question and it's regarding 11 the heat injury and illness prevention plan and dealing 12 with vapor impermeable clothing. And we - the panel 13 was just wondering what could OSHA do to make the heat 14 injury illness prevention plan requirements, 15 specifically in the evaluation of vapor impermeable -16 impermeable clothing, more in line with what your 17 members existing efforts fall. 18 MR. RYAN: Yeah, that's a tough one right there. 19 So several of our trades, they are consistently, daily 20 in Tyvek suits -- not to use a manufacturer, but -- but 21 those types of things for anybody who's in painting, 22 anybody who's in coatings department, anybody who's

1 working around any HAZMAT, they're going to be in 2 those. And so that that increases the risk of that 3 They're going to need additional training, 4 they're going to need their supervisors to have 5 additional training, their work/rest cycles are going 6 to have to increase so they can step away from whatever 7 area that they're at. But for the most part, the water, ice, cooling, 8 9 rest cycles still apply. It's just they're wearing a 10 piece of PPE that is more restrictive and not going to 11 allow them to sweat and cool from that -- the 12 evaporative technique. I don't know if that answers 13 the question. I just -- it -- that -- that 14 specific PPE type, wearing face mask, wearing 15 respirators as well, increases the attention to pulling 16 those people out of that work environment, giving them 17 a chance to cool down and then allowing them to go back 18 in. 19 Thank you. No further questions. MS. WATSON: 20 MR. RYAN: I -- actually can I add one thing to 21 I apologize. It has to be said that the worker 22 has to be empowered to be able to say, I need a break.

1	And at our companies not only at my company, but all
2	the companies that belong, we really do try to promote
3	that empowerment. When they say that they've had
4	enough or when they say that they need a break, I can't
5	think of any incidents where we said no or or or
6	restricted them from doing that. We have to give the
7	workers the chance and the empowerment to say,
8	basically, stop and we give them that opportunity.
9	JUDGE BELL: Additional questions from OSHA?
10	MR. LEVINSON: Yeah. Your Honor, actually, I
11	wanted to ask a follow-up question.
12	MR. RYAN: Yes, sir.
13	MR. LEVINSON: It relates to a comment that you
14	made earlier about, you know, sometimes somebody's on
15	the pier and sometimes somebody is seven decks below in
16	the engine room. How do break schedules work for that
17	person who is seven decks below in the engine room,
18	when the outside temperature might be 100 degrees?
19	And and what sort of you had mentioned earlier,
20	like fifteen minutes every couple of hours. What does
21	a break schedule look like for somebody in the engine
22	



MR. RYAN: The first thing we're going to do when working in -- in an engine room is ventilation. We -- we -- a lot of the complexity to our industry is that we're shutting these ships off or shutting them down to work on them, so they may not have standard running AC units and ventilation units. So we have to provide temporary ventilation units, temporary AC. And we're specifically trying to target those areas where, one, there isn't as much ventilation like the engine room.

establish cooling stations, not only on the ship -- you know, we're going to provide that temporary AC into certain areas, but we're going to let them know where those areas are. And lastly, the ship -- to get out of an engine room, particularly on a large warship or a large commercial ship, it is a little bit of a hike, but it's not -- it's not so -- it's not like a 20-story building where you're climbing 20 flights of stairs.

We -- we cut temporary access cuts in decks and put in staging, and we create pathways for them to get out of the -- the more complicated confined spaces to areas where that they can get rest and recuperation.

1 MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. The next question from 2 OSHA, Your Honor, comes from Brenda Finter, who is joining us online. 3 4 Go ahead, Ms. Finter. JUDGE BELL: 5 Hello. I just have a couple of MS. FINTER: 6 questions for you. First of all, what types of 7 controls should be required for your industry? What type of controls should be 8 MR. RYAN: 9 required for my industry? I'm a big fan of the General 10 Duty Clause, which provides all controls. My only 11 metric is no injuries, no recordable injuries. 12 I'm meeting that requirement, I'm creating a safe and 13 healthful work environment, then I've put the controls 14 in place in which to create that. 15 I don't know how to define what degree of 16 temperature is a hazard and which degree is not. 17 degrees not a hazard, but 80 degrees is? I know from 18 this region here, that's when we go outside and golf 19 and mow lawns and exercise, at 80 degrees. 20 doesn't seem reasonable to place on businesses and 21 industry -- now you got to put in these mitigations at 22 a temperature where we're all acclimatized, the whole



populace is acclimatized to that temperature. But I

don't -- I don't have any data or resources that says

degrees is now a hazard, 100 degrees is now a

hazard.

I'm asking, is that there be some flexibility and some regional difference consideration. We've been hot here for a long time. It's worse south of us, and they've still been able to do business and still been able to -- to build and repair ships through that. I don't -- I don't know how to set what controls are in place, other than what we've presented here.

There is oversight from OSHA. There is oversight from the Navy and from our regulatory bodies that are contracting us to work on these ships, piers, and -- and infrastructure. There are requirements for us to have mitigations in place so that our workforce likes to work for us and -- and feels safe working for us. But I don't have a dataset on what controls should be in place.

MS. FINTER: Would you be willing to go back to your members and ask them what they are using right now?

1	MR. RYAN: Yes, I think I'll ask for several of
2	them to provide what their safety plans are. And
3	within those safety plans will be what they're using as
4	metrics or or controls within there. Does that make
5	sense?
6	MS. FINTER: Yes, that makes sense. Thank you,
7	Mr. Ryan.
8	MR. RYAN: Yes.
9	MR. FINTER: Okay. My last question is, is there
10	a reason why portable shade structures could not be
11	provided for workers in your industry?
12	MR. RYAN: I guess the short answer to that is no,
13	there's no restriction there's no answer to you that
14	would restrict the use of portable shade structures,
15	except for a lot of our outside exterior work I
16	wasn't ready for that question, I apologize.
17	MS. FINTER: That's okay.
18	MR. RYAN: No. So again, I kind of have to define
19	the industry a bit. So a lot of our work is varied
20	inside facilities and warehouses, on the pier, on the
21	ship outside, weather decks, flight decks, hangar
22	decks, and then a lot of the work is also inside the

ship, which is more like working inside of an office building, just made of steel. We use temporary and portable structures to provide environmental control.

So if you ever see a ship on the water or in a pier being worked on, you'll see it wrapped in white plastic, wrapped around staging or wrapped around the mast, or even the entire flight deck covered over. And that is done to, one, mitigate environmental exposure so that the dust and debris is not going into the water or out into the air. But it also provides us the opportunity to provide temporary -- temporary ventilation and AC into those exterior spaces and provide the shade that that will lower the temperature. It does prevent wind movement; it does prevent breeze, but we provide that temporary ventilation into those spaces so that they can remain cool.

We have no restrictions, and -- and we've used temporary, you know, structures to provide shade, both outside in our lunch areas, outside on the pier for people standing duty or watch at the pier. So they're in use. I have no restrictions to their use.

MS. FINTER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Ryan. That's



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

for your industry?

1 all I had. 2 MR. LEVINSON: And Your Honor, the last questions from OSHA come from Grace, here in the room. 3 Hi, this is Joo-Hyung Shin from OSHA. 4 MS. SHIN: 5 MR. RYAN: Yes. 6 MS. SHIN: I have a question about weather and 7 monitoring. Can you elaborate more on how weather conditions are currently monitored in the industry? 8 9 And also, what general patterns exist in the 10 relationship between outdoor and indoor temperatures

MR. RYAN: Oh, yes. So weather conditions are monitored daily by project managers at -- at any of the companies that we work for, because the weather conditions are going to shift what work can be accomplished. As examples, a lot of our work might be out on weather decks or flight decks, outside sections of a ship. And if it's raining or storming that day, it's not a very good time to accomplish those repairs. Cut into the steel, create holes, and now it's leaking inside the ship, as an example. So weather conditions are monitored every day.



1	Additionally, wind we use a lot of cranes for
2	moving equipment and material on and off ships. So at
3	certain wind conditions, we can't operate the cranes.
4	We can't we have to take down staging or scaffolding
5	above a certain wind speed. So we're continuously,
6	daily monitoring weather conditions.
7	That that in and of itself is triggering us to
8	look for temperature spikes or temperature changes that
9	are coming down 10 days from now, so we can start
10	preparing the workforce for this week's going to be
11	really hot reminder of these mitigations, reminder
12	of these response plans, reminder of these things as we
13	do that. There was a second part to your question. I
14	think I've lost it in my head.
15	MS. SHIN: Yes. This is Joo-Hyung Shin from OSHA
16	again.
17	MR. RYAN: Yes.
18	MS. SHIN: Just in your experience and your
19	observation, what how does the relationship between
20	outdoor and indoor temperatures look like for industry?
21	MR. RYAN: Yeah, it's a good question because in
22	our industry, outdoor temperature and indoor



1 temperature can vary dramatically. The ships that we're working on, the facilities, the warehouses, and 2 3 the fabrication facilities we're working in can all be 4 varied points. The pier, while it may be hot outside, 5 often has a really nice breeze coming in from the 6 water. And so that -- that cooling effect, that 7 evaporative effect, can make a pier very comfortable. But inside the ship, you're talking about watertight, 8 9 airtight, steel structures. If there's no movement of 10 air, they can become ovens. So the temperatures 11 inside, the deeper down you go inside the tanks, inside 12 the engine rooms can -- can exceed dramatically the 13 outside air temperature. 14 We don't use just the -- so the air temperature or 15 the weather conditions -- you know, if we're looking at 16 the Weather Channel, we're tracking, you know, this is 17 what the heat index is predicted to be for the next 10 18 days. We're putting into the hands of our safety 19 inspectors, our managers, and any supervisor that --

20

21

22

that that is in an at-risk zone -- we're putting in

spaces wherever they're at within the ship, because

their hands meters, so that they're able to check their

1	it's not necessarily going to match what we're seeing
2	outside.
3	It may be cool outside; there may be hotter
4	temperatures inside the ship. We're doing hot work,
5	we're plasma cutting, we're carbon arcing, we're
6	welding and so those spaces may be increasing in
7	temperature simply because of the work that's being
8	accomplished. And and they have to be evaluated
9	differently and separately. That's why they get those
10	meters, so that we can make a space decision on whether
11	or not to shut down work just in that space.
12	MS. SHIN: Thank you.
13	MR. RYAN: Thank you.
14	JUDGE BELL: Other questions from OSHA?
15	MR. LEVINSON: No, Your Honor. That concludes
16	OSHA's questions.
17	JUDGE BELL: Thank you. From the Solicitor's
18	Office?
19	MS. LEVIN: Jennifer Levin from the Solicitor's
20	Office. No questions for me, thank you very much.
21	Appreciate the witness's time and testimony.
22	JUDGE BELL: Are there other questions for this



1	witness?
2	MS. CARLON: Yes, Your Honor, we have one from Mr.
3	Barab. Please state your name for the record.
4	MR. BARAB: Yeah, hi. My name is Jordan Barab.
5	Mr. Ryan, I actually enjoyed your your testimony and
6	all you're doing to protect workers from heat. And in
7	fact, I've I found that from a number of the
8	business associations that are testifying, they're
9	already doing a lot. But the question that it raises
10	for me is, especially for the low heat trigger, the
11	initial heat trigger what the problem is? It seems
12	to me, listening to your testimony, you're pretty much
13	doing everything that is would be required by the
14	by the standard. So what is it specifically and
15	again, right now I'm talking about the the initial
16	heat trigger.
17	MR. RYAN: Sure.
18	MR. BARAB: What is it, exactly, where that would
19	provide some kind of burden on your on your
20	operation that you wouldn't be able to fulfill?
21	MR. RYAN: Yeah. I had that thought as I was
22	preparing that. I said, man, I'm starting to sound



1 like I'm making the other argument. We're already 2 doing a lot of the features. And I think that's kind 3 of what we wanted to present is not so much a 4 complaint, but -- but we're doing so many things. 5 Lines in the sand, fixed metrics, fixed numbers 6 make it very complicated to -- for us to use our 7 training, our experience, particularly in my specific industry, which I'm knowledgeable at, in another 8 9 industry which has different features -- to be able to 10 continue to do their work around that line in the sand. 11 I think what we're concerned about is -- 80 degrees, 12 when most people are outside in this area, I now have 13 to put in parameters that I normally wouldn't be 14 putting in at 80 degrees, that I might be putting in at 15 90 degrees instead. But now I've got a 10 degree 16 window, probably another three to four months of time 17 within this region where I've got to shift down some of 18 my current mitigations. 19 What -- what specifically? I mean, MR. BARAB: 20 basically, the initial heat trigger, basically, says 21 provide water, rest, and shade as -- rest and shade as 22 I mean, again, everything -- it seems like



1 you're already doing everything anyway because the --2 The -- the acclimatization one scares MR. RYAN: I can use that word, I think. I mean, you know, 3 4 having to ratchet a person back into the workspace even 5 though they've been in this area for how long, is a 6 You know, I've got to pay for that person to be 7 in a non-work position after they've been out of work, or if they're just starting work. We do already have a 8 9 lot of the features where they're going through 10 training when they first start with us, so they're sort 11 of acclimating into our -- our -- work -- workspace. 12 But -- but for our own workers, they go away on a 13 vacation and then they come back and I've got to lose a week of productivity out of them is -- is a 14 15 worry. 16 I think it also -- it puts a rigid standard that 17 says a person was injured, got a recordable injury, and 18 it was 82 degrees out there. So clearly, you didn't 19 provide the necessary mitigations to protect that 20 worker. I don't face that right now because I don't 21 have an 80-degree metric that I have to meet. 22 think we're nervous about just these rigid PELs that --

1	that, that maybe take away our ability to use our
2	judgment based on our experience and our industry.
3	MR. BARAB: Okay. Thank you.
4	MR. RYAN: Yep.
5	JUDGE BELL: Any other questions for Mr. Ryan?
6	MS. CARLON: There are not, Your Honor.
7	JUDGE BELL: Mr. Ryan, thank you very much for
8	your testimony.
9	MR. RYAN: Thank you.
10	JUDGE BELL: We appreciate it very much.
11	MS. CARLON: The next speaker is Jim Nelson.
12	Please state your name and affiliation for the record.
13	MR. NELSON: Jim Nelson with LBT.
14	JUDGE BELL: Mr. Nelson, go ahead please.
15	MR. NELSON: Thank you for allowing me to testify
16	today. LBT is a tank trailer manufacturer located in
17	Omaha, Nebraska, employing just over a hundred people.
18	LBT understands the need to protect workers from
19	exposure to heat. We have had an effective heat
20	program for several years. We provided both written
21	and oral feedback during the SBREFA panels as well as
22	written comments during the comment period. We



continue to have some serious concerns regarding the current proposed rule, especially how it will impact smaller businesses.

Three of the items I'm going to highlight are, one, indoor heat measurements. We have concerns regarding the monitoring requirements for indoors. We have multiple cells where the tasks will vary daily, including what, if any, time spent within a trailer, may or may not be welding, how much welding is being done on the exterior and where on the trailer, as well as many other factors. As proposed, trying to measure as close as possible to the worker is impractical with all this variability. Personal monitors would be cost prohibitive, as would be man -- as trying to manage also the web-based system, with all the employees doing all these -- all the different tasks and everything.

We typically see the heat index in the plant above 80 degrees for about three to five months during the year, for at least two hours of a given work shift.

However, we may occasionally experience, you know, periods over 80 all day long, as well as some days that may end up above 100 or even 104 by 3:00 p.m., which is

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 normal end of shift. So we do run some overtime after 2 3:00, but that is voluntary and variable. Typically in 3 the summer we're between about 85 and 97 heat index 4 within the plant. We currently have three monitors 5 that we use to monitor temperature and humidity. 6 send their message -- their data wirelessly to our 7 first aid room, where we can compare to -- the results to a chart to get the heat index. And then we base our 8 9 actions on those results and a table that we have built 10 with our controls.

Breaks are another concern. We understand the need for additional breaks in the heat. We already currently allow employees, as-needed, to take short breaks to get water, refill water, et cetera or as-needed to stand in front of a fan or a Portacool for a couple of minutes, especially if their areas do not allow for readily -- more direct fan use. Around welding, obviously that is a concern. Having too much air movement creates issues.

Our concerns are on the mandatory 15-minute break every two hours. It can cause additional disruptions, beyond what we already have scheduled, if we have to

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

add them. Due to -- well, we try to maintain as -- you know, welds as long as possible. The more we interrupt welds, the more likelihood you have of issues. And these are DOT coded vessels, so we want to minimize starting and stopping. Also, can play havoc around -- say if we are running overtime -- trying to manage a break within that, et cetera.

The other concern is the potential for abuse of the as-needed. We concern — the rule as written is employees can — could abuse the as-needed as it relates to breaks approaching, potentially up to fifteen minutes, above that 80-degree threshold. For example, plant may reach 80 for most, if not all, days for the past month, with a break maybe every two hours. As written, employee could decide to take a 10 to 15-minute break or more, depending on travel and PPE, donning and doffing in the middle of that period, claiming vague heat illness symptoms every single day, whether needed or not. There's nothing an employer can do about it.

We understand there are workplaces, as noted by previous testimony of other stakeholders, where

1	employees' access to break, water, et cetera are
2	extremely limited to nonexistent. But the proposed
3	rule proposed means of addressing this issue in
4	those locations will potentially create problems for
5	others. We ask OSHA to consider modifying the language
6	to prevent blatant abuse of longer as-needed breaks,
7	while still protecting access to workers who need them.
8	Temperature thresholds. We utilize our own
9	thresholds based on experience that we have regarding
10	our own internal heat triggers, and including
11	restricting forbidding afternoon overtime, prioritizing
12	morning overtime, limiting interior welding, additional
13	mini breaks, handing out popsicles, a variety of
14	different measures we have in place. Our current
15	thresholds have been effective for several years. And
16	as noted in multiple testimonies, one-size-fits-all
17	approach to temperatures is very challenging to
18	employers.
19	We we would ask OSHA to consider increasing the

We -- we would ask OSHA to consider increasing the thresholds. We believe the proposed rule, as written, will have significant adverse impact on employers. In closing, we'd like OSHA to consider a more performance-

20

21

22

1	based approach to address these concerns and needs
2	raised by all those who presented and comment. Thank
3	you for allowing me to testify today. I'll gladly
4	answer any questions.
5	JUDGE BELL: Thank you, Mr. Nelson. Questions
6	from the OSHA room?
7	MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor. Andrew Levinson
8	for OSHA. Our first question comes online from Brenda
9	Finter.
10	MS. FINTER: Hello, Mr. Nelson. My first question
11	is, can you share with us how you determine when
12	protective measures are started? You've talked about
13	triggers, but how do you determine when protective
14	measures are needed?
15	MR. NELSON: Typically, for us using our using
16	the heat indexes within the the facility because we
17	measure those live, once they reach for us assuming
18	acclimatization, not a sudden heat wave, which we will,
19	you know, take it as it goes starting at 91, we just
20	do additional monitoring of employees. They're already
21	able to go get breaks, go stand in front of the fans.
22	So some of those issues are already there. We hit 95,

1 we do more hydration breaks. We actually go out into the plant, and -- especially our big window happens 2 3 between 1:00 and 3:00, because they get done with lunch 4 at 1:00, end of shift is 3:00. So about 2:00, once we 5 hit 95, we will go hand out popsicles to the employees, 6 encourage them to drink water. We actually get a 7 chance to interact with, essentially, all the employees, address how they're doing and seeing how --8 9 what they're doing at that point.

10 And then, if it gets higher than that, we --11 again, we limit or we'll have zero overtime. 12 we have not yet had to close work early, but that is 13 always on the table. But we had -- we -- at 101, 104, 14 we'll have additional five-minute mandatory rest breaks 15 every hour. And if it gets over 105, which I have not 16 seen yet, we would go to ten-minute hydration rest 17 breaks every hour. As part of that, again, we have 18 fans throughout the plant, cooling fans. Our major 19 interior welding operation, they're supplied air weld 20 helmets with vortex cooling. So they -- we're 21 providing cooling to them as well in that area.

22

MS. FINTER:

Is there a point where you do

1	additional monitoring, say above a certain trigger?
2	MR. NELSON: Well, above above 91, we start to
3	do additional monitoring of employees by the
4	supervisors. So we start at 91 degrees, starting to do
5	them. And if we have anybody we know is especially
6	sensitive we'll we'll keep up on them more. Most
7	employers are working in teams, so they do already have
8	a partner with them. They're not doing lone worker, so
9	they can monitor each other as well.
10	MS. FINTER: Okay. And what does that mean to
11	do for what does additional monitoring mean for
12	you?
13	MR. NELSON: It means for us, checking in on the
14	employees, going through the worksites, seeing how
15	they're doing, making sure that they're not, you know,
16	displaying adverse symptoms. It gives the employee the
17	opportunity too, to talk to the supervisor if there's
18	an issue.
19	MS. FINTER: Okay. And you actually answered my
20	last question in this question. So that's all I have.
21	Thank you.
22	MR. NELSON: Yeah.

1	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you, Your Honor. The next
2	set of questions come from Tiffany DeFoe, who is also
3	joining us online.
4	MS. DEFOE: For the record, Tiffany DeFoe,
5	Directorate of Standards and Guidance, OSHA. Mr.
6	Nelson, in the comments that you provided on the
7	proposed rule and then again in your testimony today,
8	you expressed your concerns that the proposed
9	requirements for as-needed rest breaks could be used
10	inappropriately by employees. Now and then also
11	today, during your testimony, you specifically
12	mentioned that you believe OSHA should adjust the
13	language of that requirement. And I'm wondering if,
14	either now or in post-hearing comments, you could
15	provide any specific suggestions for how you believe
16	OSHA could do could change that?
17	MR. NELSON: Jim Nelson, LBT. I will look at it
18	for post-hearing comments. I struggled with how to do
19	that myself. I was hoping there's some people smarter
20	than me that could do that. But I will see what I can
21	come up with in post-hearing comments for the potential
22	abuse.



1	MS. DEFOE: Yeah. I think it would be wonderful
2	to have the input of someone who is experienced in the
3	field, so thank you. And then I wanted to mention
4	that, earlier in the hearing process, another commenter
5	raised a similar concern. And their suggestion was
6	that OSHA should provide non-mandatory guidance, which,
7	as you're aware, we generally do provide non-mandatory
8	guidance to accompany rules when we promulgate them.
9	And that they in that guidance, we could give some
10	discussion around break use that could help provide,
11	what they termed, guardrails for the use of as-needed
12	breaks. And I'm wondering if again, happy to
13	receive comments post-hearing if you'd rather but
14	just if your organization or if your if your company
15	agrees that that could be a helpful aid and any
16	specific information that you might suggest it would
17	contain?
18	MR. NELSON: I I think that could be a way of
19	doing it. And I can again, I'll try to address that
20	in the post-hearing comments.
21	MS. DEFOE: Much appreciated, thank you. That's
22	all I have.



1	JUDGE BELL: Thank you.
2	MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor. The next questions
3	from OSHA come from in the room, Grace.
4	MS. SHIN: Hi. This is Joo-Hyung Shin from OSHA.
5	I have two questions. My first question is about
6	monitoring. In written comments, you say that the cost
7	of monitoring is underestimated in OSHA's preliminary
8	economic analysis. Some of the factors that you said
9	you believe were omitted were restrictions on Bluetooth
10	line of site, interference with monitors from equipment
11	like metal trailers, and the need for multiple monitors
12	within the facility. Could you please elaborate for us
13	on why you would need to purchase additional monitors
14	or replace the current system that you have in place
15	because of the rule?
16	MR. NELSON: Well
17	MS. SHIN: Yeah. Sorry. I'll stop there. Sorry,
18	please.
19	MR. NELSON: As you state you know, as rule
20	says, as close as possible and right in the work areas.
21	That's where it would get challenging, because if we
22	had to be right in the work area and given the



1 variability to -- depending on how OSHA would interpret it on an inspection, would almost lead to the need to 2 3 have monitors on all the employees. Because just either side of the tank, there'll be a variation 4 5 difference because of where ventilation is blowing, et 6 Are you on top of a tank, which could be, you cetera. 7 know, 10 foot up in the air or are you on the ground? There's a thermal -- you know, you have, you know, 8 9 a couple degrees differential which may or may trigger 10 somebody into the 80 degree and they're underneath it, 11 or any of those thresholds. Just in and around the 12 tank, you could have a couple degree variations -- one 13 or two degrees. And that's put somebody in or suddenly 14 they're back out for trying to manage that. Which is 15 why we went to an area wide with three monitors 16 representative of areas, to try to manage that, instead 17 of having to have some sort of monitor on each of the 18 individuals. And then if they're going into tanks or 19 they're doing this or whatever they're doing -- and 20 having to monitor each one individually, which is going 21 to become challenging. 22 Hi, this is Joo-Hyung Shin from OSHA,



again. So just a follow-up. So do you believe that there would be areas that you are not currently monitoring that you believe will require additional monitors if -- you know, as proposed?

MR. NELSON: Again, as proposed, where it says monitoring as close as possible to the work area, that's where we run into defining how -- what are you saying is as close as. Because my definition could be different. And so we -- that's why we're using the three areas to try to give the best representation across the plant.

And if we have to do additional monitoring,
what -- we would have to invest, because right now, we
just are using them based off of a weather station set
up. But if we had to go to integrating it, we looked
at some of the costs of a more formalized system that
reports it all to a web based, et cetera, which
personally we are -- try to limit what all goes in. We
were cyber hacked about three years ago, so any
outside -- outside systems we really don't want to use.
We're trying to limit that ability to have stuff that
goes out to some of the web things or other ways in and

1	out. So that's where we're concerned about costs.
2	Again, any individual monitors on employees or having
3	to have significantly others with multiple either base
4	stations or however we have to try to set that up.
5	MS. SHIN: Thank you. Just like two small
6	questions about your current monitoring system that I,
7	think in your comments, they involve a a remote
8	sensor
9	MR. NELSON: Yes.
10	MS. SHIN: Remote capabilities weather stations
11	with remote sensing capabilities. Could you provide,
12	now or in post-hearing comments, about specific
13	estimates of labor burden that is required to do your
14	current monitoring? Like who is or what job title is
15	doing the monitoring, how long like, how many
16	minutes does it take to do each measurement, et cetera?
17	MR. NELSON: Right now, it does not take very
18	long. I because the first aid room is right outside
19	my office, I do the monitoring when I'm here. I can
20	just step outside, take a look or step into the
21	first aid room, read them right off the display because
22	it's essentially like a weather station. And just

1 the chart sits right below it, and I can just go right 2 between the two and see it. If I want to do a 3 calculation using one of the online options, it just 4 takes me an extra minute. So for me to go out there 5 and look, it takes me 30 seconds to a minute currently, 6 if that's all I have to do is look at those three. 7 Okay. One more question about your MS. SHIN: 8 current monitoring system. Does your system happen to 9 like, log or store measurement data over time? 10 MR. NELSON: If -- that requires me to actually 11 manually do so. And I've done it a couple of times, 12 just from a few high heat days in the past to try to 13 get a curve of -- to see if there's a relationship 14 between outside and inside. And it's -- we have kind 15 of a rough correlation on the temperature. 16 will eventually even out, but we do have a lag in 17 temperature. But it varies. 18 In the beginning of the day, we can actually be 19 hotter than outside from trapped heat until, with the 20 doors open, it vents. And then we end up, you know, 21 getting cooler than outdoor. And then we'll, you know, 22 ramp back up. But we usually stay somewhere behind by

1 the end of the day. It just -- it does vary based on 2 how much sun, et cetera that, you know, wind, 3 everything else. Thank you. My last question is about 4 MS. SHIN: 5 as-needed breaks. So in -- in our preliminary 6 analysis, we assume that in total as-needed breaks may 7 increase break time by 10 minutes per day on average. In your experience, what is your estimate of how much 8 9 of your employees' workdays are spent in as-needed 10 breaks? 11 MR. NELSON: That is a very tough one because we 12 allow -- we've been pretty flexible, currently, to 13 allow water, so to go grab water or other things. 14 So -- and even restroom breaks as-needed. So that's 15 really a tough one for me to say, whether it's been 10 16 minutes, 15 minutes, whatever that is. I don't, 17 myself -- don't get into managing all of that. So I 18 would -- it is possible it's ten minutes. It may be 19 more than that. I just don't have a good number. 20 MS. SHIN: Thank you. 21 MR. LEVINSON: And Your Honor, that concludes the 22 questions from OSHA.

1 Thank you. Solicitors? JUDGE BELL: 2 Jennifer Levin from the Solicitor's MS. LEVIN: 3 Office. Sir, I believe you mentioned that your -- some 4 of your employees use cooling PPE in their welding 5 Could you elaborate on that? 6 explain what steps you take to ensure that the cooling 7 properties -- to maintain the cooling properties of that PPE? 8 9 The -- what we're using is 3M's MR. NELSON: 10 vortex cooling system. So that allows them to 11 essentially drop the -- the air coming into their 12 supplied air weld helmet by -- I believe it's up to 40 13 to 50 degrees. And it's -- and it is adjustable. 14 trust me, if they notice that it's not working, they're 15 the first ones to say -- hey, this needs to be repaired 16 or replaced. So they'll come out and we've got readily 17 available -- so they -- they know when it's not 18 working. And that -- they utilize that almost all 19 year -- all year round, having that ability. Or if 20 we're in a sandblast hood situation, we have the same 21 setup for that as well, where they can have that cold 22 air blowing over the -- the helmet. And if there's any



1	issues, the employees are very quick to let us know
2	about it.
3	MS. LEVIN: Thank you. No further questions.
4	JUDGE BELL: Any other questions for Mr. Nelson?
5	MS. CARLON: There are not, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE BELL: Mr. Nelson, thanks very much for your
7	testimony today. It's been very helpful.
8	MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	MS. CARLON: The next speaker is Jon Meijer.
10	Please state your name and affiliation for the record.
11	MR. MEIJER: Yes, thank you. My name is John
12	Meijer. I'm here on behalf and Mary Scalco will not
13	be on this call. I'm here on behalf of the Drycleaning
14	& Laundry Institute. We are a national, international
15	organization for dry cleaners. And I want to thank
16	OSHA and Your Honor for allowing me to speak today. I
17	think I'm taking more notes than you all are for the
18	previous comments, but I do appreciate the comments
19	from the National Marine Manufacturers Association. I
20	almost say ditto.
21	One thing about the dry cleaning industry today
22	is a great example. In the great state of Maryland and



1	in this area in general, it's going to be 100 degrees
2	today. And it is hot. So what is a dry cleaner doing
3	today? Well, they're pretty much done. For their
4	production capacity is they're done. They're you
5	know, they're well nobody wants to work in that kind
6	of heat, in general. So the the the average dry
7	cleaner has seven employees. There's probably three
8	people in production, one who actually does the
9	cleaning and maybe one or two, that are actually doing
10	the finishing of the garments. Since COVID, we lost
11	one third of our industry. We are one of the most
12	regulated industries in the United States, always have
13	been, whether it be EPA or an OSHA regulation.
14	We are not against this regulation at all. Our
15	issue is really this one-size-fits-all. It is a real
16	problem for small businesses and people like me who
17	have to try to figure all this out for everybody. It's
18	also much easier if the feds on a national level, we
19	have one regulation versus dealing with 50 different
20	regulations. And as we know now, many states are
21	looking at their own regulation and then we may have a
22	national regulation.

employees to be protected in every way possible. Most dry cleaners in this country, they don't worry when you take a break. They're not worried when you need a break, you need water -- that's it, go get it. It's -- it's not a real issue on that side of it. The dry cleaning industry and dry cleaning itself, since 2020 I guess, has dropped -- well with the loss of dry cleaners. But people are dry cleaning less and less all the time. So by default, there's less production going on in a dry cleaning facility. Casual wear, people working from home is a big influence.

In the summertime is when it's the slowest part of our industry. People dry clean significantly less, which means there's less production and less -- fewer people -- or fewer clothes to be processed in the production area. So it goes down significantly. The acclimatization part of the regulation is -- is one area where I do see a -- kind of a big issue. Dry cleaners -- not all, but many -- close up shop -- and typically around 4th of July, by the way -- for about a week. That means everybody is gone for the week, not

just one employee or two employees. And it makes -
because the dry cleaners are so small, they almost have

to do it that way. So they just said, you know what?

Here's the vacation, you're taking off a week at this

time. We close up shop and then we come back and

regroup and open up. So it makes a little bit tough.

But our most significant issue is -- is the onesize-fits-all. We would like something that really
fits, you know, a small business like a dry cleaner or
as Mr. Hollis was stating, you know, for -- for his
manufacturer of the boat. It's very significant to us.
It's very difficult to comply with many of the OSHA
regulations out there, because they are so ambiguous.
It's very difficult. And every region has a different
interpretation of how something should work. That is
probably our biggest concern.

We do have engineering controls that we use; evaporative coolers, fans, and what have you in the dry cleaning facility. And that is very dependent upon where the dry cleaner is located. So many dry cleaners in the United States are not in their own self standing building, where they own the building and the property.

They're in a shopping center or a strip mall. So
they're regulated. They're -- they're cooling and
ventilation is completely dictated by the shopping
center. And you may not be able to stick an
evaporative cooler up -- up on a roof or whatever the
case may be. That makes it very difficult.

But we do have engineering controls that we do use that are in there, like evaporative coolers and fans and -- and water breaks and what have you. We consistently poll our members through various Zoom calls and presentations like this. You know, how -- how are you handling when employees get hot and water and so forth? Well, you need a break, take a break.

There's -- the dry cleaning industry and the number of clothes processed has really gone down very low. Most production in this industry will stop by 11, 12 o'clock at the latest, if there's production at all. And in the summertime, it goes way, way down because people aren't wearing -- well, they're not wearing suits anyway and -- and dress pants. They're casual -- you know, everybody's casual now. And a lot of that stuff doesn't need processing anymore. And it's even

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

slower in the summertime when the heat really gets up there.

Today's a great example. I will tell you that the
dry cleaning industry in this state, they're done.

They're not -- they're not doing production at this
point. It's -- it's hot for everybody. And it
makes that a little bit difficult.

So my biggest, I guess, concern is -- is not against the regulation at all. It's just can we tailor a regulation that really fits a small business, that has seven or less employees, that are -- already are dealing with, you know, the hazard communication standard, the lockout/tagout standard, bloodborne pathogen rule, the RCRA rules, the clean -- all the EPA regulations. And they can't handle much more. It just keeps going on and on and on.

And we're not one of those industries where

we're -- it's an industry strict and -- oh, well you

can't have water or you can't use the bathroom. Want

to use the bathroom; use the bathroom. You -- you want

water; go get it, you know, it's always available. And

most cleaners anyway have the required breaks as

required by law; the 15-minute breaks and the half hour, 45-minute lunches that they provide.

So our -- really our issue is I wish you would take a look at the dry cleaning industry a little bit more and have something a little bit more tailored that we could -- that we could work with. And that's something that the dry cleaners could actually achieve, in terms of -- without creating this excess burden and expense on -- on the -- on the dry cleaners as a whole. So again, I want to thank OSHA and Your Honor. Thank you very much for allowing me to speak today.

JUDGE BELL: Thank you, Mr. Meijer. Questions from the OSHA room?

MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor. Thank you very much,
Mr. Meijer, for your testimony. So I hear you on the
one-size-fits-all. One of the things that people have
talked about as a solution is a performance-oriented
approach toward the rule. One of the tensions that we
often have as an agency is, if you go performanceoriented, sometimes small businesses will say, I don't
know what you want of me. How do I know when I've done
enough? So how do we add that flexibility that will

1	allow your members to protect folks, while providing
2	enough benchmarks so that they know when they've met
3	their obligations?
4	MR. MEIJER: Well, part of that I apologize,
5	I'm trying to show myself, but oh what the heck.
6	They don't want to look at me anyway. What we've done
7	in the past is for what's a good example? I hate to
8	say it really hasn't come up as much on the OSHA side,
9	but EPA side is education.
10	So we if if there's a benchmark in there, as
11	an association, it is our job to educate the industry.
12	Now, whether you're a member or not, of our
13	association, everybody's a member when it comes to
14	advocacy. Just some people decide to pay for
15	membership and some people don't. So we provide the
16	education in terms of okay, this is what you need to
17	do.
18	And in a lot of cases, the Small Business
19	Administration, through the various state agencies or
20	regional agencies, will put out guidance that says,
21	okay, you need to do A, B, and C. But once that's out
22	there, we translate it to the best of our ability. We

1 translate it, and sometimes we have to work 2 specifically with various regions on their 3 interpretations on an OSHA regulation. 4 always been the hardest part for us, is making sure -for me it's like, okay, I want to make sure I don't 5 6 mess anybody up. I want to make sure they're complying 7 and providing the proper health and safety for their employees. But it's mostly education and training fact 8 9 sheets. 10 And here's a good example. When the hazard 11 communication program came out, there -- the sample 12 plan that was provided was extensive. And actually, I 13 saw a sample plan regarding the heat stress and the --

14 and how long it was. I said, no one's reading this 15 thing; it's like 60 pages. No one -- no one's touching 16 It's a small business -- it's difficult for a that. 17 small business person, who's very intelligent, they're 18 hard working -- but it's tough to translate some of 19 this stuff. So providing help to small business on a 20 plan or on a -- on a regulation that's just more tiered 21 for the small business is very helpful to everybody. 22 It's -- it's not being against the health and

1 safety of our employees. Heat's a -- you know, not as 2 big of an issue, as in many industries, for us because 3 most -- most dry cleaners I know -- need a break --4 need a break; go get it. You know, it's not a --5 it's -- it's not the biggest -- it's not this big 6 issue. 7 But in terms of actual compliance, it's hard to -it's hard for a small business to figure that out. And 8 9 many times, it's hard for people like me to figure it 10 I think OSHA did put out, like, a sample -- I could be wrong -- or some sort of sample -- what a plan 11 12 might look like. And I believe it was like 70 pages. It was very difficult to look at. 13 14 MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. Our next question comes 15 from Zoe Petropoulos, who's joining us online. 16 MS. PETROPOULOS: Hi. Zoe Petropoulos for the 17 Directorate of Standards and Guidance. I just have a 18 couple questions. How do members currently monitor 19 heat or collect any data about heat in the workplaces? 20 And if there's different methods you're aware of, 21 that's fine too. 22 MR. MEIJER: Here's a simple answer. Most

1 probably don't monitor heat that much. If they do, 2 they probably just use a thermometer. I mean, it's 3 a -- it's a small business. It's -- there's not a -- I couldn't give you data on -- on specifically on what 4 5 the temperature is throughout the day in a production 6 area, for instance, of a dry cleaning plant. 7 typically -- I know it's typically probably around 80 -- 80 to 90 degrees, something to that effect. 8 9 And it depends on the engineering control that's 10 being used. There's a lot of different types of 11 engineering controls out there. I don't even know all 12 of them that are used, like spot ventilation that they 13 put -- if you are pretend like -- it's not an ironing 14 board, they use an actual -- but pretend like you're in 15 an ironing board. There'd be this like tube that comes 16 down, it's got spot ventilation that provides air, cool 17 air for that person during that process. But in terms 18 of temperature, I couldn't tell you. 19 MS. PETROPOULOS: Got it. Thanks. And my next 20 question, I believe you mentioned acclimatization in 21 your testimony as something that concerned you, but 22 please correct me if I'm misinterpreting that. Ιf

1	that's the case, my question is about kind of the two
2	options that OSHA proposed. So the first option for
3	acclimatization would be that employees would gradually
4	have their exposure to heat increased over the first
5	few days of work. And then the second option would be
6	that employers can develop their own plan that, at a
7	minimum, implements the procedures at the high heat
8	trigger whenever the initial heat trigger is is
9	is met or exceeded, and the high heat provisions being,
10	you know, mandatory rest breaks, hazard alert, and
11	observation. I'm wondering if you can speak to whether
12	those options are feasible based on the experience of
13	your members. And you know, if they're not, what sort
14	of revisions might make them more feasible for your
15	your member employees employers?
16	MR. MEIJER: I think. Well, my personal
17	personally and I don't have the data I don't know,
18	you know, the acclimatization role I don't know how
19	much that really affects. But you're talking about a
20	whole business. Gathering grant you, it's a very
21	small business, but you're asking everyone to come in,
22	and then everyone well, the three people say

1	let's say, that have to deal with the climate
2	acclimatization part of it. When you in a dry
3	cleaning plant, when you have the processing, you kind
4	of have to work there's several things that happen.
5	And basically, everyone kind of if you need a break,
6	you need a break, in general. But there's like a step
7	one, step two, step three. And it kind of has a flow
8	to it all the way around. So I guess you could try to
9	say, okay I don't know how you would do that with
10	if if you have one business, that's all that has
11	to do everything at the same time. And I'm asking.
12	MS. PETROPOULOS: You're asking me what? I'm
13	sorry.
14	MR. MEIJER: I'm asking I'm not quite sure how
15	a business that takes off for a week, has to comes back
16	and does it, the entire business, at the same time.
17	MS. PETROPOULOS: Whether all of the employees
18	would need to or just the returning one? Is that the
19	question?
20	MR. MEIJER: Well, they they would all need
21	they would all be returning.
22	MS. PETROPOULOS: In the case that they're all

1 returning. Got it. 2 MR. MEIJER: And I'm not talking about the -- for 3 instance, the -- a plant where somebody goes on 4 vacation and needs acclimatization, you know, kind of 5 I don't know -- and by the way, as an industry, 6 I'm not really in support of that for a small business. I don't think that's -- but now we're talking about a 7 whole business takes off and that whole business comes 8 9 back. A lot of cleaners take off for an entire week. 10 What are you going to do? Acclimatization -- I 11 mispronounced it, I'm sorry. Everyone's going to go 12 through it at the same time? It's very difficult for a 13 small business to do that. Does that make sense? 14 Yeah. And if there's any more MS. PETROPOULOS: 15 information that you wish to provide in post-hearing 16 comment, we would welcome your thoughts on the 17 acclimatization provision. 18 MR. MEIJER: Oh, absolutely -- absolutely. I will 19 But again, our goal is the same. And by and 20 large, you know, I understand -- I completely 21 understand the role. It's the tailorization of it that 22 I just think is important.



1 MS. PETROPOULOS: Thanks so much. And that's it 2 for me, for my questions. 3 MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor, the last question comes 4 from Grace, here in the room. 5 Hi. Joo-Hyung Shin from OSHA. MS. SHIN: 6 two questions. My first question is, could you 7 elaborate more on the heat exposure that is unique to your industry? 8 9 MR. MEIJER: I am so sorry. I can hardly hear 10 you. 11 MS. SHIN: I'm sorry. This Joo-Hyung Shin from 12 OSHA. 13 MR. MEIJER: Uh-huh. 14 MS. SHIN: Could you elaborate on the types of 15 heat exposure that are unique to your industry? 16 MR. MEIJER: Oh, it would be primarily the -- the 17 finishing equipment. Are you talking about the -- the 18 type -- where it comes from? 19 MS. SHIN: Yes. 20 MR. MEIJER: Primarily, steam that's generated by 21 a boiler that goes to the production equipment. 22 May I ask how long the duration is for MS. SHIN:

those types of heat exposure during a workday? 1 2 Typically, only in the morning. MR. MEIJER: So if -- if in fact -- today's a perfect example. 3 4 They're coming in earlier than most 100 degrees. 5 times. A production person will come in earlier and 6 they'll be -- they'll be out earlier. Typically when 7 production is done -- in general, production is done just in the morning anyway. And the primary reason for 8 9 that, it's not really based on heat so much, but 10 although that's a big factor. It's based, primarily, 11 because customers pick up in the afternoon. 12 everything is done in the morning and then the 13 afternoon, customers will come in and pick up their --14 pick up their clothing. 15 When it's hot outside, like today is a great 16 example, they're working a couple hours tops and 17 they're out. And in the summertime, just like, you 18 know, as it started summer a couple of days ago, the 19 number of pieces or the production is way down anyway. 20 Dry cleaning, primarily, it's very, very slow in the 21 summertime. Picks up much more in the winter time. 22 Thank you. My last question is, now or MS. SHIN:



1	in post-hearing comments, if you could provide us more
2	detail on your thoughts on the cost estimates that OSHA
3	came up with? And if there are any disagreements, if
4	you could provide alternatives, what cost estimates
5	make more sense to you if you could provide us some
6	of those examples to us in post-hearing, we would
7	greatly appreciate it. Thank you.
8	MR. MEIJER: Yes, ma'am, I have a question. You
9	said provide cost estimates on
10	MS. SHIN: Yes.
11	MR. MEIJER: On the on ventilation, on the
12	engineering control?
13	MS. SHIN: Yes. I think the provision if you
14	look at it and you see our unit cost like it takes
15	some, you know, like this number of minutes to comply
16	with this provision. And if that feels a bit off in
17	your experience, we would love to hear your thoughts on
18	that.
19	MR. MEIJER: I am so sorry I'm having it's the
20	microphone with her, I can't quite
21	MR. LEVINSON: Yeah. Mr. Meijer, this is Andy
22	Levinson for OSHA. So

1 MR. MEIJER: Yes, sir. 2 MR. LEVINSON: What she was asking is in OSHA's notice of proposed rulemaking, we provide cost 3 4 For example, it would take so much time to estimates. 5 produce a heat injury and illness plan, it would take X 6 amount of time to do training per employee, it would 7 take -- cost us this much to provide water, for example. If -- we noted you didn't provide a notice of 8 9 proposed rulemaking comment -- initial comments. 10 just wanted to make sure that your industry had the 11 chance, if you had any thoughts on whether or not our 12 cost estimates were accurate or not for your industry, 13 we would love that in post-hearing comments. 14 make sense? 15 MR. MEIJER: It makes perfect sense. 16 apologize here. Was there cost estimates provided 17 specific to dry cleaning? 18 MR. LEVINSON: So we make broad ranges for 19 everybody that's covered by the industry based on the 20 information that we have. 21 MR. MEIJER: Okay. 22 So going from the proposal to the MR. LEVINSON:

1	final, we're always trying to improve the accuracy of
2	our estimates. So any information that your industry
3	can provide would help improve our overall accuracy.
4	MR. MEIJER: Yes, sir. Got it. Thank you.
5	JUDGE BELL: Any other questions from the OSHA
6	room?
7	MR. LEVINSON: No. Your Honor, that concludes
8	OSHA's questions.
9	JUDGE BELL: From the Solicitors?
10	MS. LEVIN: Jennifer Levin from the Solicitor's
11	Office. No questions. Thank you very much.
12	JUDGE BELL: Are there any other questions for Mr.
13	Meijer?
14	MS. CARLON: There are not, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE BELL: Mr. Meijer, thank you very much for
16	your testimony. We greatly appreciate it.
17	MR. MEIJER: Thank you, sir.
18	MS. CARLON: The next speaker is Nicole Upano.
19	Please state your name and affiliation for the record.
20	MS. UPANO: Good morning. My name is Nicole
21	Upano. I serve as Associate Vice President of Housing
22	Policy and Regulatory Affairs at the National Apartment



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 Association. Today, I'm representing the nearly 100,000 combined members of the NAA and the National 2 3 Multifamily Housing Council, who own, operate, manage 4 and develop rental housing across the country. NAA and 5 NMHC appreciate my opportunity to appear at today's 6 hearing, and thank you, Judge Bell and OSHA leadership, 7 for your willingness to learn more about the industry's perspective on this proposed rule. 8

We appreciate OSHA's work to ensure that America's workers have safe and healthy working conditions, a goal that we wholeheartedly share. The rental housing industry is committed to providing affordable housing for American families, while ensuring that all employees in the industry ensure -- enjoy safe working conditions. For our part, NAA supports the industry's workforce with online credentialing and in-person training for our members, which incorporate workplace safety through our Education Institute.

Every NAA, EI -- excuse me -- every NAA EI

training module for our CAMT program, which is the

Certificate for Apartment Maintenance Technicians,

emphasizes the need for maintenance professionals to

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 avoid shortcuts and reduce their individual safety 2 risks, as well as risks to residents and rental 3 communities. Collectively, both organizations 4 encourage our members to handle potential safety issues 5 proactively, in an individualized manner that allow 6 each business to properly regulate their workplaces 7 based on the safety challenges facing that particular jurisdiction. 8

Yet as our -- as my fellow panelists before me have mentioned, our concern is the proposed one-size-fits-all approach across industries and climates of this rule. First, we believe the proposed rule is unworkable for the industry. To comply with the proposed rule, it's our understanding that businesses would need to be trained in -- need to train heat safety coordinators that could be readily dispatched based on forecast or hourly temperature readings, and be ready to set up shaded areas, water stations, observers, enforce a buddy system of sorts at a moment's notice. And those contingencies would need to be deployed depending on the weather.

These measures are unnecessary and unworkable for

1	industry professionals who spend the majority of their
2	day successfully working independently across sites.
3	Just drawing from NAAs leading industry credentialing
4	programs, we do not prescribe industry standards
5	because we acknowledge, in this regard, that on-site
6	teams of property managers and maintenance
7	professionals have inherent autonomy in their job
8	responsibilities. They cover sprawling, garden style
9	communities or multi-site territories within their
10	company's portfolio and throughout their workdays.
11	On any given day, onsite teams are performing walk
12	through inspections, maintenance tasks that are highly
13	variable depending on the season, emergency maintenance
14	requests, fulfilling a mix of preventative maintenance
15	and service requests, and addressing specific
16	compliance responsibilities for individual communities.
17	Industry professionals are empowered to use their

compliance responsibilities for individual communitie

Industry professionals are empowered to use their

discretion to move between outdoor and temperature
controlled environments that reduce their health and

safety risks due to high heat.

On top of that, owners and property management firms develop policies and practices for their

18

19

20

21

22

employees to reduce health risks on the job so that they thrive alongside their residents at our rental communities. They offer flexible work schedules, allow employees to schedule strenuous activity during off peak hours, provide education to recognize the signs of overheating, and widely encourage breaks for rest and hydration. On-site teams also stay in constant contact and communication about team member whereabouts to help mitigate health safety risks to employees.

Industry professionals already mitigate their risks to high heat exposure and do so on a property-by-property basis in ways that work for them, but still ensure that deadlines are met and jobs get done, without this national standard being in place. The industry is also experiencing a shortage of maintenance professionals. Essentially, requiring a buddy system to monitor heat triggers and imposing strict acclimatization plans for new employees and those returning from vacation puts unnecessary pressures on our small but mighty workforce and their ability to perform their job responsibilities efficiently and effectively. This would be a significant impediment

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

for our employees, who are used to operating

independently, and do so now, safely and successfully,

without the imposition of the standard.

Secondly, we believe this rule is not necessary at this time, and especially not at a national scale for every workplace. An incremental approach with appropriate study and refinement along the way would be a better way to proceed. The industry has decades of experience successfully addressing workers' encounters with the weather. 80 degrees in Tucson is very much different than 80 degrees in Milwaukee. The rental housing industry and its workers take a variety of approaches to address weather, that reflect the diversity of employees' tasks and the particulars of their climate. Those variations, even within the industry, further underscore the wisdom of an incremental approach here.

Thirdly, and lastly, we believe the rule is also unduly costly and not proven to achieve its goal to protect workers utilizing this valued but imperfect one-size-fits-all approach. The industry respects its workers and wants them to operate safely and

responsibly. The industry's concern, however, is with the substantial regulatory burdens here, the paperwork and regimentation burdens of this standard, none of which directly impact workers, but do considerably affect rental housing providers operating costs.

Further, OSHA's own data shows no significant benefit for workers in the rental housing industry.

And we believe the proposed rule would increase costs for rental housing industry, which would in turn chill future development and redevelopment of rental housing and further exacerbate the nation's housing undersupply crisis. This approach is in direct conflict with President Trump's housing priorities to boost housing supply and reduce costs for tens of millions of Americans who rent.

For these reasons, the rental housing industry,

NAA, and NMHC -- we urge OSHA to withdraw the proposed

rule for further study. Alternatively, we would ask,

if you move forward with this rule, that -- that you

exclude the rental housing industry. This concludes my

remarks, and I thank you again for the opportunity to

share the industry's perspective. And I'm happy to

1	answer any questions. Thank you.
2	JUDGE BELL: Thank you. Questions from the OSHA
3	room?
4	MR. LEVINSON: Andrew Levinson for OSHA. Thank
5	you very much for your testimony, Ms. Upano. My first
6	question is you talked a lot about the mobile
7	workforce and the high degree of independence it sounds
8	like your your workers have while they're out
9	working on properties. As OSHA thinks about this
10	issue. What should employers have to do to protect a
11	mobile workforce and ensure that those workers are, in
12	fact, safe while they're out working on properties?
13	MS. UPANO: Well, I appreciate the question. I
14	think it's certainly difficult to have any sort of
15	monitoring above and beyond what is already being done.
16	Proptech, in our industry, already helps us sort of
17	vaguely monitor employees, but also track the work
18	orders that they're doing, where they are on site, and
19	you know, it helps them maximize their time. If
20	they're working on a boiler, they may just be
21	monitoring the sensors that don't require heat
22	monitoring or safety checks versus, you know, being in



1	that room all day. That's not something they do.
2	They're they're moving across sites quite widely.
3	I'm happy to just go back to our Education
4	Institute and provide you any sort of specifics that we
5	can about those standards, or for our members our
6	members range from the small, independent rental owner
7	all the way up to the largest multi-state international
8	companies operating in the U.S to suggest some of
9	the some of what's in their existing safety plans.
10	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you very much. Our next
11	questions from OSHA come from Danielle Watson.
12	MS. WATSON: Hi. This is Danielle Watson from
13	OSHA. The first question I have is regarding
14	acclimatization. The first one is, in your testimony,
15	you mentioned some mitigation such as off-peak working
16	hours and that kind of thing to help with the heat. Is
17	there anything additional that's done for newly hired
18	employees or those that maybe were not working for a
19	while and now returned to do the type of work. Do
20	you do you happen to know if any of the members are
21	doing anything additional?
22	MS. UPANO: Sure. New employees all receive



1	training for the the facilities that they're
2	operating and as well as for individual community's
3	specific maintenance needs or work needs. I would have
4	to go back to see if any training is is issued for
5	newly returning employees. Again, we we give them a
6	lot of discretion, a lot of breaks, and opportunities
7	for hydration that underlie any sort of protocols that
8	are in place at a higher level companywide.
9	MS. WATSON: Okay. Thank you. The the next
10	comment that I have or question sorry is
11	regarding a written comment that was submitted,
12	mentioning challenges of providing warning signs when
13	the ambient temperature regularly exceeds 120 degrees.
14	And I just was curious if you could just expand on that
15	a little bit?
16	MS. UPANO: Are you saying the tracking of of
17	monitoring high heat temperatures? Am I hearing you
18	correctly?
19	MS. WATSON: It was more so the posting of
20	signage, warning signs that had to do with the high
21	temperature.
22	MS. UPANO: Yeah. You know, those those

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 notices are typically posted around the community and 2 especially in the workshop for maintenance professionals or in the leasing office. But again, 3 4 they're quite mobile in the work that they do. 5 between verbal conversations with their supervisors and 6 what is placed in the limited areas where they are 7 placed, that is how housing providers provide that sort of education. 8 9 Okay. All right. Thank you. MS. WATSON: 10 just had one more question. If you could explain what 11 are some of the instances where temperatures during --12

throughout the day of work, that it would be 120 degrees or more for indoor areas?

MS. UPANO: Sure. It would be in a -- you know, every -- this rule does not distinguish between different types of employees. And for -- for our maintenance professionals who are allowed to do that work -- and it's not limited to trades in a specific area -- they're working in a boiler room or you know, perhaps outside in -- in the parking area. But those duties are limited and dependent on the needs of a particular community. So it's hard to keep track of

1	how often that they are performing those duties.
2	MS. WATSON: Okay. Thank you. That's all the
3	questions I have.
4	MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor, the next questions from
5	OSHA come from Zoe Petropoulos, who's joining us
6	online.
7	MS. PETROPOULOS: Hey, Zoe Petropoulos with the
8	Directorate of Standards and Guidance. You mentioned
9	in your testimony that your industry has decades of
10	experience protecting workers from weather hazards.
11	And please correct me if that's a misquote. And you
12	also mentioned the geographic differences in heat
13	across the country. And it seems that maybe your
14	industry is is considering those things. And if
15	that's true, can you share how your member employers
16	currently account for geography in current health and
17	safety policies, and specifically what data they use to
18	inform those those customizations?
19	MS. UPANO: Thank you for the question. I'll have
20	to get back to you on the data piece. But I should
21	have mentioned earlier that NAA consists of about 140
22	state and local affiliates across the country that help

1	provide education to our member companies. So in
2	addition to the credentialing that NAA provides, the
3	industry does have at its disposal state and local
4	trade associations who can provide them, you know,
5	unique or nuanced guidance or specifics on how to train
6	their employees for workplace safety, as I said, the
7	Arizona multifamily or the Arizona Multihousing
8	Association has very much different training protocols
9	than, say, the Indiana Apartment Association in
10	advising their their membership.
11	MS. PETROPOULOS: Got it. Yeah. And that's
12	great. That last example, if there's any specifics you
13	can include on that or similar examples that would be
14	helpful. Thanks. That's it for me.
15	MS. UPANO: Thank you.
16	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you, Your Honor. The next
17	questions from OSHA come from Tiffany DeFoe who is
18	joining us online.
19	MS. DEFOE: Hello. And I want to first, thank you
20	not only for your testimony, but also for the
21	information that you provided about rest breaks, which
22	have addressed some of the questions that I had



1	prepared. But I would still like to ask can you
2	clarify a little bit about when maintenance workers and
3	other employees are taking at-will rest breaks, is that
4	paid time or is that sort of covered by their pay
5	structure or is it unpaid time?
6	MS. UPANO: I'd have to get back to you on that.
7	While some maintenance employees are hourly workers,
8	some may be salaried. And so there would be a
9	different structure for those for those breaks. But
10	they are highly encouraged, especially in high heat
11	situations. And you know, whether that's taking a
12	break in the work truck in an air-conditioned
13	environment between jobs or in a covered area at the
14	apartment community, indoor or outdoor, they're
15	certainly welcome to take those breaks at any time.
16	MS. DEFOE: Thank you very much. And I I think
17	that that addressed the last of my remaining questions.
18	Thank you.
19	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. And Your Honor, the
20	last questions from OSHA come from Adriana.
21	MS. LOPEZ-MENENDEZ: Thank you. Adriana Lopez
22	with OSHA. In your comment, you argue that the

1	proposed standard is unnecessary as the rental housing
2	industry has several decades of experience dealing with
3	workers experience with weather. Two questions. What
4	methods have your members adopted that have been
5	effective in the prevention of heat-related illnesses?
6	MS. UPANO: Thank you for the question. I would
7	want to reiterate that our members have their rental
8	communities come in all sizes and structures, whether
9	it's a garden style community in a sprawling exurban
10	environment or in a high rise within a city. And those
11	protocols definitely have to differ depending on
12	property type and location. And our members as I
13	said, we have 140 affiliates across the country who are
14	also now educating on specific state standards as well.
15	They need to incorporate all of those factors as they
16	make decisions about protocols.
17	MS. LOPEZ-MENENDEZ: Thank you. And then my next
18	question. In your experience what types of occupations
19	in your industry, are most at risk for heat-related
20	illnesses?
21	MS. UPANO: What types of employees? Did I hear
22	you correctly?



1	MS. LOPEZ-MENENDEZ: Yeah, which occupations in
2	your industry?
3	MS. UPANO: So if I would say that would be on-
4	site teams that are monitoring, providing, or doing
5	inspections or providing ongoing maintenance, whether
6	that's the property manager or a maintenance
7	professional. They each have a stake in that.
8	MS. LOPEZ-MENENDEZ: All Right. Thank you.
9	That's it for me.
10	MR. LEVINSON: And Your Honor, that concludes
11	OSHA's questions.
12	JUDGE BELL: Questions from the Solicitor?
13	MS. LEVIN: Jennifer Levin for the Solicitor's
14	Office. Your Honor, I have no questions. Thank you.
15	JUDGE BELL: Any other questions for the witness?
16	MS. CARLON: There are none, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE BELL: Ma'am, thank you very much for your
18	testimony. It's been very helpful.
19	MS. UPANO: Thank you, again.
20	MS. CARLON: And the next speaker is Keith
21	Stephenson. Please state your name and affiliation for
22	the record.



1 MR. STEPHENSON: Hello? Can you hear me? 2 JUDGE BELL: Yes. 3 MR. STEPHENSON: Okay. Yeah. Sorry, I'm not on 4 I don't know what I need to do to get on video, video. 5 but if my verbal testimony is okay, then I'll continue. 6 JUDGE BELL: It's fine. We'll use our 7 imagination. MR. STEPHENSON: That's probably better. Thank 8 you, Judge. 9 10 JUDGE BELL: Go ahead please. 11 My name is Keith Stephenson, and MR. STEPHENSON: I'm the Director of Public Affairs on behalf of IAAPA, 12 13 which is the Global Association for the Attractions 14 Industry. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on 15 the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's 16 advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Heat Injury 17 and Illness Prevention in Outdoor and Indoor Work 18 Settings. 19 IAAPA represents 6000 leading owners, operators, 20 suppliers, and manufacturers of amusement parks, theme 21 parks, attractions, water parks, resorts, family 22 entertainment centers, zoos, aquariums, science

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 centers, museums, cruise lines, and others in all 50 Our corporate office is located in Orlando, 2 states. 3 While the industry is diverse, parks and 4 attractions large and small share the common goal of 5 ensuring the safety and security of their employees and 6 guests, which includes, of course, heat injury and 7 illness prevention. Our members' facilities are designed for safety, comfort and convenience. In fact, 8 9 parks and attractions safely accommodate 881 million 10 guests and employees each year. The following 11 represent a few general examples of heat management 12 practices utilized by outdoor parks and attractions to 13 prevent heat injury and illness.

Number one, policies that provide employees with regular breaks away from heat, access to water, access to shade and air-conditioning as appropriate for prevention and recovery, and guidance regarding how to recognize and prevent heat-related injury and illness. Number two, managers, directors, supervisors, employees, and safety teams are trained to learn the early signs of excessive heat exposure, have notification, operating, and reporting procedures to

follow, and access to on-site first aid.

Third, our operators use policies based on utilization of wet globe technology or temperature, which is measured of heat stress that considers temperature, humidity, wind speed, sun angle, and cloud cover, which is solar radiation. Many operators use this technology instead of relying on the heat index alone, which only takes into consideration temperature, humidity, and wind. These practices are scalable for different business models and different climates, which is important since a significant percentage of parks and attractions operate outside during the summer months.

For example, based on the 80-degree heat index threshold proposed in the rule, Florida would exceed this threshold 278 days of the year. This underscores the need for flexibility based on location. Different regions require different approaches and levels for alerting or actions to reduce the risk of excessive notification to employees. Employers should also have the option to use local sensors for measurement instead of national or regional weather service information,

since the conditions can vary greatly across the region at any given time.

Heat injury statistics cited by OSHA demonstrate our industry's success with self-regulation. While OSHA notes in the ANPRM that since 2018, 789 heat-related hospitalizations and 54 heart related fatalities across nearly 275 unique industries have been documented by OSHA through workplace inspections and violations. Hospitalizations overwhelmingly occurred in different industries, not the attractions industry. During the same period, only two hospital incidents were reported for amusement and theme parks, despite employing well over 1 million employees and accommodating 880 million guests each year.

Given our industry's excellent record with respect to heat-related illness and the potential for unintended consequences for imposing a one size -- one-size-fits-all regulation across all industries, we respectfully urge OSHA to consider the following.

Limit the scope of OSHA regulation in this area to those industries that have experienced a disproportionate number of heat-related injuries and

illnesses in the United States. In addition to allowing industries with a safe record in this area to continue operating with flexibility, this will allow OSHA to focus its limited enforcement resources where they can have the most impact. With a more limited scope of regulation in this area, OSHA can encourage industries outside of the scope to adhere to industry best practices that are specifically adapted to their local climates and business models.

regulations to our industry, we urge you to take geographic variations into consideration in any regulation and provide maximum flexibility to individual parks and attractions, by allowing local exposure measurements and local limits for alerts and mitigation actions. And I believe that -- we believe that OSHA's final recommendations should be done with clarity, really through research, and then followed up with educating -- education and training to ensure maximum compliance. A one-size-fits-all approach to addressing work heat injury and illness prevention is counterproductive, since arguably OSHA already has

1	workplace safety enforcement under the General Duty
2	Clause.
3	Finally, IAAPA is a member of the Coalition for
4	Workplace Safety, which is a coalition of trade
5	associations and companies representing many industries
6	with millions of employees in every state in the
7	nation. We're focused on establishing reasonable and
8	responsible workplace safety standards across the
9	country. The Coalition offered feedback during the
10	Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act
11	process in December 20th, 2023. These comments
12	supplemented feedback presented on February 4th, 2022
13	involving OSHA's ANPRM. And I believe they also
14	presented for 20 minutes on many of these subjects
15	during a hearing like this last week. IAAPA fully
16	supports their position and recommendations, which go
17	into much more detail than what I've offered today.
18	Thank you for the opportunity to comment today. I'd be
19	happy to answer any questions.
20	JUDGE BELL: Thank you, sir. Questions from the
21	OSHA room?
22	MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor. Andrew Levinson

1	for OSHA. Thank you very much for your testimony
2	today, Mr. Stephenson. One of the issues that's come
3	up for the agency are people have stated that they've
4	had challenges with the concept of rest breaks as
5	needed, that that might provide undue logistical and
6	operational challenges. Do you have any thoughts or
7	recommendations on how the agency could ensure that
8	workers get rest breaks when they're experiencing heat
9	stress, without posing an undue burden on employers?
10	MR. STEPHENSON: Yeah. Thank you for that
11	question. I'm not the expert. You know, the
12	attraction industry is highly diverse, and they utilize
13	and employ the best safety and security experts in the
14	world. I need to consult with them and to get a random
15	sample of our members to provide more detailed answers
16	in writing during the post-comment period. But again,
17	I just I would point back to the fact that, you know
18	during the three year period, we only had two
19	incidences of heat injury and illness, and we host 881
20	million guests each year. It's a number one priority
21	for parks and attractions.
22	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. Your Honor, the next

questions come from Zoe Petropoulos, who's joining us online.

MS. PETROPOULOS: Hi. Zoe Petropoulos for the Directorate of Standards and Guidance. I have a couple questions for you, if that's okay. You mentioned that OSHA should account for geographic variation in a standard and we've heard recommendations from other witnesses during the hearing that suggests that OSHA should revise the proposed triggers and have triggers that vary by geography. I assume IAAPA would agree with this approach based on your testimony, but is that correct that you would agree with that approach?

MR. STEPHENSON: Yeah, we -- we definitely believe that regionalization is the way to go. I mean, I mentioned earlier in my testimony that, you know, Florida, for example, would exceed the threshold by 278 days. You know, but acclimation, you know, would be very different in that circumstance. And also determining, you know, heat would be very different in that circumstance, which is why we -- you know, we support the wet globe method. But yeah, absolutely, regionalization and really local instrumentation, I

think is critical to the success of -- of any rule that

you -- that -- that is finalized.

MS. PETROPOULOS: Got it. So I have some followup questions then. Now or in your post-hearing
comments, I'm curious your thoughts on, if OSHA were to
do triggers based on geography, what boundaries you
would propose OSHA using -- so for instance, at the
county level, at the state level, at a regional
level -- and what data you would propose OSHA rely on
to determine those?

MR. STEPHENSON: Yeah, that's a great question.

And it's - it's a very complex answer, and it's a complex issue which is why we're concerned about the one-size-fits-all. And even if we drew this down to the local level you know, we have some members that have done studies on, you know, what - what is heat.

And for example, if - if you have a large area that's outdoors, we have members that have studied - okay., well, what's the difference between heat on asphalt?

What's the difference between heat on - on - on sand.

What's the difference in heat near a pool? What's the difference in heat on grass? And what's the difference

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

if it's - if it's six feet from the ground, three feet from the ground, two feet from the ground, eight feet from the ground and higher? And the differences in those temperatures were very broad.

And so again, even if you were to focus it down to the county and local level, I think it's important for each facility to have that flexibility, based on the -- the -- the dynamics of their facility. And you know, I know that's a very sort of esoteric answer, but the issue is complex. And some of our members have found that it's even complex at the ground level. I hope I've answered your question. I -- I probably threw more questions than answers, but I just wanted to kind of give you that perspective from some of the findings we've had from some studies we've done locally.

MS. PETROPOULOS: Yeah. I have a couple other questions for you and some follow-up from that. If -you mentioned some studies and if those are things
you're able to share with us in the docket, we would
greatly appreciate that. And yeah, any details on data
your member employers currently collect or use to
determine kind of safety protocols in their

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

environments, that would be helpful.

MR. STEPHENSON: Yeah, safety protocols would

be -- we'd be happy to do that because we have so many.

As far as the study is concerned, I don't know if it's

proprietary because they were looking at it from their

own perspective, but I would love to -- to share that

with you. Let me ask them that question and to see if

I can share that with you.

MS. PETROPOULOS: Thanks. I have a couple more questions, I'm sorry. So you mentioned that many operators in your network use wet bulb globe temperature to monitor heat conditions on job sites.

I'm curious, the ones who do currently collect that data, how do they use it? Do they determine -- do they use that to determine when certain controls should be put in place, or do they use it in another way? Could you expand upon that?

MR. STEPHENSON: Yeah, I think what -- they were doing this to determine how the proposed rule would impact them in terms of triggering the 80, you know, the 80 degree threshold. Like I said, you know, their business is safety. And you know, with 881 million

1	people that they accommodate each year, including
2	employees and the fact that during a three year
3	three year period, they have only had two
4	hospitalizations, which I don't know what those were
5	for. You know, whatever policies and procedures this
6	diverse industry has in place, it's working.
7	Again, I believe that this company or these
8	companies were looking at it to determine your
9	thresholds and to study them. And I think they
10	determined that that the 80 that again, the
11	the 80 degree threshold is is is very arbitrary.
12	And and they were just trying to study it just to
13	determine, you know, how would that play out at their
14	facility? And again, they figured they found out
15	that it would impact the facility differently depending
16	on different areas or elevations.
17	MS. PETROPOULOS: Got it. I have just two more
18	things I want to follow-up on. I want to make sure
19	either now or in post-hearing comments, if you can
20	clarify that the 80 and 90 that they were measuring
21	against in this in these studies was heat index, not
22	wet bulb globe temperature of 80 and 90? I just want

1	to make sure I understand what you're saying.
2	MR. STEPHENSON: Yeah. Again, I'll I'll ask to
3	see if this information is proprietary, but they were
4	studying it between 80 and 90.
5	MS. PETROPOULOS: Heat index or wet bulb globe
6	temperature?
7	MR. STEPHENSON: I'd have to ask that question.
8	MS. PETROPOULOS: Okay, sorry I have so many
9	specific questions.
10	MR. STEPHENSON: I think they were trying to
11	compare and contrast between maybe methods they use and
12	what OSHA is proposing. And let me ask them for
13	clarity, because I guess if we're recommending wet
14	globe, that would compare and contrast what you're
15	proposing. So let me clarify that in our post
16	comments.
17	MS. PETROPOULOS: Okay. And I'll just leave
18	another question with you that you can consider in
19	post-hearing comments; it's related. So OSHA proposed
20	two approaches for the triggers. One is the heat index
21	of triggers of 80 and 90. And then also another option
22	is using wet bulb globe temperature and then



1	calculating the NIOSH REL and RAL. And so those
2	incorporate things like workload and PPE. And so it
3	sounds like maybe some of your member employers have
4	thought about maybe the difference of using heat index
5	versus wet bulb globe temperature. So would be curious
6	kind of if any member employers kind of envision
7	themselves using wet bulb globe temperature, if that
8	would be an easier option or a preferable option? And
9	then maybe any data that they're willing or able to
10	share on kind of how they've measured the two and how
11	they've compared them and and preferences that they
12	have on using those we would be really appreciative
13	to hear your thoughts on those things there.
14	MR. STEPHENSON: Yeah, I will I will check with
15	these members to see if they're willing to make this
16	public. Again, I know that their preference would be
17	wet globe. Again, I'm guessing, and I'll clarify
18	whether or not they were kind of comparing the two, but
19	their preference is definitely wet globe.
20	But again, I have to reiterate the fact that, you
21	know you know, why create a new one-size-fits-all

regulatory requirement for a problem that doesn't exist

1	within our industry? And I think that, you know, we
2	would prefer and based on the heat injury statistics
3	from OSHA that demonstrates our industry's success
4	that we would prefer self-regulation and inter-industry
5	collaboration. So we'll do our best to get that to you
6	for your own purposes. But again, I feel we believe
7	that our industry is is doing all the right things.
8	MS. PETROPOULOS: Thanks so much for your time and
9	thanks for listening to all my questions.
10	MR. STEPHENSON: Thank you. They're great
11	questions. I I don't have all those answers.
12	Again, I'm not the expert, but I will I will dig
13	into those.
14	MS. PETROPOULOS: Thank you.
15	MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor, the next question from
16	OSHA comes from Danielle Watson here in the room.
17	MS. WATSON: Hi, this is Danielle Watson from
18	OSHA. During your testimony, you had mentioned access
19	to water and I was just curious if you could explain
20	how some of your member employers provide that access
21	and what are the typical quantity or amount of of
22	the water?



1	MR. STEPHENSON: Yeah. Again, I'm not the expert.
2	It's a diverse industry, so I'd like to consult them,
3	if I could, and provide a more thorough answer in
4	writing during my post-comment period.
5	MS. WATSON: Sure, thank you. I appreciate it.
6	That's that's the only question I had.
7	MR. LEVINSON: And Your Honor, the last question
8	comes from Adriana Lopez here in the room.
9	MS. LOPEZ-MENENDEZ: Hi, this is Adriana Lopez
10	with OSHA. I just had a question about heat-related
11	illness prevention training. If you could, how much
12	time and other resources would you anticipating
13	anticipate in updating these training programs so that
14	you could comply with the requirements of the proposed
15	standard? And are these changes that could be made to
16	these requirements what could we do to provide
17	flexibility to you?
18	MR. STEPHENSON: Well, I think the best way to
19	provide flexibility to us would be to not have the new
20	regulation, if it's going to exist, apply to us for all
21	the reasons I've mentioned thus far. You know, we
22	haven't studied that. The only thing we're focused

2

3

4

5

6

7

on -- our industry is focused on is being a leader in safety and security and -- and I believe that the safety citations I've referenced during this call demonstrates that we are. And so I -- our industry believes that we're doing a great job and, you know, safety is our business. And so we'd like to continue doing that.

We've not studied, you know, how this would apply 8 9 to us in terms of how it would, you know, impact 10 standing operating procedure. But again, as I 11 mentioned, it's a highly diverse industry and we have 12 so many different business models and they really apply 13 safety protocols relevant to their own local business 14 based on experience and based on the needs of their 15 employees. And again, because of our safety record, we 16 believe that they should continue to do that. 17 overlaying a new regulation to a problem that doesn't 18 exist in our industry would really create more harm 19 than good because of compliance and maybe lack of 20 clarity. And specifically, we -- many of our members 21 are small businesses. And so, you know, we think it's 22 best for them to be able to operate their business and

1	to not have to take the time to take a look at how
2	these new regulations would impact their standing
3	operating procedures and then implement them. Because
4	at the end of the day, based on our safety record, all
5	that would really do for them was create more time and
6	cost. And it wouldn't really provide more safety in
7	our opinion.
8	MS. LOPEZ-MENENDEZ: Thank you.
9	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you, Your Honor. That
10	concludes OSHA's questions.
11	JUDGE BELL: Any questions from the Solicitor?
12	MS. LEVIN: Jennifer Levin from the Solicitor's
13	Office, Your Honor. No further questions. Thank you.
14	JUDGE BELL: Are there any other questions for
15	this witness?
16	MS. CARLON: There are not, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE BELL: Mr. Stephenson, thank you very much
18	for your testimony. We appreciate it.
19	MR. STEPHENSON: Thank you, Judge. Thank you,
20	panelists. Thank you very much.
21	JUDGE BELL: All right. Are we at the point where
22	it's lunch time?



1 MS. CARLON: Yes, Your Honor. 2 JUDGE BELL: Okay. So we're back at 1 o'clock 3 Eastern Time, is that right? 4 MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor. 5 JUDGE BELL: All right. Just on that note, I will 6 tell you that I've been getting emergency alerts that 7 the Cincinnati Department of Labor offices are closed today because of the heat. 8 9 Thank you. MR. LEVINSON: 10 JUDGE BELL: And a failure of the air-conditioning 11 system in the Peck Federal Office building, apparently. 12 MR. LEVINSON: We had similar issues yesterday in 13 Philadelphia, sir. 14 JUDGE BELL: Yeah. It's quite a heat outbreak 15 we're having. All right. I'll talk to you at 1 16 o'clock. Thanks, everybody. 17 MR. LEVINSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 (Break.) 19 MS. CARLON: This is Miriam Carlon from ABT 20 Global, OSHA's contractor. It's 1 o'clock eastern time 21 and we are now rejoining OSHA's informal rulemaking 22 hearing for Heat Injury and Illness Prevention in

Outdoor and Indoor Work Settings. We will review our
technical logistics again before we begin. If you are
speaking today, you will receive a notification on your
screen that you are being promoted to the panelist
group a few minutes before it is time to provide your
testimony.

Once promoted to the panelist role, you will be able to unmute and turn on your camera. We ask that you do not unmute or turn on your camera until your name has been called and you have been asked to start your testimony. Speakers connected by telephone should unmute their phones when called to testify.

All Webex attendees can access closed captioning and translated captioning by clicking on the CC icon in the lower left-hand corner of the application. You can individually select your caption language if translation is required.

All YouTube viewers will have access to auto translation the day after the hearing.

Dependent on timing, there may be opportunity to ask questions of other speakers giving testimony. You may press the raise hand button at the bottom of the

1	Webex application to indicate that you have a question.
2	If there is time, you will be called on by name and
3	promoted to the panelists group to unmute and ask your
4	question.
5	If you are having any technical difficulties,
6	please send an email with your name and phone number to
7	public_hearing@abtassoc.com.
8	I will be introducing each speaker in turn.
9	Please speak slowly and clearly so our court reporter
10	can record these proceedings accurately.
11	The first speaker will be Jo Strang. Please state
12	your name and affiliation for the record.
13	MS. STRANG: My name is Jo Strang, and I am with
14	the American Short Line and Regional Railroad
15	Association.
16	JUDGE BELL: Ms. Strang, this is Judge Bell. Go
17	ahead, please.
18	MS. STRANG: All right. Thank you.
19	The American Short Line and Regional Railroad
20	Association represents the nation's 603 short line
21	railroads and more than 500 industry suppliers that
22	support them. Thank you for allowing me to speak to



you today about the NPRM and its potential impact on the small business freight rail industry. Short line railroads are indeed small businesses with an average of 30 employees that provide outsized impact on the nation's freight rail system.

We serve as the on and off ramp for access, particularly in small town and rural America, serving more than 10,000 customers and shipping all commodities. This NPRM, while well-intentioned to protect workers from serious heat-related injuries and illnesses, is overly burdensome and should not apply to railroads as we are already regulated in this area by the Federal Railroad Administration.

FRA's actions to address heat-related employee rest and employee fatigue issues supersede OSHA's authority in the railroad context. Railroad safety is primarily regulated by the FRA, which has authority to prescribe regulations and issue orders for every area of railroad safety, supplementing laws and regulations.

49 U.S.C. 20103 is the code under which FRA derives its authority. FRA has exercised its authority broadly to cover the NPRM subject matter. OSHA's

authority to regulate railroads is limited by FRA's exercise of its statutory authority because OSHA regulations do not apply when another federal agency exercises statutory authority to prescribe or enforce standards or regulations.

Accordingly, OSHA's authority to regulate ends when another federal agency -- in this case, FRA -- regulates in the same area. Moreover, FRA's safety data demonstrates that railroads' heat-related risk mitigation programs have been successful. Railroads provide extensive accident and incident reporting under 49 CFR Part 225.

As a result, FRA has collected detailed heat illness and injury data from the railroad industry over an extended period. Over the last five years, from 2020 to 2024, the average -- annual average on duty heat-related illness injury reports were 26 across the entire railroad industry.

In context, there are nearly 200,000 railroad employees nationwide. The heat-related injury rate for those employees was less than 0.015 injuries reported for 200,000 railroad employee hours worked. Over the

last 30 years, only a single railroad employee fatality report cites heat as a potential cause.

While we firmly believe that railroads should be excluded from this NPRM, and that as our primary request, if the NPRM moves forward, as the regulatory requirements will cause a significant burden to small business railroads in the form of duplicated recordkeeping and tracking. Additionally, many requirements are simply not relevant for the industry or possible in the operational context of railroading.

We're very few employees working in a defined space of any kind where employees wear multiple hats serving in varied functions. With the treat -- heatindex triggers that do not reflect a region's climate or weather patterns, the specifics of the vast array of mitigation measures that railroads currently take, and the burdens that this rule would impose, are laid out in our submitted comments to the record from January 14th, 2025.

In short, we agree that it is imperative to provide a safe working environment at all times and in all weather conditions. Heat-related safety measures

1	are currently adequately and thoroughly regulated by
2	the FRA. Moreover, injury and accident data over a
3	long period of time shows that these mitigation
4	measures are highly successful. Therefore, we
5	respectfully ask that railroads be excluded from OSHA
6	oversight in the final rulemaking. That concludes my
7	statement.
8	JUDGE BELL: Thank you, Ms. Strang.
9	Questions from the OSHA room?
10	MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor.
11	Thank you, ma'am, for your comments, and we
12	certainly take your point on the limits of OSHA's
13	authority and jurisdiction, and that is something that
14	we will seriously consider. Let me ask you a question
15	about you said this is already covered by FRA and
16	that what you're doing is adequate.
17	Can you tell us a little bit about what short line
18	railroads are actually doing to protect workers from
19	heat, and how do you ensure that it's adequate,
20	particularly for a mobile workforce where you said, you
21	know, people don't have defined work settings or
22	workplaces?



MS. STRANG: Of course. So first of all, FRA regulates in the area that certain types of machinery that's used, if it's -- has to have air-conditioning. And then, the other way that railroads keep their workers safer, they're -- for example, for our small business railroads, many of them are family-owned, and they treat their employees like family. They take a look out for when the temperatures are high; they know their local working conditions.

And for us, in the small railroad industry, you know, our distances aren't huge, for one, for most -- most of the small railroads. And people have access to water, and to shade, and to being cool, and they also do multiple functions. So in a small railroad, most employees do more than one thing. So they may be a track worker on Tuesday, and a locomotive engineer on Wednesday, because a lot of railroads don't operate every day, and they may have different functions.

Somebody may work in an office one day, they may work out in the field another day. So they're not exposed to something, like, for a long period of time in any given day, most of the time, unless there may be

1	a special project that's ongoing, in that which case
2	people will monitor it more closely.
3	My colleague, Eric Betke, is actually runs a
4	railroad, and he'll be able to tell you what his
5	railroad does, and I believe he's on next. So I'm not
6	sure if I covered your question or not, but I'm also
7	happy to survey our members and see if there's anything
8	that I've missed that that they want me to tell you.
9	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. We do have some
10	additional questions.
11	The next question is going to come from Jonathan
12	Bearr, here in the room.
13	MR. BEARR: Hi, Jonathan Bearr, OSHA Directorate
14	of the Standards and Guidance. Ms. Strang, in your
15	association's comments, it was noted that including a
16	list of work activities covered by the Heat Injury and
17	Illness Prevention Plan was unnecessary. How would you
18	ensure that the HIIPP adequately addresses all heat-
19	related hazards without identifying the affected work
20	activities?
21	MS. STRANG: Well, I think our safety record
22	speaks for itself in the number of injuries and

1	accidents that are have been reported over the past
2	several years.
3	MR. BEARR: And just a follow up on that. Do you
4	think that the different types of work tasks performed
5	by different employees would is important with
6	respect to protecting workers from heat?
7	MS. STRANG: So I think part of the problem is
8	because short line railroads do multiple functions in
9	any given day, it would be - just be overwhelmingly
10	difficult. And these aren't big, sophisticated
11	companies. They're small businesses that are already
12	extremely heavily regulated by the Federal Railroad
13	Administration, by the Pipeline and Hazardous Material
14	Safety Administration, by the Federal Motor Carrier
15	Safety Administration. So this, I mean it's - it's
16	just simply not needed in our industry.
17	MR. BEARR: Thank you. One more question. If the
18	supervisor were also assigned as a heat safety
19	coordinator, do you anticipate any substantive changes
20	to their work?
21	MS. STRANG: I would have to review the specific
22	duties, but we have typically, it's in certain

1 types of work, there is somebody who is already going 2 to be in charge. So for example, if they're on 3 locomotive, the conductor is in charge of the train. 4 If they are doing work on the track, then there's 5 typically a roadway worker in charge. So as long as 6 they could do their other duties, you know, perhaps 7 But you know, it would really depend on exactly what they were doing and what the requirements would 8 9 be. 10 MR. BEARR: Thank you. All right. 11 MR. LEVINSON: 12 Your Honor, the next questions come from Brenda 13 Finter, who is online. 14 MS. FINTER: Patti will be asking these questions, 15 Andy. 16 MR. LEVINSON: Oh, sorry. 17 Patti Downs, who is online. 18 MS. DOWNS: That's okay. 19 Patti Downs with the Directorate of Standards and 20 Guidance. We like to keep you on your toes, right? 21 Ms. Strang, in response to an earlier question, 22 you mentioned that your workers have access to shaded



1	areas and breaks. However, other commenters have
2	stated that access to shade and frequent breaks aren't
3	realistic for railway workers. Could you just please
4	discuss your experience with these controls a little,
5	and maybe any alternatives that have been used?
6	MS. STRANG: Yes. Yeah, so it's the way that OSHA
7	prescribes the shade, and rest, and the donning and
8	doffing of PPE that becomes a problem. So if it's
9	if it's an area of shade where they can go sit in their
10	pickup truck for a while, that's fine. If it's
11	something where they have to erect a tent or do
12	something like that, it's impracticable.
13	MS. DOWNS: Okay. Great. Thank you so much.
14	That's all I have, Andy.
15	MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor, the next questions come
16	from Dalton Moore, who's here in the room with us.
17	MR. MOORE: Hi. This is Dalton Moore with the
18	Directorate of Enforcement Programs, and I just have a
19	question. And you can either answer this, or maybe, in
20	your post-hearing is fine, but as far as, like,
21	industry hazard alerts, do you have any suggestions on
22	how to make hazard alerts more effective when



1 temperatures exceed the high heat trigger? And also, 2 two, what would make a hazard alert more effective, in your opinion, for the industry? 3 4 MS. STRANG: So those are -- those are good 5 And you know, we certainly distribute all questions. 6 of the OSHA materials that we get. I'm on all of your 7 distribution lists, and we find most of them to be, you know, fairly relevant, and helpful, and help raise 8 9 awareness of a certain issue. So if I could ask my 10 members how they use them and get back to you, I'd be 11 happy to do that. 12 MR. MOORE: (Audio interference) you. 13 Thank you, ma'am, and post-hearing MR. LEVINSON: 14 comments are always very welcome. Our --15 MS. STRANG: Then, great. 16 MR. LEVINSON: -- last question from OSHA comes 17 from Rachel, who's joining us online. MS. CARSE: 18 Yes. 19 JUDGE BELL: We're not hearing you. 20 MS. CARSE: Okay. Sorry about that. 21 I just have one quick question. Can you tell us 22 about the size of your industry? And did I hear you

1 correctly that you would consider all your members 2 small businesses? 3 MS. STRANG: So it depends. It depends whose 4 definition of small business you're using. 5 MS. CARSE: Uh-huh. 6 MS. STRANG: I -- the Federal Railroad Administration's definition of small businesses, they 7 are. By the Small Business Administration definition 8 9 of small businesses, most of them are. Some of them 10 are owned by -- owned by a holding company, and may not 11 be, but the vast majority are -- are independently, 12 privately-owned companies. So there are 603 short line 13 railroads in United States. 14 MS. CARSE: And do you happen to know about, 15 approximately, how many employees? 16 MS. STRANG: It's roughly 30,000 employees. 17 MS. CARSE: Okay. All right. Thank you. 18 MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor, that concludes OSHA's 19 questions. 20 JUDGE BELL: Thank you. Any questions from the 21 Solicitor? 22 Thank you, Your Honor. Linda Wiles MS. WILES:

- from the Solicitor's Office.
- Thank you, Ms. Strang, for your testimony today,
- 3 and for your time.
- I have no questions.
- JUDGE BELL: All right. Any other questions for
- 6 Ms. Strang?
- 7 MS. CARLON: Yes, Your Honor. We have one from
- 8 Mr. Lundegren.
- 9 MR. LUNDEGREN: Thank you, Your Honor, and panel.
- 10 And hi, Jo. This is Bruce Lundegren at the Office
- of Advocacy at the U.S. Small --
- MS. STRANG: Hi, Bruce. Nice to see you.
- 13 MR. LUNDEGREN: -- Business Administration.
- Mobile. Nice to see you. Well, I can't see you, but
- 15 I --
- MS. STRANG: Well, actually, I just realized that.
- 17 MR. LUNDEGREN: -- can hear you.
- MS. STRANG: I'm so sorry. I forgot to turn my
- 19 camera on. Hi.
- MR. LUNDEGREN: Oh, there you are. Okay. Good.
- MS. STRANG: Yeah, sorry about that.
- MR. LUNDEGREN: That's okay. Jo -- also though,



1	this is Bruce Lundegren. Thank you for your
2	association and your members' participation in the
3	SBREFA panel process on this a couple years ago. We
4	appreciate that. Can you just explain a little bit
5	more how to what distinguishes a short line railroad
6	from the larger railroads, and how you are
7	operationally different from them?
8	MS. STRANG: Well, I mean, in many respects, our
9	operations are the same. It's the same gauge of track,
10	the same type of, you know the same types of cars,
11	et cetera. But in a lot of ways, we're very different.
12	So short line railroads, for one, our ownership is
13	different. We're we're privately all of the
14	railroads are privately held.
15	Many of them are family-owned businesses. Many
16	are family businesses that have been in their family
17	since the 1800s. In the railroad industry, if you
18	remember, is a very old industry. The, you know the
19	second oldest chartered railroad had their charter in
20	1838. They're still operating. It's the Strasburg
21	Railroad in Pennsylvania.
22	So we've been around for a very long time. One of

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

right?

1	the things that's different, though, about a small
2	business short line railroad is there is not the same
3	level of density on our lines, most of the density is
4	fairly light. Most - no short lines may not operate
5	every day, or if they - and they may only operate in
6	daylight hours.
7	So the big railroads are all 365, 24/7 operations.
8	Ours typically are not. So there's a lot - a lot
9	different aspects of it. And the other thing is, most
10	people do more than one job. We don't have the luxury
11	of having people that are dedicated to a particular

So in the large railroad, people are usually defined by their craft and class. And then, there are union agreements where they may have rules about what work they're allowed to do or not allowed to do. We - we usually don't have those in our industry. Most of it is, you know - I'll use the example of the Sandersville Railroad as a very small railroad. It runs 38 miles.

craft most of the time, so people will do other things,

The only commodity they haul is clay, and they

1	haul clay four times a week. So the people that work
2	on that railroad do multiple functions. So they may
3	work on the track and repair the track one day, and
4	they may be a locomotive engineer the next, and that
5	does not happen on the larger railroads.
6	MR. LUNDEGREN: Okay. And this is Bruce
7	Lundegren. And following up on Andy Levinson's
8	questions about this issue of dual jurisdiction between
9	Federal Railroad and OSHA, can you - do your member
10	companies - do they already have health and safety
11	programs in place, and do those include a heat
12	component?
13	MS. STRANG: So if they are in they first of
14	all, they they do. They all have they all have
15	safety plans, and they all work very closely with
16	their with their typically, with their local FRA
17	inspector. The other thing that some some railroads
18	also fall under state heat plans that exist. So we
19	have a number of railroads that are in states that have
20	a state requirement for a heat plan that they'll
21	that they'll then follow.

So that's -- and actually, that brings up another,

1 kind of, wrinkle in our comment, which was, you know, 2 there is not a harmonization between the state plans 3 So there are some variations where some and the NPRM. 4 states have different requirements, and people were a 5 little concerned about that too. But for the most 6 part, I mean, I think the -- the safety data really 7 speaks for itself, and we just don't have heat-related injuries or illnesses. So whether they have a plan or 8 9 not, whatever they're doing seems to be working. 10 MR. LUNDEGREN: Okay. Thank you. That's all I 11 had. 12 Thank you, Your Honor. 13 JUDGE BELL: All right. 14 Any other questions? 15 MS. CARLON: There are not, Your Honor. 16 JUDGE BELL: All right. 17 Ma'am, thank you very much for your testimony. 18 really appreciate it. 19 Thank you. I appreciate the MS. STRANG: 20 opportunity. 21 JUDGE BELL: Okay. Bye-bye. 22 The next speaker is Eric Betke. MS. CARLON:

1	Please state your name and affiliation for the record.
2	MR. BETKE: My name is Eric Betke. I'm the
3	President of -
4	JUDGE BELL: Mr. Betke, we can't hear you.
5	MR. BETKE: Can you hear me now?
6	JUDGE BELL: Very faintly.
7	MR. BETKE: All right. Is that better?
8	JUDGE BELL: That is much better. Thank you.
9	MR. BETKE: Okay. Good.
10	All right. My name is Eric Betke. I'm the
11	President of the Farmrail System Incorporated, located
12	in Clinton, Oklahoma, and I'm also the President of the
13	Finger Lakes Railway up in Geneva, New York. I - so
14	with that, I'll start.
15	My name is Eric Betke, and I serve as the
16	President of Farmrail System Inc., a Class III short
17	line freight rail operator known as Western Oklahoma's
18	Regional Railroad. Our 390 route miles comprise part
19	of an effective interline service territory, including
20	12 states within a 500-mile radius, and 11 metropolitan
21	areas within 300 miles. Farmrail also has a joint
22	venture railroad operation in upstate New York, the



Finger Lakes Railway.

Our employee-owned enterprise speaks to a comprehensive workforce commitment to safety at all times and under all conditions. Heat-related safety is critical for a carrier which operates for 3 1/2 summer months in temperatures exceeding 85 degrees. This NPRM, while well-intentioned to protect workers from serious heat-related illnesses and injuries, is superseded by the Federal Railroad Administration, such that railroads should be excluded from a final rulemaking.

The FRA has exercised its authority broadly to cover the NPRM subject matter, including regulations governing hours of service employees that preclude the application of OSHA's heat injury and illness prevention regulations concerning rest breaks for train operations' employees, dispatchers, maintenance of way personnel, and signal system employees.

Moreover, FRA's safety data demonstrates that railroads' heat-related risk mitigation programs have been extraordinarily successful. Over the last five years, the heat-related injury rate for railroad

employees was less than .015 injuries reported for 200,000 hours worked.

Since its inception in 1981, Farmrail has had only four heat-related incidents. The NPRM as written does not reasonably take into consideration procedures already in place on railroads, nor does it contemplate the realities of railroad options. Examples include OSHA fails to consider specific personal characteristics and work factors that may affect heat strain. As a part of the hiring process, railroads assess whether a candidate for employment can perform job-specific tasks related to the position, including work being done in potentially high heat conditions.

Emergency response plans must rely on a designated person to invoke heat emergency procedures. This stipulation is impractical on a railroad where operations are spread out over tens of miles and crews can vary day-to-day. Every employee is responsible for safety, and the closest to a potential event must be able to respond quickly.

The NPRM's requirements are overly burdensome for the railroad services. The requirement of a high heat

threshold of 80 degrees as a triggering event does not consider regional climate realities. There would be high heat events 110 days out of the year in Western Oklahoma. Railroads may have dozens of work sites by definition, including a vehicle riding alongside a train, remote stations, and a headquarters location.

Each would require a definitive plan. Farmrail is steadfastly committed to workplace safety and fulfills the intention of this NPRM in the following ways.

First and foremost, the potential impact of heat and other weather conditions is addressed in the daily safety briefing that precedes all work activities. It covers heat mitigation actions to be taken, locations of available resources, cool places, the proper actions and treatments should an injury or illness occur.

Each crew is provided with coolers, ice, water,

Gatorade, cooling PPE, air-conditioned buildings or

vehicles, and empowered to take rest breaks as needed.

In some cases, work schedules are modified to avoid the

hottest hours of the day. All field resources carry

radios that connect to each other, as well as the

dispatcher in the main office.

1	Farmrail is just one example of more than 600
2	short lines geographically ranging from Alaska to
3	Florida that would be excessively and unnecessarily
4	burdened by this rulemaking. FRA's regulations are
5	reflective of the industry work environment, and
6	mitigation procedures have been shown to be effective
7	over many years' experience. We urge you to exclude
8	railroads from the final rulemaking as we are
9	appropriately regulated in the areas contemplated by
10	this NPRM by the FRA. Thank you for the opportunity to
11	participate in this hearing.
12	JUDGE BELL: Thank you, Mr. Betke. We appreciate
13	it.
14	Questions from the OSHA room, please?
15	MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor. Andrew Levinson
16	for OSHA.
17	Thank you very much, Mr. Betke, for your
18	testimony. As I stated before, we take your point
19	about FRA authority and jurisdiction, and that's
20	something that the agency will carefully consider. One
21	of the things I'm trying to understand, given all of
22	the things that you've said that you're doing in

- Farmrail, what particular aspects of OSHA's regulation would be unduly burdensome for you?
- MR. BETKE: Well, I -- I think having a, you

 know -- a designated safety officer everywhere -- you

 know, we have 390 miles in Oklahoma. So you can have

 people anywhere on the system 120 miles away from other

 folks. So you know, you would require a 1/2 a dozen or

 eight different specifically designed, you know, folks

 focusing on the heat injury and illness.

10 And what we try to do is train our people to all 11 be very aware of it. When you live in Oklahoma, you 12 have to be aware of the heat. It's part of life out 13 there every day. The -- the other component is the 14 reporting and administrative aspects of this. The, you 15 know, regulatory nature of railroads is very intense 16 already, and you know, we want to be able to expend our 17 resources on making our railroad better, supporting our 18 people, training our people, rather than doing 19 additional paperwork. So that's -- you know, that's a 20 part of it.

- MR. LEVINSON: Thank you.
- The next question comes from Zoe Petropoulos,



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

who's joining us online.

2 Hi. MS. PETROPOULOS: Zoe Petropoulos with the 3 Directorate of Standards and Guidance. I heard you, 4 you know, mention your successful heat mitigation 5 programs in your industry, and I was just curious if 6 any of those programs include specifics on monitoring 7 heat conditions? And if so, what that typically looks like? 8

MR. BETKE: Well, so going back to the safety briefing I mentioned. So every day, there is a safety briefing before work starts. Sometimes there are multiple safety briefings during the day if the nature of the work changes. Heat is a part of that for most of the summer, as well as the weather in general because it can be very threatening in Western Oklahoma with thunderstorms and tornadoes, et cetera.

So they use standard weather apps to be able to monitor where they are during the day. The crew lead, essentially, takes responsibility for the safety briefing, the discussion about heat, the discussions about mitigation of heat, and they're empowered to take as many breaks as -- as they need. There have been

1	days where we simply haven't worked in the field in
2	some aspects because it was too warm. And that's just
3	where we have to be. You have to be safe to be able to
4	operate out there.
5	MS. PETROPOULOS: Got it. Thank you so much.
6	That's it for me.
7	MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor, that concludes the
8	questions from OSHA.
9	JUDGE BELL: Anything from the Solicitor?
10	MS. WILES: Thank you, Your Honor. Linda Wiles
11	from the Solicitor's Office. No questions for me.
12	Thank you so much for your time and testimony today.
13	JUDGE BELL: Any other questions for this witness?
14	MS. CARLON: There are none, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE BELL: Mr. Betke, thank you very much for
16	your testimony. We really appreciate it.
17	MR. BETKE: Thank you. Have a good day.
18	JUDGE BELL: You too.
19	MS. CARLON: The next speaker is Jared Cassity.
20	Please state your name and affiliation for the record.
21	MR. CASSITY: Jared Cassity, Smart Transportation
22	Division. I'm the Deputy National Legislative and



1	Safety Director.
2	JUDGE BELL: Mr. Cassity, go ahead, please.
3	MR. CASSITY: All right. Thank you.
4	Good morning. My name is Jared Cassity. I'm the
5	Deputy National Safety and Legislative Director for
6	SMART Transportation Division, which is the largest
7	railroad union in the country representing a variety of
8	crafts, but most of which are in operations, or the
9	actual movement of trains, and work as conductors or -
10	and/or locomotive engineers. We also represent a
11	number of commuter, transit, and bus properties across
12	the country, with members typically working in the
13	conductor, operator, or driver crafts.
14	A quick background on me. Before being elected to
15	serve in my current position, I worked as a certified
16	conductor and locomotive engineer for CSX, a Class I
17	railroad. I come from the craft and I've not only
18	experienced first-hand the challenges and difficulties
19	of the job, but also, the necessary exposure to the
20	elements.
21	But I must say, railroading is unlike any other
22	job I've ever had, despite the fact that all have



required me to work outside. This is because for -through freight operations, you are often tasked with
walking great distances or working in isolated or
remote areas, but unlike most other jobs, there are no
facilities available, and only the water you can carry,
in the landscape that surrounds you.

So to start, I want to say that it is our belief that OSHA absolutely has authority and jurisdiction to regulate a rule such as this for the railroad industry. As you all know, unfortunately, there are times when the lines of jurisdiction between the agency and the FRA get a little blurry. But it's clear to us that the OSHA FRA Policy Statement of 1978 that allows for OSHA to have jurisdiction over environmental factors.

An excerpt from the reference policy provides
that: "FRA has determined that a territorial approach
to the exercise of its statutory jurisdiction over
railroad safety...would deplete energies and resources
better devoted to the safety of railroad operations.

If FRA were to address all occupational safety and
health issues which arise in the railroad yards, shops,
and associated offices, the agency would be forced to

develop a staff and field capability which, to an extent, would duplicate the capability already possessed by OSHA. In view of this situation, FRA recognizes that OSHA currently is not precluded from exercising jurisdiction with respect to conditions not rooted in railroad operations, nor so closely related to railroad operations as to require regulation by FRA in the interest of controlling predominant operational hazards."

As such, I think it's critically important that you understand the nuances of railroading, the existing challenges, and the need for sufficient planning.

Trains are like any other machine in that they have mechanical failures. Whether it's an engine or a mechanical component, it is not uncommon for a train crew to experience an unplanned, yet necessary stop, while on route to their destination.

As you can imagine, these unexpected breakdowns rarely happen in the most convenient of locations, and unfortunately, the frequency of these experiences is seemingly on the rise, happening dozens, if not more times a day across the country. This can be attributed

to a whole lot of things, but none more so than the growing length of trains.

It is not uncommon for our members to be tasked with operating over-the-road trains in excess of three miles in length, and like with any other type of equipment, the more loader weight you add to it, the more likely it is to experience a failure. This results in one of the crew members having to disembark the locomotive and walk the train to find the break and fix it.

Walking a train is not easy. More often than not, you have to take your steps on large, loose rocks that are fixed on a grade or an angle. You do not have provided walkways, nor do you have a fixed surface in which -- in which to take your next step. You are fully exposed to the elements and you are limited to only what you can carry.

As you can imagine, walking great distances in this type of scenario takes time, and cannot, nor should not, be rushed. It takes the average person approximately 15, 20 minutes to walk a mile with proper footing. For our conductors, they can be forced to

walk more than three miles in this difficult terrain just to get to the end of their train.

This takes energy and time, both of which are extremely problematic when exposed to extreme heat, but then, you have to figure in the fact that they have to walk an additional three miles just to get back to their locomotive or their train's head end. That means without any repairs, just walking, a worker will be in the heat for more than two hours, limited to the water that he or she can carry.

Compounding this issue is the lack of dependability for communication. Conductors are provided with handheld radio so that they can communicate with the engineer in the cab of the locomotive, but they are only able to do so for a limited distance. Because of the astronomical length of trains, conductors often lose their ability to make a radio connection and have no means in which to relay their status to their fellow crewmember.

The only thing they can do is climb onto the top of a railroad car in the hopes that the height will give them a clearer radio path to the head end, or they

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Another concerning issue is that there are times when
the air-conditioning in locomotives doesn't work. Not
only does this mean that the crew is limited to cooling

can walk closer, potentially adding to the exposure.

themselves by the ambient air, but it also means for

6 the worker who just walked six miles in the extreme

7 heat, that they will have no relief.

Railroading is not pretty, but it does traverse some of the best and worst landscapes this nation has to offer. But that also means that workers are tasked with walking trains in the desert, the mountains, or everything in between. Unfortunately, however, there is rarely a roadway or roadbed for a vehicle to access those locations, leaving the crew to address their issue individually.

This is where the rule would go a long way toward protecting the men and women working on or with America's freight trains. Rail carriers should absolutely be required to have heat hazard assessments, and we would like to be a part of that in labor management collaboration. There should be plans for the varying degrees of risk to temperature so that a

worker can adequately prepare for the task ahead.

Communications should be ensured to mitigate uncertainty or react in an emergency. Training should be provided, and sufficient amounts of water should be provided on all locomotives, monitoring of the temperature, and providing trackers with that information so they can plan accordingly, and having a written plan in place for what to do should a heat-related illness arise.

This is not a heavy lift for the rail carriers as they already require -- they're -- as they are already required to provide water, and there are means to improve radio communications. But perhaps what is needed most is established guidelines that provide for when an employee must take a break, or what to do when rest, shade, or hydration isn't immediately available.

Plans that protect the worker from discipline when trying to take the safest course, and then, empower and encourage them to be proactive in seeking breaks from the elements rather than powering through it. It was important to me that I testify here today because the railroad industry can sometimes seemingly fall through

1	the cracks, but the risk and the effects of heat are
2	just as real for us as they are for anyone else.
3	The exposures are long, the tasks are difficult,
4	and the need for proper planning, assessing,
5	monitoring, communicating, and training could not be
6	greater. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to
7	speak with you today.
8	JUDGE BELL: Thank you, Mr. Cassity.
9	Questions from the OSHA room?
10	MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor. Andrew Levinson
11	for OSHA.
12	Thank you very much for your testimony, Mr.
13	Cassity. One of the things that we received in written
14	comments was that - from the Association of American
15	Railroads that commented on the challenges of
16	providing - of the - the standard's requirements
17	related to heat emergency procedures would be
18	particularly challenging for the railroad industry. Do
19	you have thoughts on that comment?
20	MR. CASSITY: And I apologize. My computer froze
21	there. I heard heat, and I heard emergency. What was
22	that last part there?



1	MR. LEVINSON: Yeah, yeah. So the Association of
2	American Railroads commented that they thought that the
3	proposed standard's requirements related to heat
4	emergency procedures would be particularly difficult
5	for the railroad industry. And we wanted to know if
6	you had thoughts or comments on that?
7	MR. CASSITY: Yeah. I I I don't believe
8	that to be true. I mean, you know, as Ms. Strang
9	testified earlier, they they do things to help
10	mitigate some of these exposures. The reality of it is
11	though that the problem arises when when things
12	happen on the railroad industry, and you know, for them
13	to suggest that they have proper standards in place to
14	address heat-related emergencies, I do not believe that
15	to be true.
16	You know, I also heard a lot of the conversation
17	regarding around the data and the lack of or the
18	or the suggestion or notion that there's not very many
19	heat-related injuries. In my experience, we have quite
20	a few. They're just not reported accurately or
21	appropriately, and and to me, that speaks to the
22	fact that they're not quite equipped to respond to

1 those heat-related emergencies. 2 I do not believe it to be a big lift for them, but I -- I do think there needs to be a plan in place, 3 4 which this regulation, in my opinion, would do to 5 provide those guidelines for -- for the carriers. 6 also, for the employees to know what the expectation 7 is, what -- what, you know, they are to do in certain situations, and -- and we could actually get to 8 9 mitigating these factors. But as far as it -- as far 10 as it being a difficult thing for them to achieve, I --11 I -- I just don't -- I don't see that being the case. 12 MR. LEVINSON: Thank you, sir. And if you're 13 going to file any post-hearing comments, any 14 information that you have on underreporting among 15 people in the railroad industry would be greatly 16 appreciated. 17 MR. CASSITY: Absolutely. 18 MR. LEVINSON: The next question comes from Zoe 19 Petropoulos, who's joining us online. 20 Hi. Zoe Petropoulos with the MS. PETROPOULOS: 21 Directorate of Standards and guidance. I have a 22 question about the written comment that you all



1	submitted. So in your written comment, your
2	organization urged that thermometers in locomotive cabs
3	be, quote, self-reporting? I was wondering if you
4	could explain what you meant by self-reporting? I want
5	to make sure that we don't misinterpret what you all
6	were trying to argue there.
7	MR. CASSITY: So basically, giving the crew access
8	to what's happening in the ambient air temperature
9	right now. Currently, in the operations, I'm not aware
10	of any locomotive that provides an external air
11	temperature. So you know, just having that little bit
12	of a heads up would make a huge difference.
13	So I mean, obviously you can open the door or
14	window and say, wow, it's hot. But you know, if you're
15	walking from the air-conditioning into the heat, you
16	know, there may be there may be an adjustment
17	factor that's not adequately addressed or or
18	accounted for.
19	And so you know, being able to fully understand
20	and process, you know, what the temperature is.
21	Ideally, if this rule is put into place, we'll have

plans with temperature thresholds, and you'll be able

1 to judge what the plan is accordingly by being able to 2 see that temperature. So really, it's just more information for the crew, part of the monitoring that 3 4 the rule addresses and part of the communication. 5 making sure that they're armed with all the information 6 they need to -- to work safely. 7 MS. PETROPOULOS: Got it. If now, or more likely in post-hearing comments, if you can share any details 8 9 on what you've currently observed with regards to 10 monitoring in work areas that would be helpful. 11 MR. CASSITY: Sure. 12 MS. PETROPOULOS: Thank you. 13 MR. CASSITY: I'd be happy to. 14 MR. LEVINSON: All right. Your Honor, the next 15 questions come from Patti Downs, who's joining us 16 online. 17 MS. DOWNS: Hi. Patti Downs with the Directorate 18 of Standards and Guidance. 19 Mr. Cassity, you mentioned several challenges 20 faced by workers, especially during equipment 21 And OSHA is interested in learning more 22 about what controls are currently in place and being

used to prevent heat-related illness in --- in those 1 2 situations? 3 Sure. MR. CASSITY: So for the Class I railroads, 4 which is the majority of the industry, and where I have 5 previously worked, you know, basically when a breakdown 6 happens, you - you only can carry what you can carry. 7 You have a radio strapped to your side, and you have a lantern if it's nighttime. Or you may not have a 8 9 lantern, but then, you have - I mean, you have one or 10 two bottles of water. 11 Typically, they don't fit in pockets, so you 12 literally have to carry them. And you know, in order 13 for you to even just get off the locomotive, you have 14 to have three points of contact, so even trying to 15 juggle two bottles is tricky. But let's say you -- you 16 manage to get two bottles off of locomotive. 17 If -- if you're in a desertous area, or just in 18 a -- in a -- in a location that's sparse, and there's 19 not a lot of trees, there is no shade. I mean, you're 20 literally trying to walk along a -- a -- a slippery 21 rock grade walkway, if you will. And -- and it's 22 extreme distances, it's difficult.

1	It it takes a lot of energy, and you have to
2	manage the amount of fluid intake you have for for
3	the time that you're out there. You know, if you get a
4	mile, two miles back, and you need a break, and you
5	know, there needs to be a plan on what to do when you
6	don't have shade, when you don't have enough hydration,
7	you know, because the the engineer cannot just
8	disembark the locomotive and bring it to you, or then
9	they expose themselves to the same situation.
10	And so it's it's figuring out those variables,
11	and it speaks to why the need for the plan is so
12	critically important because once you're on the ground
13	and you begin walking, you're you're exposed.
14	There's nothing else you can do. There's you're not
15	packing a tent with you. You're not bringing an
16	umbrella with you, you know? All you have is the water
17	you can carry, and it it is it's difficult.
18	MS. DOWNS: Okay. Thank you. Do your members use
19	any sort of, like, cooling PPE, or do they take,
20	like I don't know, like, CamelBaks, water backpacks,
21	anything like that with them in these situations or?
22	MR. CASSITY: So the cooling PPE is sometimes

available; it's not always available. It's one of those things that you grab when -- when the carriers provide it. Unfortunately, it's not required by any rule, regulation, or agreement that I'm aware of, at least not in the conductor or engineer crafts. But when they are available, you do grab them, and you can use them.

As far as the backpack or the CamelBaks go, that's kind of tricky in the railroad industry because you've got to be real careful with things that can grab. So if you can imagine a train rolling by you, and you have that excess material that could actually grab a hold of a piece of equipment, it could, therein, kind of become its own threat.

That being said, I'm not -- I'm not aware of anything that directly states you can't do that. It certainly is an idea. It's not something that's provided. It would, you know -- if someone wanted to do it, they would have to do it on their own accord at this point. But you know, it's -- it's something -- it's something certainly to be considered, but there -- there are risks when you -- when you add stuff to your

1 body when you're -- when you're talking about moving 2 equipment around you. 3 MS. DOWNS: Thank you. That's all the questions I 4 have. 5 MR. CASSITY: Okay. 6 MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor, the last questions come 7 from Rachel Carse, who's joining us online. JUDGE BELL: We can't hear you. Still can't hear 8 9 you. No. Cannot. 10 MS. CARSE: Can you -- I'm using Jason's computer. 11 Can you hear me now? 12 JUDGE BELL: Yes. 13 MS. CARSE: Okay. 14 Mr. Cassity, I just wondered if you had any data 15 or information about temperatures inside the train when 16 the train is stopped? Is it similar to outdoor 17 temperatures, higher, lower? Any data, information on 18 that we would appreciate. 19 Sure. So that -- that does depend. MR. CASSITY: 20 There is a regulation for locomotives, I believe, built

after 2006.

conditioning.

21

22

They have to be built with air-

There are rules in place that, when a

locomotive is available that has air-conditioning, it
has to be the lead locomotive, meaning where the crew
is positioned.

So when a train is stopped, assuming -- assuming a perfect world scenario, it's -- it's a comfortable environment. Should the air-conditioning stop, or you be provided a locomotive that doesn't have working air-conditioning, you are solely limited to the ambient air that is moving when you're moving. And when you're idle, it gets really hot.

I mean, if you can imagine sitting in a steel box while the sun beats down. Some of the hottest experiences in my life, frankly, have been in a -- in a locomotive without working air-conditioning, and it wouldn't -- we -- we did not have the ability to take the temperature, but it would not surprise me a bit would it -- it wasn't 120, 130 degrees in some instances.

Especially when there's no breeze, it -- it gets very hot. But I -- I do want to be fair, air-conditioning is more available than it was when I hired on. In fact, it's more common than not, but -- but

- when it does go, it -- it's -- it's a very hot situation.
- MS. CARSE: Okay. Thank you. And one last

 question. Regarding rest breaks, can you just

 elaborate a little bit on what the current level of

 rest breaks are? Like, how often and how long they are

 in your industry, and how people are able to take rest

 breaks.

9 MR. CASSITY: Yeah, sure. So I'm glad you asked 10 I would tell you that, frankly speaking, most members that we represent on Class I railroads probably 11 12 don't take rest for fear of the repercussions from 13 management in doing it. There are some web-based training videos that are typically 10, 15 minutes long 14 15 that will talk about heat, that say you can take a 16 break, but it is not a well-known standard, if you 17 will.

When you go out onto the railroad, even in the middle of summer, it is not something that's readily talked about. There is, you know, this theory that you can take breaks, but knowing, you know - knowing when that should occur or how that should occur is really

18

19

20

21

22

1	not prevalent. And it's one of the reasons that we are
2	so firmly supportive of this NPRM because it - you
3	know, this idea or notion of - of how to work safely in
4	the heat really is not - it's not a present thing, at
5	least for the Class Is.
6	The short lines may be a little better. They do
7	have a smaller group, which I think allows for a little
8	tighter or more of a tight knit group and
9	communication. But you know, for the big railroads,
10	taking breaks is typically frowned upon, even even
11	in the heat, and it's it, you know, I I wish I
12	could tell you for certain. But it's it's very
13	uncommon for someone just to take their break because
14	they know they're entitled to their break. Typically,
15	when the break occurs, it's just because they can't go
16	any further and they have to take that break.
17	MS. CARSE: Okay. Thank you. That's all.
18	MR. LEVINSON: And Your Honor, that concludes
19	OSHA's questions.
20	JUDGE BELL: Anything from the Solicitor?
21	MS. WILES: Thank you, Your Honor. Linda Wiles
22	from the Solicitor's Office. I do have one question.

Mr. Cassity, thank you for being here today, and thank you for your testimony. I wanted to ask if you could elaborate a little bit. When you were describing work scenarios when the train breaks down, and the crew has to dismount from the train, and carry their own supplies, it sounds like the supplies are stored in a central location, perhaps in the locomotive.

Is there a possibility for supplies to be stored along the train cars, or are there crew members that work in different locations along the train cars? If you could just provide a little bit of explanation of what is the typical work environment under those circumstances, that would be swell.

MR. CASSITY: Sure. So a typical crew in the railroad industry is -- is a conductor and a locomotive engineer. It's just two people on the train. They are stationed in the head end, or lead cab locomotive, the very first locomotive. That is where the supplies are kept. There are, typically, packages of water bottles that are in there. There is a cooler. It's not an electric cooler; it's a cooler that you, kind of, put ice on or dump on -- dump ice on it, and -- and try to

- 1 keep it cool, you know?
- But a crew can work 12 or more hours. By law,
- 3 they're limited to 12, but they can be on duty longer.
- 4 So that -- that ice doesn't always stay there, and --
- and you do have -- that has a part of a factor too.
- 6 But to get back more to your question, the supplies are
- on the locomotive. When you get off the locomotive,
- 8 there is really nowhere else for those supplies to be
- 9 provided. Some trains do have locomotives in the
- middle and the end, not all of them.
- 11 There is a possibility that there could be water
- on them, but typically, the Class Is do not like to
- 13 stock water on those because they're not really
- accessible for for someone to get to when they have
- the train built. And so you know, once you start
- walking, there's there's really nowhere else for that
- 17 water to be. The rail cars themselves cannot house
- water. That there's just no safe location for them
- 19 to do so.
- There are no other people out there working. You
- can imagine stretches of -- of rail routes that go from
- a hundred miles to 300 miles just for one trip, and you

1 know, obviously you can't -- you can't stock water for 2 a 300 mile territory. And so it's -- basically, your 3 only real option is the locomotive and -- and what you 4 can carry. 5 MS. WILES: Thank you so much. 6 Linda Wiles from Solicitor's Office again. That's 7 all for me, Your Honor. 8 Any other questions for Mr. Cassity? JUDGE BELL: 9 MS. CARLON: There are not, Your Honor. 10 JUDGE BELL: All right. 11 Mr. Cassity, thanks very much for your testimony. 12 We appreciate it. 13 MR. CASSITY: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor. 14 MS. CARLON: The next speaker group is Edison 15 Electric Institute, represented by Al Payton and 16 Charmayne Evans. Please state your name and 17 affiliation for the record. 18 MR. PAYTON: Good morning. My name is Al Payton. 19 I'm the Vice President of Safety and Technical Training 20 at Center Point Energy, and I'm here today to speak on 21 behalf of the Edison Electric Institute. 22 JUDGE BELL: All right. Mr. Payton, go ahead

1 please.

MR. PAYTON: Well, definitely, good afternoon,
everyone. Really appreciate the opportunity to speak
with you all this afternoon about OSHA's notice of
proposed rulemaking on Heat Injury and Illness
Prevention in Outdoor and Indoor Work Settings. EEI is
the association that represents all U.S. investor-owned
electric companies. EEI members provide electricity to
more than 250 million Americans and operate in all 50
states and the District of Columbia.

The electric power industry supports more than seven million jobs and communities across the United States. EEI applauds OSHA's efforts to protect workers from heat-related injuries and illnesses. EEI member companies maintain comprehensive safety and health programs designed to protect employees from all workplace hazards, including exposure to high heat.

EEI member companies have developed sophisticated programs to address high heat hazards, and based on the data, these programs are effective in preventing significant heat illnesses. EEI members are concerned about some of the provisions -- provisions in OSHA's

proposals, particularly those that will require amendments to existing programs that are working well.

EEI urges OSHA to move forward with a simplified final standard, limited to requirements for water, rest, shade, and training. Heat is a very complex hazard, unlike any other OSHA regulates, and is ill-suited to the type of one fits all standard that OSHA is proposing for employers in a wide variety of industries.

The prescriptive requirements OSHA proposes on issues like acclimatization, mandatory break times, and retraining, and program reviews will be difficult or impossible to implement for many types of work in the electric sector, given the variability of work tasks and conditions. This is particularly true with regard to work performed to restore electrical services after major storms or other events.

EEI joins the National Association of Electric

Contractors, CTIA-The Wireless Association, USTelecom,

The Broadband Association, and the Utility Clearance

Safety Partnership, in filing a comment urging OSHA to

provide a clear exemption for work performed to restore

services.

Administering the type of program that OSHA seeks to mandate, including tracking acclimatization status, enforcing mandatory break times, retraining following a heat illness requiring medical treatment will be challenging for routine work, and nearly impossible for storm work, during which thousands of EEI member companies and contract workers are working to restore essential services to the community efficiently and safely.

We believe EEI should take the following steps:
Simplify the standard; water, rest, shade, and training are core elements of a heat illness prevention program, and feasible in multiple industries for businesses of all sizes. In fact, those elements have been the cornerstone of OSHA's advice to employers and employees on how to prevent serious heat illnesses and have proven to be effective.

Evaluate the industry-specific data to determine the need for additional provisions. OSHA recently released an analysis of the 2024 injury and illness data received from employers through the Injury

Tracking Application. OSHA should use this data to evaluate the frequency and types of heat illnesses occurring in specific industries to determine whether the evidence supports promulgating additional industry specific provisions.

The results would be data-driven support for provisions that are feasible to implement in specific industries. As I mentioned previously, heat is a complex hazard unlike any other hazard that OSHA regulates. Addressing a hazard like heat with a one-size-fits-all approach is not workable in the electric power industry.

In particular, rigid provisions on issues like break times and acclimatization will be challenging and expensive to implement. More importantly, prescriptive provisions like those OSHA proposes offer little or no safety benefit. EEI member companies, many of whom worked with their labor partners at IBEW, have implemented effective programs to minimize instances of serious heat illness.

The time and expense necessary to implement the changes necessary to comply with the provisions of

OSHA's proposals serves no useful purpose. EEI filed
written comments detailing its concerns with the
requirements OSHA proposes, and I want to highlight
just a few of the most significant.

While the notice of proposed rulemaking contains some information, OSHA must clearly recognize and acknowledge that each person's body responds differently to high heat. Heat levels that pose a significant risk to some individuals are not risk for others. A worker's age, physical fitness level, personal medical conditions, and a host of other factors influence the likelihood of heat illness.

Despite these nuances, OSHA proposes a rigid and overbroad formula for all industries and employers, without evidence that it will be substantially -- or it would substantially reduce or eliminate heat as a workplace risk.

Addressing a complex problem like heat requires

more flexibility and more data. Given these

complexities, even a program that includes each and

every element of -- OSHA proposes will not prevent

every worker from experiencing heat illness. OSHA must

recognize explicitly that no program can prevent every
heat illness, and the fact that employees need medical
treatment, or time off, does not provide an employer's
program -- or prove an employer's program is
inadequate.

The goal must be to prevent serious heat-related illness by explaining the importance of water, rest, shade, and training workers about the signs and symptoms, and providing instructions on what to do if a worker or a coworker experiences them. The proposal and language in the preamble imply that a compliant program will prevent all heat illnesses, including dehydration, treated with the provision of IV fluids, or a day away from work to rest.

Given the nature of heat as a hazard, this is a goal that can never be met. OSHA, industry, organized - organized labor, and employees should work toward the goal of catching the early stages of heat illness before they advance to heat stroke or other serious conditions. OSHA's implication that an employer's program is not protective or compliant because a heat - a recordable heat illness occurred is

damaging and inconsistent with the messaging used by EEI members in communicating with employees on this issue.

Emergency work to restore essential services must be exempted. Power and utility outages happen at any time, often without notice, due to a variety of unplanned events, including fires, storms, equipment failure, and human error. Communities rely on EEI member companies to restore power efficiently and safely. Doing so can be a matter of life or death for hospitals, nursing homes, and similar facilities.

Electric power is also critical to support the emergency communications necessary during a widespread emergency. Compliance with some provisions of OSHA's proposal is not feasible during emergency operations. Our workers traveling to respond to an emergency from different climates, new or returning workers, how can the acclimatization status of thousands of workers who have traveled to the scene be tracked? How can the times at which employees must take mandatory breaks be tracked when employees are working on multi-entity teams and highly mobile?

If an employee needs an IV to recover from dehydration, must all work stop so that the program can be reviewed and thousands of workers retrained? Even if imposing these provisions was feasible, navigating these issues would cause significant delays in restoring essential services to the detriment of the health and wellbeing of the community.

The current exemption OSHA proposes for certain types of emergency work is unclear and inadequate. In at least two state OSHA plans, Maryland and Oregon, exempt all work performed to restore essential services. OSHA should do the same. Acclimatization, in this case, OSHA proposes -- or proposal offers two options for acclimatization, new and returning workers. A gradual ramp up to working in temperatures above the heat index of 80 degrees Fahrenheit, or applying high heat procedures to these workers, even at temperatures below 90 degrees Fahrenheit.

Tracking acclimatization status of every new and returning employee will be onerous and provide little safety benefit. Line workers at EEI member companies are typically mobile, which means strenuous work is

interspersed with time spent in air-conditioned work
trucks, or performing administrative tasks like
conducting and participating in job briefings.

OSHA offers no evidence establishing that the natural cadence of work is insufficient in acclimatizing employees effectively, particularly given that these employees live in the community. Mandating acclimatization systems that require individualized tracking of employees would be costly and result in little or no additional protectant -- protections for our employees.

Mandatory breaks every three -- or every two hours, with the heat index -- or when the heat index is 90 degrees Fahrenheit or higher will lead to disruptions to the workflow of team projects, which account for the majority of work performed by EEI member companies.

Specifically, work must stop when a team member has worked for two hours. 30 minutes later, another employee may have to break. This cascading effect will make it difficult or even impossible to complete the work. Transitioning workers in and out of work areas

more than necessary will also result in safety hazards and require unnecessary exertion in hot conditions.

OSHA provides no evidence that the establishing of rigid break times will reduce the number of serious heat and illness -- heat illnesses in the electric power industry. Instead, OSHA's proposal assumes that employees work eight hours a day, performing the same task in high heat conditions. That is not the case.

As stated, electrical line work -- workers spend time performing work outdoors, riding in air-conditioned work vehicles, doing administrative tasks like job briefings, and performing many other tasks that vary in intensity.

The work inside power plants is similar in that employees are not continuously working in high heat areas. Three examples illustrate the lack of clarity in OSHA's proposal, as well as challenges EEI member companies would face if required to implement mandatory break provisions. The heat index reaches 90 degrees Fahrenheit at 10 a.m. A crew works outdoors from 10 to 11:30, and is free to take, as needed, cool-down breaks throughout that time.

1	At 11:30 a.m., the crew leaves the job site in an
2	air-conditioned truck and arrives at another work site
3	at 12 p.m. Does the crew have to take a mandatory
4	break at noon when they arrive at the second site, or
5	is their time in the air-conditioned vehicle
6	sufficient? If a break is required, why is that break
7	necessary to mitigate a serious heat hazard?
8	Secondly, if an employee is up in a bucket
9	performing work on electrical lines that involves hand
10	work and is not strenuous, must the employee descend
11	and exit the bucket to take a break at the precise two
12	hour mark? How did OSHA account for the safety hazards
13	resulting from disrupting the work task being
14	performed, adjacent to live electrical lines, and the
15	strain resulting from exiting and reentering the
16	bucket?
17	Lastly, if an employee permits - or if employer
18	permits as needed, cool-down breaks, what is the
19	purpose of mandatory breaks at a heat index of 90
20	degrees Fahrenheit or higher? For example, an employee
21	takes a needed cool-down break after working 90
22	minutes. Why is an additional mandatory break 30

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 minutes later necessary to mitigate a significant risk? 2 As we talk about retraining and program overview, OSHA proposes a mandatory review of the program and 3 4 retraining anytime an employee experiences heat illness 5 that must be recorded on an OSHA 300 log. 6 proposed provision assumes that any heat-related OSHA 7 recordable justifies a review and retraining. The early recognition of symptoms that 8 not the case. 9 results in medical treatment or time away from work 10 should be applauded, rather than viewed as negative. 11 Consider the following example. An employee

Consider the following example. An employee working in high heat conditions feel ill -- feels ill and vomits. Based on their training, coworkers take the employee to an emergency room and an IV is administered. The employee takes the rest of the day off, and reports to work the next day, feeling fine. Under OSHA's proposal, the administration of an IV would trigger a full-blown review of the program and retraining of multiple workers.

This provision would require EEI members to reverse course, unless it's something completely different. Specifically, the EEI member company would

1 tell the crew that they took exactly the right steps by following their training, and this incident response 2 3 Put differently, the Heat Illness was a success. 4 Prevention Plan worked exactly as intended by 5 preventing the employee's condition from escalating to 6 a life-altering illness. 7 OSHA should issue a simple standard that requires water, rest, shade, and training. Any final standard 8 9 OSHA issues should be limited to simple, 10 straightforward requirements for water, rest, shade, 11 and training. Comments from EEI and numerous other 12 stakeholders clearly established that the prescriptive 13 one-size-fits-all approach taken by OSHA fails to 14 account for the nature of the work in particular 15 industries and will require costly changes to effective 16 programs with no corresponding safety benefits.

Given the unique nature of heat as a hazard,

applying mandatory provisions of acclimatization, break

times, and a host of other issues to multiple

industries ranging from manufacturing, warehousing,

construction, and retail will not be effective. OSHA

also lacks the data to prove that any significant risk

17

18

19

20

21

22

of harm in multiple industries will be mitigated by the proposed provisions. OSHA should propose, again, a more simplified standard, review the comments, and issue a final standard.

These four elements are clear, proven, and easy for workers to implement, regardless of business size, operations, or industry, and will provide immediate, meaningful safeguards for employees. This streamlined approach will eliminate industry-specific issues raised by EEI and other industries as more suitable for a single standard intended to apply to many different industries.

By focusing on these essential elements, OSHA can promote widespread education and adoption, and more effectively protect workers working in high temperatures. OSHA can then evaluate data obtained through electronic submission of OSHA 300 logs and 301 forms to better understand the risk in individual industries. A targeted evidence-based approach to heat illness prevention will identify industries with the most vulnerable workers in need of protection.

In conclusion, OSHA and EEI share a very common

1	goal, protecting employees from workplace hazards,
2	including high heat. EEI member programs are effective
3	in preventing serious hot - heat illnesses. These
4	programs must be allowed to continue without a
5	requirement to add provisions that would provide little
6	or no safety benefit. EEI urges OSHA to reconsider the
7	breadth of the proposed standard, and issue a
8	simplified standard focused on water, rest, shade, and
9	training, while continuing to study industry-specific
10	pattern. Thank you.
11	JUDGE BELL: Thank you, Mr. Payton.
12	Questions from the OSHA room?
13	MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor. Andrew Levinson
14	for OSHA.
15	Mr. Payton, thank you and EEI for your testimony
16	and and comments. Several folks have talked about a
17	need for a performance-oriented standard, rather than
18	the prescriptive approach that that we've taken.
19	You talked about water, rest, and shade, and focusing
20	on that and training. Either now, or in post-hearing
21	comments, any thoughts you have on how we could write
22	such a standard would be greatly appreciated.

1	For example, how should the agency express a
2	requirement for rest breaks? In particular, some folks
3	have talked about saying rest breaks as needed could
4	lead to abuse by some folks, but if we provided
5	something where there is a more specific time frame, as
6	we heard you say, there might be a greater hazard of
7	having to stop work at certain prescribed times. So
8	your thoughts on how we could accomplish that would be
9	greatly appreciated.
10	MR. PAYTON: Definitely, and that's something that
11	we'd be more than happy to provide during the the
12	rest of the comment period to help craft that language.
13	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you.
14	The next questions come from Jonathan Bearr in the
15	room.
16	MR. BEARR: Thank you.
17	Jonathan Bearr, Directorate of Standards and
18	Guidance. In your written comments, you noted that the
19	preference for your organization is to maintain using
20	as-needed breaks for overheated crews to cool down. Is
21	there any chance you could discuss, you know, that one

situation that you did mention if a - if a crew member

is doing a rather critical task, a dangerous task, and is in need of a break, how do current practices among - among your membership - what's the current practice of using that as-needed break?

MR. PAYTON: So I can't speak for all of the member companies; I can just speak for Center Point.

In those situations, it would be to definitely observe the -- the crew as they're performing the work, understand their current condition, understanding when was the last time they had a break before they started to perform the work, and determining a safe point at which they could stop if it's determined that they now should have a cool-down break, and -- and you know, get them to a safe point to be able to stop the work before they were to come down if they were working in heights from a bucket.

And so I know a number of member companies approach it this way. I do not know specific time frames, if they use any. For -- from Center Point's perspective, we look at it versus a -- or we look at it from a time period where, if they've been conducting work for several hours, and they haven't had a break,

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

then now, the job leader on site would make a

determination, and speak with workers, and currently -
and -- and constantly be assessing their -- their

condition as they're performing the work, and

determining a safe place at which that crew could stop

and come down to take a break.

So it's -- it's really a combination of -- in the

So it's -- it's really a combination of -- in the training, helping them understand the times at which they should be looking for signs and symptoms of heat -- heat stress. And then, also understanding, as they're performing the work task, at what portions of that task is it most critical to make sure that we continue the work, or is this a great opportunity to break, and -- you know, take a break to -- to cool down in that situation.

MR. BEARR: Thank you. One more question. You did mention in the written comments that -- that your experience demonstrated that as-needed breaks are an effective tool in preventing heat illness. I was hoping that either now or in post-hearing comments you could further describe about the length of time, the frequency of these as-needed breaks that are used by

1 employees in your member -- in the member companies. 2 And then, if it's possible, provide information about the percentage of employees within these organizations 3 that use these as-needed breaks, as well -- one more 4 5 question. Is this in addition to any other types of 6 breaks, such as lunch breaks, or other scheduled rest 7 periods? MR. PAYTON: So to answer your last question 8 9 first, cool-down breaks are in addition to -- at least, 10 for Center Point Energy, and most -- most of the peers 11 that I'm aware of, their programs. And to answer your 12 question also around gaining additional information, 13 one of the things we're currently doing is pulling 14 together programs that our peer companies would be 15 willing to share with OSHA so that they could see 16 specific information and how they manage those 17 particular types of situations, but -- I think that 18 answers the questions I heard. 19 Yeah, that'd be great. MR. BEARR: Thank you. 20 MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor, the next questions come 21 from Jason Hammer, who's joining us online. 22 MR. HAMMER: Hi. Excuse me.

1	Jason Hammer from the Directorate of Standards and
2	Guidance. Thank you for your testimony. I just have
3	one question related to the Heat Injury and Illness
4	Prevention Plan. In your written comment, you
5	discussed some concerns with the heat safety
6	coordinator role, including different scenarios, asking
7	OSHA's opinion on what to do if the heat safety
8	coordinator was out sick, there was turnover in those
9	situations. So either now, or in post-hearing
10	comments, we'd be interested in hearing any information
11	on what member companies do now, if a supervisor,
12	foreman, or anyone in a similar role is on leave, and
13	if you have any alternatives you might suggest for the
14	heat safety coordinator role requirement, and if you
15	can explain why?
16	MR. PAYTON: Sure. Well, we will definitely
17	gather information from peer companies to be able to
18	give you a consolidated response.
19	MR. HAMMER: Thank you.
20	MR. PAYTON: Again, for the the comments that
21	were submitted, that was based upon member companies'
22	consolidation of comments related to the standard. So



1 we will pull that information together and share that 2 with OSHA. 3 MR. HAMMER: Great. Thank you. We appreciate it. 4 That's it for me. 5 Your Honor, the next questions come MR. LEVINSON: 6 from Dalton Moore here in the room. Dalton Moore, Directorate of 7 Hey. MR. MOORE: Enforcement Programs. I just have a -- a quick 8 9 question about your comment about suitably cool water, 10 and I was hoping you can, kind of, like, explain that a 11 little bit. Like, what exactly, you know, -- what are 12 you referencing? 13 MR. PAYTON: I don't quite recall specifically 14 where that comment was made. 15 MR. MOORE: Gotcha. It - it - it's - you can -16 you can address it in post-hearing too, but it was 17 just - just basically, trying to gather, like, a 18 specific temperature range for drinking water. 19 instance, to make sure that we can cite compliant 20 flexibility. We've heard the opposite from some other 21 witnesses and some commenters that the term suitably 22 cool is vague, and just kind of like to get your

1 opinion on what that would mean for you and your member 2 organizations. 3 MR. PAYTON: Okay. 4 MR. LEVINSON: Is there any --5 We will go back and review that MR. PAYTON: 6 comment and provide further information to help support 7 that. MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor, the next question comes 8 9 from Patti Downs, who is joining us online. 10 Hi. Patti Downs with the Directorate MS. DOWNS: 11 of Standards and Guidance. 12 In the written comments submitted, it mentioned 13 that some members mandate breaks when air temperatures 14 reach their heat illness prevention plan's targeted 15 temperature threshold. Can you tell us what that 16 threshold is, and does that get reevaluated based on 17 the results or if there happens to have a heat-related 18 incident? 19 So I can tell you that that threshold MR. PAYTON: 20 is something that can vary from company to company. 21 MS. DOWNS: Uh-huh. 22 Different companies use different MR. PAYTON:

1 temperatures, and that's based upon different resources 2 that they use to build their programs. I think that 3 may be something you might be able to see in some of 4 the -- the programs that could be shared with OSHA. As 5 far as would it be reevaluated, member companies like 6 Center Point, we -- we reevaluate our programs 7 typically on an annual basis, you know, and we use, again, data to help support, you know, what we're 8 9 doing, any opportunities for improvement. 10 And a number of our peer companies, we're aware 11 that do the same thing. So understanding climates 12 change, you know, conditions change, and there's 13 opportunities for improvement. So those are definitely things that I know a number of our peer companies do is 14 15 to review their programs based upon the -- the evidence 16 and -- as we were encouraging OSHA to look at, you 17 know, recordable data to see whether or not their 18 program or the program is effective. 19 Okay. Great, great. Any information MS. DOWNS: 20 you could share additionally in the post-hearing brief would be appreciated. 21 Thank you. 22 You're welcome. MR. PAYTON:



1 MR. LEVINSON: Okay. Mr. Payton, I had a question 2 related to your statement that you believe that restoration of power during post-storm operations or 3 4 other -- other sorts of scenarios should be exempted. 5 And I'm curious. One can easily imagine that in those 6 situations, workers would be asked to either work 7 faster, harder, longer than they would otherwise because of the pressures to restore power. So how do 8 9 you assure the safety of those workers in those 10 scenarios with respect to heat? 11 I can tell you, based on my MR. PAYTON: 12 experience in the industry, heat particularly - I'm 13 based in Houston. It is something that during every 14 restoration activity is one of the primary hazards that 15 we are speaking with not only our employees about, but 16 responding mutual aid companies, and sharing, you know, 17 messages around the expectation that employees are 18 taking breaks, that they're properly hydrating, that 19 they're getting the appropriate rest, whether it be at 20 work, during cool-down periods, or when they're away 21 from work during their time off. 22 It's part of our response, and it's part of the



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

way that we do business, even in -- on blue sky days,
is to ensure that employees understand that we want to
restore power safely. And I can tell you, based upon
my years in this industry, during restoration
activities, that is the time when a lot of our workers
are working at -- at their safest levels.

Their -- their awareness is, I would say, very high, and we see, really, better performance from a safety perspective in those times, really, given the -- the nature of the situation. So the -- the programs that a lot of member companies have are really designed to be portable. And so they're carrying those programs with them, and it's something that they're continuing to enforce, even when they're operating in a different area.

Speaking to that specifically, storms we had last year, we had a - a mutual assistance contractor, Roth, and they - they brought their program with them. And it was really, really great to see how the employees were following their program, you know, even though they were outside of their normal operation area.

MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. Also related -- I guess



1 not directly related to emergency events, but when 2 there's a potential heat emergency, and somebody needs 3 rapid cooling, in your comments EEI talked about 4 financial and logistic challenges of providing rapid 5 cooling for people, particularly in mobile workforces. 6 Can you talk a little bit more about those challenges 7 and/or how you would address such a situation? MR. PAYTON: So being prepared for - for heat 8 9 illnesses, one of the things that's always done in the 10 electric utility space, particularly for our mobile workforce, is to be able to identify the closest 11 12 emergency medical facility. It's part of our pre-job 13 briefing assessments. Understanding where we're 14 located, how long it would take to get help for that 15 individual is truly a part of what we do every day in 16 an electric utility industry. 17 As far as some of the challenges with the rapid 18 cooling, it's having places to actually store -- and I 19 had an opportunity to listen to the testimony from the 20 gentleman with the railroad earlier. And very similar, 21 our workers go many miles away from their base 22 location.



1	And so being able to carry and maintain equipment
2	on a vehicle to help support rapid cooling is something
3	that could be a challenge, not to mention how, you
4	know how many of the units would be necessary, or
5	how many of the pieces of equipment, maintenance,
6	upkeep, and and those are things that would
7	definitely be onerous for utility companies to have to
8	manage.
9	And so from that perspective, you could see how if
10	I've got a hundred line trucks that are going out every
11	day, and a system would need to be available for each
12	of those because they're working in different
13	locations, the maintenance, the cost, the upkeep would
14	be something that would have to be considered by the
15	utility company that would have to - to maintain
16	those - purchase and maintain those.
17	But that's just a a basic example. The the
18	other piece would be how or what type of equipment
19	would meet the expectations for OSHA in that situation.
20	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you.
21	And the last question from OSHA comes from Rachel
22	Carse, who's joining us online.



1 MS. CARSE: Hi, this is Rachel Carse. Can you 2 hear me now? 3 MR. PAYTON: Yes. 4 Mr. Payton, I just talked a little bit MS. CARSE: about some of the cost constraints with the cooling 5 6 interventions, but if there are any other specific cost 7 estimates that you could provide to us for the different requirements, we would appreciate that. 8 9 Including specific cost estimates for emergency cooling 10 interventions, and if possible, in a post-hearing 11 comment. 12 MR. PAYTON: Yes, ma'am. 13 MS. CARSE: That's all. 14 MR. PAYTON: Thank you. 15 MR. LEVINSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 concludes OSHA's questions. 17 JUDGE BELL: Any questions from the Solicitor? 18 MS. WILES: Thank you, Your Honor. Linda Wiles 19 from the Solicitor's Office. I don't have any 20 questions. 21 Thank you, Mr. Payton, for being here, and for 22 your testimony.

1 MR. PAYTON: Thank you, all. 2 JUDGE BELL: Do we have any questions for Mr. Payton? 3 4 MS. CARLON: There are none, Your Honor. 5 JUDGE BELL: All right. 6 Mr. Payton, thank you very much for your 7 testimony. It's been very helpful. 8 MR. PAYTON: Thank you. 9 The next speaker group is the MS. CARLON: 10 International Safety Equipment Association, represented 11 by Lexi Engelbart, Daniel Glucksman, Saif Islam, Kayla 12 Stevens, and Bubba Wolford. 13 Please state your name and associate --14 affiliation -- excuse me -- as you move throughout your 15 testimony. Thank you. 16 MR. GLUCKSMAN: All right. Thank you. 17 So Your Honor and OSHA staff members, I'm Dan 18 Glucksman with the International Safety Equipment 19 Association, or ISEA, whose members design, test, 20 manufacture, and supply a wide range of personal 21 protective equipment and safety equipment, including 22 personal cooling solutions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

At least 125 million American workers are protected by PPE and safety equipment, and ISEA research shows over 90 million Americans -- American workers that is, are impacted by heat stress. ISEA is accredited by the American National Standards Institute to develop consensus standards. OSHA references some of our standards, including the Z87.1 for safety eyewear, Z89.1 for head protection, and Z308.1 for workplace first aid kits. In fact, all will be updated later in 2025.

11 Within the next three-and-a-half years, OSHA could 12 publish a final heat stress rule. OSHA seeks to 13 prevent heat injuries, illnesses, and fatalities with a 14 rule that makes work safe for employees and is 15 straightforward and manageable for employers. 16 PPE, as OSHA calls it, must be part of the final rule, 17 either as a required component of the Heat Injury and 18 Illness Prevention Program, as the current proposed 19 rule calls for, or as part of an allowable part of a 20 heat stress program under a performance-based flexible 21 approach.

One study showed that workers wearing cooling PPE

22

experienced a 50 percent reduction in heat-related illness. There have been discussions of the various types of cooling PPE, and there are generally two types, passive and active. Passive cooling solutions use textiles that accelerate the body's natural cooling mechanisms through evaporative cooling, radiative cooling, and other methods.

Sweat-wicking garments are the most common type of passive cooling. These are made of fabrics that contain textile fibers that absorb water or sweat, as well as fibers that push it away from the body. This helps to optimize our natural cooling mechanism, which is by sweating. These types of PPE are appropriate for indoor and outdoor environments. Active cooling PPE includes cooling towels, bandanas, and vests that are specially designed to help alleviate heat burden.

These are high-tech, economically feasible items that are increasingly common in heat stress management programs. Some vests include phase change materials, help remove heat from the worker's body while staying at a constant temperature. Other vests use evaporative cooling technology to those working outside in non-

humid environments. Cooling towels and bandanas as well as phase change material vests are appropriate for indoor and outdoor environments.

Evaporative cooling vests are best for outdoor environments that have low humidity. PPE already incorporates a wide variety of technology, and that's only increasing. We'll see a wide variety of technology-enabled worker safety options coming into this space in the relative near term. Also, during the hearing, there were a few questions and comments about the effectiveness of cooling PPE.

I'd like to address some of these comments by highlighting studies that have demonstrated the effectiveness of cooling PPE. Dr. Roxana Chicas published two studies on heat-stress PPE. A quantitative study in 2020, and a qualitative study in 2021, which OSHA references in its proposed rule. Both studies, both the quantitative and the qualitative study, we believe, demonstrate cooling PPE is effective, and has the support of non-managerial workers.

Dr. Chicas studied agricultural workers in Central

1	Florida. Her study notes the workers were provided
2	with - this is a bit of a mouthful, but the Hyperkewl
3	Evaporative Cooling Vest, Hybrid Elite Sport Vest.
4	This vest is advertised as an active cooling vest for
5	athletes and generally requires good air flow, so it's
6	very likely not the most appropriate for this type of
7	work environment.
8	(AUDIO MALFUNCTION).
9	But even still, workers wearing these vests and
10	cooling bandanas had optimal heat stress outcomes. In
11	fact, Chicas' quantitative study from 2020 states,
12	quote, "Workers using cooling vests and bandanas had
13	the highest proportion of participants reporting no
14	heat-related illnesses". Also, in her 2020 study,
15	writes that, quote, "The bandana was protected against
16	heating at a core body temperature 38 degrees Celsius."
17	This makes sense because cooling bananas are
18	appropriate across a wide range of workplace
19	environments, including the type in Chicas' study. And
20	I'll note 38 degrees Celsius equates to a 100.4 in
21	Fahrenheit. Dr. Chicas' qualitative study or in her
22	qualitative study, she wrotes writes that all the

test subjects were, quote, "In agreement that the vest did keep them cool", end quote.

And when asked what practices employers should implement to protect them from heat stress, their answers included, quote, "Personal cooling gear interventions," unquote. We believe her studies show that cooling PPE makes work safer for workers.

Cooling PPE was also demonstrated to be effective in a heat stress prevention study conducted by a St.

Louis foundry in 2016. That year, the company treated 38 cases of heat illness across three shifts. Since air-conditioning would be impracticable, the company conducted a study where workers were provided with cooling vests. The study ran for 14 months. During that time, there were no heat stress cases in the first and second shifts. I don't have data on the third shifts, and the foundry does run three shifts.

Let me offer an example of how cooling PPE and electrolyte replenishment are currently implemented into a company's operations. At UPS, the company, along with the Teamsters Union, created a new heat stress plan. UPS provides cooling gear such as hats and

sleeves, protective sleeve - or cooling sleeves - protect the exposed skin from the sun.

When (AUDIO MALFUNCTION) these sleeves offer an hours long fully effect, and when they're used dry, they absorb sweat and wick moisture away. The company also provides electrolyte beverages to its workforce. The company instructs employees to, quote, "Get plenty of electrolytes and avoid drinks containing lots of sugar", unquote. But I should note, UPS and FedEx are some of the largest providers of electrolyte replenishment beverages to their respective workers.

ISEA recommends both to use the hierarchy of controls because cooling PPE is not designed to be a one stop heat stress solution. And as I have said elsewhere, as with other types of - other types of PPE, when employers select cooling PPE as part of an OSHA compliant heat stress protection plan, it's expected that the appropriate PPE will be selected.

Let me speak for a second about electrolytes. The proposed rule's preamble discusses the hazards of not replenishing electrolytes, but the proposed rule is silent on the topic - the proposed rule text, rather.

1 It's silent on the topic. Electrolyte loss from sweating can cause dehydration, heat exhaustion, and 2 heat stroke, conditions that can be life-threatening. 3 4 Electrolyte imbalance can lead to fatigue, 5 confusion, cramping, and poor decision making, which increases the risk of accidents. But proper hydration 6 7 with electrolyte beverages helps maintain alertness and physical capacity, which minimizes accidents. Also, 8 9 water alone does not replace electrolytes critical to 10 the muscle, nerve, and kidney function. We believe OSHA should follow NIOSH's 11 12 recommendation on electrolyte replacement beverages 13 from their 2016 criteria document, which states, quote, "During prolonged sweating, lasting more than two 14 15 hours, employees should be provided with drinks that 16 contain balanced electrolytes or replace those lost

The NIOSH 2016 criteria document also says workers can replace electrolytes with food, but our belief is that not all employees will bring enough food, or

17

18

19

20

21

22

during sweating, as long as the concentration of

percent by volume", unquote.

electrolytes to carbohydrates does not exceed eight

1 perhaps the appropriate food to replenish electrolytes. 2 It's with this state - with this comment, we don't 3 quite see eye to eye with NIOSH. 4 Also, OSHA's final rule should generally follow 5 Washington state's heat stress regulation to include 6 electrolyte replacement in the definition of water. 7 OSHA should define drinking water similar to Washington state's reg as follows: Quote, "Drinking water means 8 9 potable water that is suitable to drink and is suitably 10 cool in temperature. Other acceptable beverages 11 include drinking water packaged as a consumer product, 12 and electrolyte-replacing beverages that do not contain 13 high amounts of sodium, sugar, or caffeine." 14 Electrolyte replenishment is also recommended by OSHA, 15 ACGIH, and in ASF - ANSI/ASSP A10.50. In the SBREFA 16 panels, a number of small employers state they offer

So to conclude, 92 million workers in the U.S. are impacted by heat stress, 170,000 workers are injured each year due to heat stress. Effective heat stress management programs reduce heat-related illness by 90 percent and worker comp costs by 50 percent, and

17

18

19

20

21

22

electrolyte replacement beverages.

1	cooling PPE needs to be a part of every heat stress
2	management program as workers wearing cooling PPE
3	experience a decrease in heat-related illness of up to
4	50 percent.
5	Thank you for your attention, and we are now happy
6	to answer questions.
7	JUDGE BELL: Mr. Glucksman, thanks for your
8	testimony.
9	Questions from the OSHA room?
10	MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor. Andrew Levinson
11	for OSHA.
12	Mr. Glucksman, thank you for your testimony and
13	for ISEA's testimony. I've got a couple of questions.
14	OSHA has heard from many people about a desire to
15	switch from a specification-oriented standard to a
16	performance-oriented standard. One of the things that
17	I'm curious about is biomonitoring equipment for heat
18	stress. Are any of those people members of your
19	association or does ISEA have knowledge of such
20	equipment?
21	MR. GLUCKSMAN: In answering that - let me ask if
22	other members of the panel want to answer, but very

1	quickly, no. They are not members of ISEA. However,
2	there are a couple of members that have - that related
3	note - technology that can be attached to certain PPE
4	to let responders know - know about an incident and
5	like, the impact a worker may have received. And I say
6	that because technology is coming quickly, and - and if
7	we do have members that enter this space, we'll
8	certainly make sure we connect them with you and your
9	(AUDIO MALFUNCTION).
10	MR. LEVINSON: So all right. Let me go in a
11	slightly different direction. You mentioned the role
12	of cooling PPE with cooling technology. If OSHA
13	went in a performance-oriented direction, how would one
14	know when either enough cooling PPE had been provided
15	so that rest breaks were not needed, or when the
16	cooling PPE was no longer effective, and either need to
17	be replaced or replenished in some fashion?
18	MR. GLUCKSMAN: Yeah, let me take a quick stab at
19	that, but also, can hand it off to Lexi, Kayla, and
20	Saif.
21	In the first part of your question, you know, as
2.2	we said we don't think that cooling PPE, or in some

1	ways any PPE is a is a one-stop shop. It's often
2	designed to be part of, you know, the hierarchy of
3	controls. In the other part of your your question,
4	I'll reference some of the things in the in the
5	statement, and then, I'll let can respond as well.
6	I think that workers are, you know, essentially -
7	they know when, you know - when to, like, change out
8	PPE. It's also part of education. So in this case,
9	ideally, the PPE you would be part of, you know, a - a
10	heat stress program - can be trained on and
11	communicated, such that the cooling vest either need to
12	be put underwater again, or phase change materials need
13	to be changed out that those would be, kind of,
14	available, and workers would know when to do that.
15	But let me hand it off to the panel, who has got
16	more experience with end-users who could perhaps share
17	this. But I think Lexi is ready to speak.
18	MS. ENGELBART: Hi, good afternoon. This is Lexi
19	Engelbart with ISEA, for the record. So to - speaking
20	to Daniel's point a little bit further, a lot that has
21	to come down with choosing cooling PPE is understanding
22	the environment, humidity levels, air flow levels,

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 other required PPE that would be required as part of 2 the job. Understanding those factors will help an employer choose, really, the right effective PPE. 3 4 And as far as, you know, the amount of time that it'll cool, or the duration, or when to activate again, 5 6 a lot of that does kind of roll into the training 7 aspect of a heat management program. So understanding,

a lot of that does kind of roll into the training aspect of a heat management program. So understanding you know, the signs and symptoms of heat illness, but also understanding how the PPE and the technology that is being used works, when it will be done, and how to reactivate the PPE.

MR. LEVINSON: And I guess, just as a follow up.

Do the manufacturers generally provide adequate

instructions on the limits of the technology?

MS. ENGELBART: Again, Lexi Engelbart for the record. So cooling testing has definitely been coming a long, long way within recent years. Obviously, with the NEP putting - be - been put in place, there's a lot more focus on claims, cooling time, how much it is, you know, offloading heat from the body. I would say there is still probably some room to go as far as a more universal system for all manufacturers to be able to

1 reference. You know, there's a - there's a couple 2 different cooling tests that can be performed today, 3 but they don't necessarily apply to all technologies, 4 all fabrics, and all ways of - all devices for cooling 5 So I think that that still has some - some room 6 to go, I would say. 7 MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. 8 The next question comes from Dalton Moore. 9 MR. MOORE: You know, I think a lot of my 10 questions were -- were answered actually, but I would 11 like to know your thoughts on -- as far as, like, how 12 are employers determining safe working conditions when 13 you're wearing, like, impermeable clothing? Like, when 14 you're wearing non -- PPE that's not breathable? 15 MR. GLUCKSMAN: Kayla, go ahead. 16 MS. STEVENS: Yeah. This is Kayla Stevens with 17 ISEA, for the record. 18 I would say, honestly, right now, that is not 19 something that is considered or factored in, which is 20 precisely why this is so important. When we start 21 talking about PPE, PPE product is quite heavy, and 22 thick, and to your point, not very breathable.

1	trying to understand what we can do to counteract that
2	lack of breathability, lack of cooling, it almost
3	it it makes your extreme heat environment a little
4	bit worse, but you still need that primary PPE. So by
5	implementing a rule, we would be able to really start
6	taking a look at how we can mitigate some of those
7	factors that enhance heat stress.
8	MR. MOORE: Okay. This is Dalton Moore for OSHA -
9	from OSHA again. I'm sorry. And just - another
10	question would be, your experience with any
11	biomonitoring with any type of - have you - what do -
12	what's your experience seeing that in the field?
13	MR. GLUCKSMAN: Saif, go ahead.
14	MR. ISLAM: Hi. Saif Islam for ISEA here.
15	So there's a number of commercial example for
16	body heat stress index monitoring that are available
17	there. One of the example I can provide is Core 2 a
18	a solution that that one of the tier of the product
19	actually can assess and monitor a continuous heat
20	stress index, which is basically a ratio of skin
21	temperature and core temperature.
22	Λ - it widely used for athletes, but then an

1	advanced version is also available called CALERA
2	Research. There's a number of research-focused sensors
3	and biometric sensors available that can be used for
4	commercial example as well, with HyperChrome, it was
5	back in the days. It was also used by NASA, but
6	they're very Core 2 is one of the widely - easy to
7	use sensors that you can actually use - attach to
8	your - as - as - as a strap, and on your armpit, and
9	does a very good job on monitoring your body heat
10	stress indexes.
11	MR. GLUCKSMAN: Saif
12	I should tell the OSHA panel. These - the
13	companies that Saif just mentioned aren't members, but
14	I'm wondering if you could just say them. I know the
15	transcriptionist will probably ask anyway. Just again,
16	a little bit slower so that the transcriptionist can
17	cover them.
18	MR. ISLAM: Yeah, absolutely. So the technology
19	is called Core 2. It's a solution is from greenteg.
20	A it's a it's sensor-based solution which tracks
21	the heat stress index. It's a ratio of heat skin
22	temperature and body core temperature. One of the

1 fundamental heat stress index, and it's very easy to 2 You can attach it on -- on the body using a strap 3 or a medical grade adhesives, and there are tons of 4 number of other commercial examples out there that we 5 can -- I can add on the post-hearings --6 MR. GLUCKSMAN: Great. 7 -- comments. MR. ISLAM: Thank you. 8 JUDGE BELL: Thank you. 9 The next questions come from Patti MR. LEVINSON: 10 Downs, who is joining us online. 11 Patti Downs with the Directorate Hi. 12 of Standards and Guidance. I'm just going to keep the 13 ball rolling with the discussion of cooling PPE, right? 14 You guys mentioned it a little bit in response to, 15 like, the vapor impermeable PPE. I'm curious to know 16 if there's any cooling PPE available that could be used 17 with, like, FR, fire-rated clothing, as well? 18 MR. ISLAM: I can --19 Go ahead, Saif. MR. GLUCKSMAN: 20 MR. ISLAM: -- give some examples. So they're not 21 There -- there's a fundamental concepts of -- the cooling PPE are -- you can divide -- classify them into 22

1 passive and active. And some of the fundamental 2 methods within the passives are radiative cooling, evaporative cooling, conductive cooling. 3 4 Radiative cooling is basically a material --5 cooling PPE's ability to reflect solar, near infrared 6 and visible light, thus ensuring that we absorb less 7 solar heat, and -- and increase -- reduce the heat 8 stress. 9 MS. DOWNS: Okay. 10 MR. ISLAM: And then, evaporative cooling, there 11 are a number of example, like, Coolcore and HeiQ Smart 12 They have option in the fire as well. Temp. 13 Kayla, you have some examples if you like, though. 14 MS. STEVENS: Yeah. Kayla Stevens with ISEA. Ι 15 just want to add on to that. Yeah, there are FR 16 cooling solutions. So we're now seeing a lot more 17 filament based FR fibers, which is how we ultimately 18 achieve that cooling performance. And that technology 19 is really, really ramping up in the FR space, so 20 definitely should be one of the focal points here. 21 MS. DOWNS: Okay. Great. Thank you. And then, 22 Patti Downs with OSHA again.



1	OSHA has received some comments that shade is not
2	feasible for some employees who work in highly mobile
3	areas. Are you aware of any shade alternatives
4	available for mobile work crews? And if so, can you
5	just describe some of those options?
6	MR. GLUCKSMAN: Go ahead, Lexi.
7	MS. ENGELBERT: Lexi for the record, Lexi
8	Engelbart.
9	So there are a number of solutions that exist.
10	There are pop-up tents that you'll, kind of, see a lot
11	of different places. Construction sites use them.
12	You'll see them at fairs, markets, that sort of thing.
13	It's a pretty standard pop-up tent that's great for,
14	you know, a crew of maybe two or more people.
15	For even a smaller work site, though, there are
16	umbrella options that can be mounted into a truck hitch
17	or you know, put into a stand, staked into the ground.
18	There are a lot of different options as far as
19	temporary shade goes, so think think in the
20	market, both within safety, and then, kind of within
21	more of the end-user, kind of, regular, non-working
22	market, there are a lot of shade options that are



1 feasible from a cost perspective, as well as 2 feasibility and actually installing. 3 MS. DOWNS: Okay. 4 That was all for me, Andy. Thank you. 5 Thank you, everyone. 6 MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. 7 And the last question, Your Honor, comes from Rachel Carse, who's joining us online. 8 9 MS. CARSE: Hi. This is Rachel Carse from OSHA. 10 In your written comments, you talked about how 11 extensively cooling PPE is being used in outdoor 12 environments already. If you have any data or 13 information on the specific industries that utilize 14 this PPE, such as information on the industry itself, 15 the estimated share of employers that use the PPE, and 16 you can provide that to us, that would be helpful. 17 Additionally, if you have any information on the 18 average cost of the most commonly used PPE, and you can 19 submit that into the record, we would appreciate that. 20 Rachel, I think that we MR. GLUCKSMAN: Sure. 21 could give you some anecdotes right now on industries 22 that - it's, sort of, the most common industries that

- 1 use certain PPE, and I'm wondering if anyone on our -2 the ISEA panel could offer just a few anecdotes of what 3 they see as common use for right now? 4 Absolutely. Kayla with ISEA again. MS. STEVENS: 5 I can at least touch on some more passive options here. 6 I would say -- so there's really no definitive form of 7 PPE that is -- that -- that can make a claim to reduce heat stress today. Within the athletic apparel space, 8 9 there is a lot -- a lot of cooling fibers that are 10 used, a lot of cooling chemistries that we could 11 leverage for some of these opportunities. But as far 12 as a regulation or -- or a true form of PPE, there's 13 nothing in this space that is -- is -- is actually 14 regulated today. 15 MR. GLUCKSMAN: But --16 MS. CARSE: Go ahead. 17 MR. GLUCKSMAN: -- but to look at -- I'd answer it 18 in our post-hearing comments. 19
- MS. CARSE: Okay. Thank you.
- 20 MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor, that --
- 21 MS. CARSE: That's it.
- 22 -- concludes the questions from --MR. LEVINSON:

1 Rachel, did you have another one? Sorry. 2 MS. CARSE: No, I was just saying that's all. 3 Sorry. 4 MR. LEVINSON: All right. 5 Your Honor, that concludes the questions from 6 OSHA. 7 JUDGE BELL: Any questions from the Solicitor? Thank you, Your Honor. Linda Wiles 8 MS. WILES: 9 from the Solicitor's Office. I don't have any 10 questions. 11 Thank you so much for being here today. 12 JUDGE BELL: Are there any other questions for 13 this panel? 14 MS. CARLON: Yes, Your Honor. There is one from 15 Mr. Cannon. 16 Please state your name for the record. 17 MR. CANNON: Hi. Kevin Cannon, Associated General 18 Contractors of America. 19 Thanks, Dan and team, for your testimony. 20 Dan, you talked a lot about cooling PPE, and 21 technology, and such. What -- what are other types of 22 tech coming to PPE and safety equipment that you might

1	be able to speak to?
2	MS. STEVENS: Okay if I jump in, Dan?
3	MR. GLUCKSMAN: Sure, go ahead, Kayla.
4	MS. STEVENS: Kayla Stevens with ISEA.
5	So again, want to touch on some of the passive
6	heat stress solutions and technologies, and then, I'll
7	pass it to a colleague to speak to more of the active
8	solutions. So technology today within the textile
9	space has really come a long way, and we're at a really
10	great place where we can manufacture - we can
11	manufacture apparel that truly reduces heat stress in
12	extreme heat environments.
13	There is construction, yarn technologies, fabric
14	finishes, and the combination of all of these
15	components within a material and apparel. You can
16	develop products which manage components like
17	breathability and drying time, evaporative cooling. So
18	there's many factors we can quantify through the use of
19	those fabric technologies.
20	And I we believe truly that a combination of
21	such factors will greatly reduce heat stress in extreme
22	heat environments, both indoors and outdoors. So



1 that's just high-level when it comes to more passive fabric-based solutions. 2 3 But I'll turn it to my colleague, Saif, with more 4 detail, if he has anything? 5 Thanks, Kayla. MR. ISLAM: 6 Saif Islam from ISEA here. So as Kayla was saying 7 that there is a -- a number of options in the passive world and active world to -- cooling PPE world, too, 8 9 that works very effectively. But then, the idea is 10 that they work together and supplements the cooling 11 efficiency of each other. For an example, with 12 passive, the -- the number of functions and 13 principles -- the radiative cooling, for an example, I 14 was sharing earlier. 15 The fundamental is -- is to reflect most of the 16 near infrared, and visible light, and the heat 17 associated with it. Some examples are far infrared, 18 solar free, and solar coal black. They does pretty 19 good job to reduce the heat absorption from sun. 20 in -- in addition to that, evaporative cooling, for

21

22

example, is quite effective by cooling off -- they cool

off the body by absorbing water into a textile clothing

or vest material which then evaporates gradually,
removing heat from the body through a latent heat
evaporization.

Some of the examples are Polar Products. They use

a water container or water that's allowing - using water to cool off body heat. There's some hybrid options. I - in my opinion, they're more effective using combination of phase change material and also evaporative cooling. For example, Polar Products, and what they do, basically, phase change material. They have a higher melting temperature, thus allowing or providing higher cooling efficiency. PCM-based of - a ice pack materials are more effective than water because they are higher melting temperature.

In -- in addition to that, there are more innovations out there. For an example -- which are hybrid, but in addition to the evaporative cooling and phase change material, they use batteries, and air cooling have increased the cooling efficiencies -- efficiency to a higher level.

It's really important to take a hybrid and -- and -- and whether an environmental condition-based or

work environment -- environmental condition-based

approach where it is important to know where we are -
the employees are working, and make the decision to

choose the right kind of product.

And it's really important to have a hierarchy of product where we -- we can -- we can understand and evaluate product performance, and be aware of the product performance, and make -- and purchase or use the right kind of product that can be useful or more effective to cool off, maintain a body core temperature within the comfortable zone.

MR. GLUCKSMAN: So I think that, you know, with --with microprocessors as well, there's a lot of technology coming to this area. I don't know if we'll see Moore's Law where, you know, the improvement is doubled every two years. But also, just one other thing on this.

We've heard a lot about wet bulb globe temperature devices in the shooting sports industry, which has a lot of handheld devices for temperature, and wind speed, and so forth now, also, has devices that are kind of rugged that, you know, it - some do have the

1 black bulb, some don't. But they're able to assess, 2 you know, a wet bulb globe temperature, you know, 3 number. And so that's one area of - I'll call it 4 technology that's coming into the space from, you know, 5 other industries. 6 JUDGE BELL: Any other questions for this panel? 7 MS. CARLON: There are not, Your Honor. 8 JUDGE BELL: All right. 9 MR. GLUCKSMAN: Your Honor? 10 JUDGE BELL: Yes. I mentioned one thing in my 11 MR. GLUCKSMAN: 12 comments, this hyperkewl thing. For -- for the 13 transcriptionist, hyperkewl is -- the spelling is 14 H-Y-P-E-R-K-E-W-L. But the record will be (AUDIO 15 MALFUNCTION). 16 JUDGE BELL: Thank you, Mr. Glucksman. 17 THE COURT REPORTER: Actually, this is Christine. 18 While you're at it, could you spell the name of the 19 doctor -- the paper you cited? 20 MR. GLUCKSMAN: Yeah, yeah. Roxana Chicas. So I 21 guess Roxana is R-O-X-A-N-A, and Chicas was 22 C-H-I-C-A-S, PhD.

1 JUDGE BELL: All right. 2 Thanks all of you. Appreciate it. 3 MR. GLUCKSMAN: All right. Thank you very much. 4 JUDGE BELL: Okay. Bye-bye. 5 MS. CARLON: Your Honor, at this time, OSHA has 6 requested a ten-minute recess if that -- if time 7 permits for you? 8 JUDGE BELL: That's fine. 9 So it's -- my clock says 3 o'clock, so we'll be 10 back at 3:10. 11 MS. CARLON: Great. And when we return, the next 12 speaker group will be the American Road and 13 Transportation Builders Association. So we'll return 14 at 3:10. 15 (Break.) 16 MS. CARLON: All right. Now, we will continue 17 with our public testimony. The expected speaking order 18 is currently displayed on the screen. Just want to 19 confirm that our OSHA panel is back in the room. 20 Great. Okay. We have the American Road and 21 Transportation Builders Association represented by Meg 22 Rietschlin, Bradley Sant, and Prianka Sharma. Please

1 state your name and affiliation as you move throughout 2 your testimony. Thank you. 3 Good afternoon. Prianka Sharma with MS. SHARMA: 4 the American Road and Transportation Builders 5 Association, joined by my colleague Brad Sant and our 6 member, Meg Rietschlin of Rietschlin Construction. We represent 8,000 members in the transportation 7 construction industry and appreciate the opportunity to 8 9 provide additional feedback today. 10 Our association represents all sectors of the 11 transportation construction industry and collectively 12 supports thousands of men and women who build and 13 maintain the nation's transportation infrastructure. 14 We've engaged throughout this rulemaking process, and 15 to avoid repeating the content of those submissions, 16 we've consolidated today's remarks to focus on key

MS. RIETSCHLIN: Good afternoon. I'm Meg
Rietschlin, president of Rietschlin Construction, a
small contractor building smaller bridges, roads,
concrete construction, and excavation in non-urban

With that, I'll first turn things

additional concerns.

over to Meg.

17

18

I've been in business 39 years. 1 areas of Ohio. 2 participated in several comment opportunities on the 3 heat standard, including serving on the SBREFA panel. 4 I appreciate the opportunity to comment and hope that 5 OSHA takes our comments into consideration when issuing 6 a final rule. 7 Today, I would like to share a personal experience that relates to heat safety that I hope you will 8 9 consider. This rule greatly affects highway and bridge 10 contractors, especially smaller contractors. There was 11 a highly experienced, safe, reliable equipment operator 12 that worked for me for 18 years, from 1999 to 2017. 13 One day in 2013, while working on a bridge job, 14 something seemed not right about him. The guys around 15 him asked him if he was okay. He said he was fine. 16 minutes, his condition seemed to worsen. They got him 17 into the cab of his machine and turned the air 18 conditioner on high. Tried to get him to drink. No 19 one knew what was going on, so they immediately called 20 the emergency squad and his wife. Later, we found out 21 that his doctor had changed his medications, and it had 22 affected him.



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 After that, I asked him to list his medications on 2 paper and emergency contact numbers and seal the 3 My thought was that if this incident ever 4 repeated, and his emergency contact would not be 5 available, I could open the envelope and provide the 6 info to the emergency responders. They would be more 7 informed. I believe we did the right thing in this situation. I don't know what I don't know. 8 9 As an employer who takes safety very seriously, I 10 provide training and PPE. On job sites, I provide

provide training and PPE. On job sites, I provide

water, cold drinks, ice, popsicles and even watermelon.

But I cannot make someone drink. Though I can create

and enforce policies, ultimately, I cannot control what

employees do. Smoking tobacco, marijuana, drinking

alcohol, using drugs, or taking medications, or

monitoring any health conditions. Any of these can

affect employees' ability to work in a warm

environment. I can do all the right things, but it is

a partnership of trust with the employees. I shouldn't

have recordable incidents outside my control.

One type of work that we bid and build is small bridges in rural areas. When pouring the deck, there

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

are many requirements and specifications. Factors

include the air temperature and wind speed, how many

cubic yards will be placed and finished, and the

weather. On a particular bridge pour, we may begin at

8 p.m. The concrete supplier is expected to deliver

product and provide deliveries of so many cubic yards

per hour.

There are outside testing people, concrete pumps set up, and inspectors on site. Once the pour commences, we need to keep going. When the pour ends, there is finishing the concrete and placing burlap.

Each of these tasks needs to happen immediately after the other without interruption, or we would risk cracks or other compromises to the structural integrity, and it would be costly to repair.

Unfortunately, as a small contractor, we don't have the luxury of a spare crew sitting on the sideline to jump in and take over so that a first group could take a mandated break. OSHA's rule, as proposed, would be impossible for us. It is simply not feasible to stop work to take breaks at mandated times. We do provide ice, water, and cold drinks, and our employees

take turns handing them out while others are working to keep the job moving.

If a small contractor bid federal jobs thinking that they were going to have to fund a spare crew, the cost would increase so much that we may reconsider.

Federal and state bridge projects are low bid and competitive. A small company like mine would find it very hard to stay competitive.

Another issue is that the recordkeeping proposed by the standard will be very difficult for a small contractor. This will require a separate person to document climate, employees, and their physical responses. Again, a competitive disadvantage. Right now, recordkeeping is integrated into my team's job function. But this particular standard would push me to need another employee. I hope that you will consider the effect of these standards on a small contractor in the highway bridge space. Thank you very much.

MR. SANT: Thank you. I am Brad Sant with the American Road and Transportation Builders Association.

I'd just like to share a few other thoughts on the heat

standard. Of course, we want to protect our workers and are very much supportive of supplying water and rest and shade and making sure that the workers have what they need to be safe on the job site.

But with that in mind, in keeping workers safe, what weighs heavily on me is the fact that somewhere in between 50 and 90 workers are killed every year on the job in this industry as they are struck, often by motorists who pass through the barricades for a lot of different reasons and strike the workers.

Everything that we do is colored by our concern for struck-by incidents, including weighing what happens when we are doing certain things as required in this rule and how that might impact the safety of workers in the struck-by incident rule. And so for example, when we look at heat standards for heavy and highway construction, we see that there have been four fatalities in twelve years based on BLS data. Those are tragic. But when I compare them to 60 deaths a year from struck-by incidents, I'm starting to compare, okay, how does the heat standard impact those other greater hazards?

1	And what we have found continually is the workers
2	are subject to the frustration of motorists who may
3	have been delayed in traffic because of the
4	construction projects going on. Motorists frequently
5	will drive behind the barricades. They'll drive right
6	up to the barricades. They throw things at workers.
7	They're angry because they have been delayed for
8	something that was important for them to do. And when
9	I consider that the impact of workers being seen
10	sitting on the side of the road, taking a break, and
11	the anger that sometimes puts toward them, it concerns
12	me. And I wonder, what is the greater harm and what is
13	the impact of the heat standard on this?
14	And so we have to look at all these things in a
15	greater environment of where it's very dangerous. And
16	for these same reasons, we have very much supported
17	what other groups in the construction industry have
18	said about the need for a separate regulation for
19	construction. This is why we have a Directorate of
20	Construction at OSHA, because we know it's very
21	different. We can be working in indoor environments

ourselves in an indoor environment in construction. My team can be working in tunnels and suddenly, they're outside of those tunnels.

The indoor-outdoor standards and disparities there don't make sense in construction. We're moving down the road. And so setting up sometimes in those environments where you're in moving operations, such as paving, the ability to have set break areas doesn't work. And so there's just a number of considerations that we think need to be taken in view of OSHA before moving forward with the standard that seemingly was designed without understanding fully the impacts of this industry.

MS. SHARMA: Prianka Sharma with ARTBA. Meg raised an important point here. You don't know what you don't know about your employees. And for us, the real concern is that the rule expects employers to identify and mitigate individual heat-related risks, such as underlying medical conditions, medications, recent travel, or lifestyle choices without a clear or lawful way to obtain that information. And at the same time, it holds the employers responsible for outcomes

that they may not be able to prevent.

As she alluded to, she can offer all of these things to her workers, but she can't force them to drink. This lack of clarity ultimately raises a due process concern. Employers are being asked to manage risks that they can't reasonably control, and they may face penalties despite good faith efforts to do so. So without some clear safe harbors or limits to liability, the rule opens the door to enforcement based on outcomes and not conduct.

And so in short, the rule structure and scope and assumptions may increase liability and strain resources and shift attention away from more immediate hazards. So we're asking you to please revisit these provisions with clear limitations that are industry tailoring and a more realistic understanding of the job site conditions that our industry faces. With that, we're happy to answer any questions.

JUDGE BELL: Questions from OSHA for this panel?

MR. LEVINSON: Andrew Levinson from OSHA. In

your -- first of all, thank you all for your testimony
today and your written comments. In your written

comments, you had asked OSHA to expand the definition of emergency response to include a broader range of industries and job titles, including construction workers doing emergency road and bridge repair, hazardous debris removal, erosion control, and other structural repairs.

And what I'm curious about is a question that we similarly raised with the Edison Electric Institute, which is during these times, it seems like there's an incentive for workers to work longer, faster, harder than they would otherwise in order to restore critical infrastructure or services. If there were such an emergency exemption for this sort of work, how would you ensure that workers are safe while doing this work from heat injury?

MR. SANT: Certainly, a great question. And certainly, in those situations, it's even more critical that workers have access to clean water, right?

Because the infrastructure may be out. It may be important that they have access to abilities to take breaks when it's needed, because they're working a long time and they're trying to, you know -- the electrical

team can't get in there if the roads aren't open. So those things are important.

But where we do need exemptions are site-specific safety plans. You know, that doesn't work very well in an emergency situation. Considering, you know, considering a lot of the other aspects of the rule, certain training requirements that are there, those are the kind of things that just don't make sense when we're trying to get in there and get a job done.

I don't think, and I certainly welcome Meg's comments in the real situation of a contractor, but we certainly don't want to put workers in a dangerous situation where they don't have what they need, and they can even be more difficult to get it. We saw that in Katrina and down south, where infrastructure was out for months, and we had to bring in the water and the supplies to them. So that is always necessary no matter what the situation is. But other aspects of the rule just don't make sense in that situation. So that's what we're talking about. A little more need for flexibility and understanding how the industry works.

1	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. The next question comes
2	from Jonathan Bearr here in the room.
3	MR. BEARR: Jonathan Bearr, Directorate of
4	Standards and Guidance. Thank you for coming to talk
5	to us today. First thing, I was hoping if you all
6	would be able to in your written comments, you
7	referred to the Heat Injury and Illness Prevention Plan
8	from a contractor in Wisconsin. I was hoping that you
9	all would maybe be able to provide that to the record
10	in your post-hearing in comments, as well as any
11	information you might have on costs and benefits of
12	that plan. You mentioned how that was a specific plan
13	that was tailored to the industry, so we would very
14	much like to see that.
15	MS. SHARMA: We can certainly ask them. If it's
16	proprietary, obviously, we'll have to work with you to
17	see if we can provide it to you under cover. But we
18	can certainly ask them if they're willing to provide
19	it.
20	MR. SANT: And we'd be happy to share with you a
21	plan that we developed jointly with the National
22	Asphalt Pavement Association several years ago that we

1	developed for our industry, a kind of a guideline, a
2	Swiss cheese that they can take and use. So yeah, we
3	are working these issues.
4	MR. BEARR: That'd be great.
5	MR. LEVINSON: Let me also offer, if that
6	contractor in Wisconsin would like to redact their
7	name, but otherwise submit the plan, that would be
8	wonderful as well. We're interested in the content,
9	not necessarily the contractor's name.
10	MR. SANT: Very good.
11	MR. BEARR: All right. Jonathan Bearr, OSHA,
12	again. Can you elaborate on what some of the
13	administrative challenges are for small businesses that
14	you see in complying with the Heat Injury and Illness
15	Prevention Plan provision in the proposed rule?
16	MR. SANT: Meg, do you want to take that, or do
17	you want me to jump in there?
18	MS. RIETSCHLIN: You can go ahead, Brad.
19	MR. SANT: Sure. Well, some of the requirements
20	within the heat the plan that is required, it
21	requires that it be tailored to every to specific
22	job sites. And when you have multiple job sites, they



- 1 may come up and go down, sometimes within days.
- 2 Sometimes, they're months. Sometimes, they're years.
- And so you know, in some situations, that may work.
- But in others, it really doesn't. And you have
- 5 contractors at multiple sites around the area.

6 So if you have a small business where you are 7 operating maybe one or two crews, and to have to change that and have a site-specific plan and keep records --8 9 even though it says in the rule that you're not having 10 to keep track of temperatures, if an inspector shows 11 up, what other way do you have to demonstrate that you 12 have been monitoring that and making sure that, you know -- if you're acting within the action temperatures 13 14 and the -- what's the word I'm looking for? Well, the 15 80 degrees -- the heat triggers, the heat index 16 triggers.

And so you know, there's a lot of recordkeeping requirements, both written and unwritten, record of all the training that takes place and how it takes place.

And you know, for companies with small crews and small businesses, it's a bit of a burden. And if they're taking care of this as part of their job safety

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 analysis and what they're doing every day in their 2 toolbox talk, to have these written plans, we're just 3 not quite sure are necessary. Thank you. I want to switch gears and 4 MR. BEARR: 5 talk a little bit about acclimatization. And in the 6 written comments, you said that your members have long 7 implemented acclimatization protocols. describe some of these protocols, how they're used? 8 9 Sure. Well, depending on, you know, MR. SANT: 10 for whether it's new workers - so in hot environments, 11 and just we were talking to members today about these 12 very questions - schedules are sometimes changed. 13 They'll begin work, instead of at 7 in the morning, 14 they'll begin at 4 in the morning, right? So they can 15

very questions - schedules are sometimes changed.

They'll begin work, instead of at 7 in the morning,

they'll begin at 4 in the morning, right? So they can

work in cooler environments, so they'll begin later at

night. And so the shifts will change. And sometimes,

they're going to reach certain temperature thresholds,

some companies shut down their operations. So we are

adjusting times and schedules to try to meet better
the cooler temperatures during the day. But for new

workers that come on, there's often procedures for them

to begin working, and they start at jobs that are not

so highly impactful and work their way up to those more labor-intensive jobs.

But again, it's really going to vary because if
the workers come on in the springtime when we generally
begin our work, that acclimatization happens naturally
as we get into the summer months. When someone comes
on, you know -- if there's a need to bring somebody on
in the middle of the summer, of course that process is
going to be different.

MR. BEARR: And in your written comments, you noted that the gradual acclimatization schedule was, in your opinion, impractical for the industry. Do you have any thoughts about the second option that was provided by OSHA that would require for employees in their first week for the minimum - the procedures required, the high heat trigger - to be actually triggered at the initial heat trigger level?

MR. SANT: Well, I think more of what our concern was -- there is just a lack of understanding. For example, what happens when you're working for a week at 70, 80 degrees or 78 degrees, and then suddenly, it's 80? Have they acclimatized because they are working at

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	78, 79 degrees, or suddenly does that acclimatization
2	kick in when they're hitting 80 degrees? And what if
3	they've been working away for 80 degrees, and then we
4	get a cool spell, so the next week it's 75, and it goes
5	back up to 80; are they still acclimatized, or we have
6	to start that process over?
7	There are just so many questions in those

There are just so many questions in those acclimatization protocols that we didn't understand how that would happen practically in an outdoor environment that, you know, we just kind of said there needs -- there seems to be a lack of understanding about how this acclimatization works when you're outdoors constantly and the temperatures are fluctuating back and forth.

MR. BEARR: Do you have any ideas of alternatives that could be used instead to address this?

MR. SANT: We certainly do. We certainly have talked about them, and I'd be happy to provide those with -- in our follow-up comments. It's probably a little bit lengthy to go into because it's not very straightforward. But you know, there's ways of having the people become acclimatized without having those

1 specific triggers. Because like I say, you can be 2 working at 75 degrees for a week. Aren't you 3 acclimatized when it then reaches 80? 4 It'd be great --MR. BEARR: 5 MS. SHARMA: I think it's --6 We'd very much like to see it in the MR. BEARR: 7 post-hearing --8 MS. SHARMA: Sorry. Go ahead. 9 Sorry. Go ahead. MR. BEARR: 10 MS. SHARMA: I was just going to say it's also 11 variable because it's job-specific, site-specific, 12 employee function-specific whether or not the employee 13 can be rotated out to a different job. 14 trained to do different jobs, or are they highly 15 specialized in one task? So as Brad's alluding to, 16 we're happy to provide some written thoughts and 17 feedback on that, but it's a very specialized and 18 nuanced issue for us. 19 We'd be very interested in your MR. BEARR: 20 thoughts about how that could be used in the framework 21 of a rule. Thank you. 22 The next questions from OSHA come MR. LEVINSON:

1 from Patti Downs, who is joining us online. 2 There we go. Hi. MS. DOWNS: Patti Downs with 3 the Directorate of Standards and Guidance. 4 written comments, you state that members already 5 provide shade. However, there are some circumstances where shade cannot be provided. Right? 6 It's not 7 feasible? For those situations where shade is provided, can you elaborate on its use, including 8 9 things like how the shade is provided? How close it 10 typically is to the work area? If it's portable, so it 11 can relocate as work moves, those sorts of things? 12 MR. SANT: So again, this will depend on Sure. 13 the job and the site. So like if we're doing a large 14 bridge project, and it's a warm area, some contractors 15 will bring in a trailer where they can take breaks, 16 have lunch in a cool environment. Some places that are 17 not -- that are more mobile, you'll, you know -- as 18 ISEA mentioned earlier, you can put up a pop-up tent. 19 Or sometimes, if there's power or a generator, you can 20 put up some fans. In the best -- or the most difficult 21 situations, hopefully, there is some trucks or, you 22 know, some cabs equipped with air-conditioning where

you can kind of rotate the workers through. They can't be taking all the breaks at the same time. And that's, again, where part of the rule said, you know, there has to be enough space so that all the workers can use it.

Well, you can't at the same time, but you can if you're rotating it. They're not there.

So you know, those are -- it just really depends on the job and what's happening. You know, and I was just thinking today, as I was driving in and crossing the 14th Street Bridge, where we've got a lane closed because they're doing bridge repairs, there's no place to put a tent there, right? Because it's just such a narrow place, and the bridge is about a half mile long. So you could put maybe a tent at either end of it, but it's going to require the workers to have to walk there to get to shade.

So you know, like I say, it's such a dynamic environment, it's hard to pick one answer to the question, but there are a lot of options that are out there that are available. And like I say, if it's a big job and they're going to be there a long time and there's space, yeah, let's get them something a little

1 more permanent and cooling, like a trailer.

MS. SHARMA: And a lot of these are built into the contract. The rights of way to put up structures are built into the contract when the contractors are bidding on it. So the state DOT is having to go out and measure and figure out the volume of traffic, the likelihood that the traffic might need to use the shoulder, and then build into the contract before they even bid on it what the right of way is going to look like for those structures.

MR. SANT: And again, part of the challenges with those structures in every situation, not all, but in some is that they then pose a hazard to motorists who may be pulling off onto the shoulder or --

MS. SHARMA: Or pedestrian, bicyclists --

MR. SANT: Or pedestrian. Because our sites are open to the public, and you -- you've all sat through them, and it's not an easy environment.

MS. DOWNS: Okay. Thank you. And then for those situations then where shade can't be used, can you please tell us about any alternatives you've seen used or the types of controls your members use in those

1	situations to prevent heat-related illness?
2	MR. SANT: Sure. And that is just making sure
3	there is some kind of a cool liquid. As Meg said,
4	there's, you know you could provide them popsicles,
5	ways to cool off. There is the PPE that, you know, you
6	can dip in the water and then put it on, and it helps
7	cool you down. There
8	MS. SHARMA: Wide-brimmed hats
9	MR. SANT: Yes.
10	MS. SHARMA: is one of the ones our members
11	told us about.
12	MR. SANT: Yeah. Yeah. That's the best you can
13	do if you don't have the shade. So anyway, those are
14	options. Right? That's how we're doing it now. I'm
15	not sure that would meet OSHA's standard as proposed,
16	but we'd love it to be able to work in that situation.
17	MS. DOWNS: And then one last question from me
18	about monitoring for heat exposures. How do your
19	members determine when to start using all of these
20	protective measures?
21	MR. SANT: And again, I welcome - if Meg wants to
22	jump in here. But you know, I - you know, jugs of



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 water, whether it's water bottles or coolers with water 2 or you know, the big old five gallon water bucket, those are generally out on the job site, even when it's 3 4 I mean, people need to drink, right? 60 degrees. 5 so those aren't necessarily triggered by any 6 temperature; that's just out there because you're out 7 there, and you're not near a water fountain. 8 you've got - you have those types of things that are -9 that are available.

You know, breaks, those will sometimes happen naturally. Sometimes, the load is late getting delivered, so everybody is waiting for that load, that concrete or that asphalt to get there. Or as Meg said, sometimes, when you're in a -- something where you can't take a break, you know, you can't have a cold seam in asphalt or concrete, or you're going to have an infrastructure failure. And so then you rotate the workers through and allow them to take the breaks. But you know, again, this is why we have kind of advocated for a construction standard just to kind of deal with these varying dynamics in the industry.

1	MS. RIETSCHLIN: I was just going to say that all
2	summer long, we do the same things. We don't wait for
3	a day to get hotter. We have water. We have ice. We
4	use the cooling neck wraps. We use the hard hat
5	shades. We have portable tents. We have fans. We do
6	them all the time throughout the whole summer.
7	MS. DOWNS: Okay. Great. Thank you. That's all
8	from me.
9	MR. LEVINSON: The last questions come from Zoe
10	Petropoulos, who's joining us online.
11	MS. PETROPOULOS: Hi. Zoe Petropoulos,
12	Directorate of Standards and Guidance. In your
13	comments and testimony, you express concerns with the
14	scheduled rest breaks every two hours, and one reason
15	you mentioned in your comment was the need to execute
16	time-sensitive operations. You recommend in your
17	comments that breaks should instead be flexible and
18	provided as needed. And I might have heard you briefly
19	answer this, but hoping for confirmation or more
20	insight; can you discuss current practices at member
21	employers' work sites on breaks? Are employees
22	typically able to take breaks as needed to prevent



1	overheating at the moment? And if so, how do
2	supervisors and crews arrange for those as needed rest
3	breaks during time-sensitive operations?
4	MS. SHARMA: Meg, do you want to answer?
5	MS. RIETSCHLIN: Yes. So in our case, people take
6	breaks as needed. And if we can rotate individuals, we
7	do. We work closely together with each other, so
8	there's always multiple people looking out for each
9	other. And if someone had to walk away and take a
10	break, it's not a problem. They just go. As far as
11	any sort of supervision for breaks, there isn't. We
12	rely on each other to take care of them. And when
13	they're taking a break, they're not walking far away
14	from the project or something. They're just going off
15	to the side, getting some water, getting whatever they
16	need, getting some shade, and then come back. And
17	also, we tend to pace things in the hotter weather
18	slower than we would the rest of the season.
19	MS. PETROPOULOS: Thank you so much. That was it
20	for me.
21	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. I have one final
22	question. OSHA has gotten a lot of comments from



1 people about doing a performance-oriented approach 2 instead of a specification-oriented approach. And one 3 of the challenges that we have is not necessarily with 4 the high road responsible employers; it's with the low 5 road folks that are, you know, not taking the 6 responsibility to worker safety seriously. How could 7 OSHA write a regulation that gives you the flexibility that you're asking for while still assuring some 8 9 minimum protections for workers to make sure that 10 they're adequately protected? 11 Well, I think initially, you had -- you 12 know, some of the -- you know, I think of the OSHA 13 posters that say, "Water. Rest. Shade." 14 Those should be provided, and they should be required. 15 Now, to say that you have to give that rest at a 16 certain interval, that's difficult for us. Or to say 17 that what, you know -- what we're always concerned about is there's a rule out there that's a gotcha, as 18 19 opposed to, you know, the water was 70 degrees, not 60 20 degrees, so it wasn't suitably cool. You know, those 21 are the kind of things that concern us, but we are not 22 at all concerned with saying, you need to make sure the



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 workers are hydrated properly. You need to make sure 2 that if workers are feeling stressed, they can go take 3 You need to make sure that if you don't have 4 a place where they can go get shade, they can go sit in 5 the cab of the truck if they get -- and sit in the air-6 conditioning to get cooled down.

> So instead of just saying it has to be done a certain way, saying it has to be done -- it's kind of just like we do with a lot of the PPE standards. don't always just say you have to use it a certain way, because you have to use it to be protective. that's kind of what we have in mind. I don't think it's -- anybody's opposing OSHA asking us to make sure that the workers are cool, safe, hydrated, you know, healthy on the job site. But what we have worries about is when it said it has to be done in a certain way, and it has to be accomplished in a certain way.

MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. Your Honor, that concludes the questions from OSHA.

JUDGE BELL: Any questions from the Solicitor? 21 MS. WILES: Thank you, Your Honor. Linda Wiles 22 from the Solicitor's Office. I have no questions.



1	Thank you so much for being here today and for your
2	testimony.
3	JUDGE BELL: Are there any other questions for
4	this panel?
5	MS. CARLON: Yes, Your Honor, we have three. The
6	first is from Mr. Lundegren. Please state your name
7	for the record.
8	MR. LUNDEGREN: Good afternoon, everybody. And hi
9	to Prianka and Brad and to Meg, who was one of our
10	small entity representatives on the SBREFA panel. This
11	is Bruce Lundegren from the Office of Advocacy at the
12	U.S. Small Business Administration. And I met this
13	morning with one of your fellow construction trade
14	associations, and I was talking about this hearing.
15	And one of the participants at the meeting complained
16	that they said that they think that OSHA views the
17	workplaces as an outdoor work site with an employee
18	doing the same task all day or an indoor workplace with
19	an employee working on the same piece of equipment all
20	day. And that's not the way that workplaces are.
21	Particularly, in your industry where you are, you know,
22	completely mobile and everything's changing.

1	And I think, you know, we've talked about
2	workplaces of infinite design and variation. So
3	there's nothing in OSHA's rule as written that deals
4	particularly with impractical or infeasible or creating
5	a greater hazard. And I wonder I know, particularly
6	in your industry, there's concerns about setting up
7	artificial shade and tents and things like that. Can
8	you please speak a little bit more to that?
9	And Meg, you could start or anyone.
10	MS. RIETSCHLIN: Let Brad start. I have to it
11	was a long question. I need to process.
12	MR. LUNDEGREN: Sorry.
13	MS. SHARMA: In terms of feasibility, we touched
14	on this a little in our written comments, and we didn't
15	have time to touch on it in our testimony today. But
16	
	one thing that we did that Meg did touch on is the
17	one thing that we did that Meg did touch on is the fact that this is a continuous operation that can't be
17 18	
	fact that this is a continuous operation that can't be
18	fact that this is a continuous operation that can't be stopped. And so we're either rotating the workers out,
18	fact that this is a continuous operation that can't be stopped. And so we're either rotating the workers out, or they're timing the project so that they can go from



1 would be cracks -- essentially, there would be cracks in the bridge. And so there would be structural 2 defects in the project, and they would end up either 3 4 having to go back and redo it, or potentially down the line, there would be liabilities to the contractor if 5 6 the structural defects were not immediately apparent. 7 So in terms of feasibility, we just -- we can't have workers stopping what they're doing when the clock 8 9 strikes two hours to take a break because of the nature 10 of the project that they're working on.

11 Brad.

12 Yeah. Well, another thing I just want 13 to mention -- and this kind of deals with sometimes the 14 ability to provide tents and shade on the side of the 15 road -- we're also governed by the Federal Highway 16 Administration and a document called the Manual on 17 Uniform Traffic Control Devices that governs very 18 specifically what you can put on the side of the 19 roadway, because it's not just the workers who are 20 impacted, it's the motoring public, it's bicyclists, 21 pedestrians. And so sometimes, if you're putting up 22 something that can be struck and go through the

windshield of a car, or you know, impale a cyclist or someone on a motorcycle, those things are illegal. And so those things also impact our ability to provide shade in all situations. Right? Because there's other regulations and other people outside of the workers in the construction company who are impacted by the safety of what we do.

MS. RIETSCHLIN: And sometimes, there's a limited right of way, which narrows the space in which you can put equipment, your steel, your rebar, whatever it might be. Sometimes, you are very constrained by that area. And I think you have to remember, too, on a bridge project, it's a series of different aspects of work. You start with removals, you have excavation, and there's piping. So it's not just one thing that you do every day. There's multiple phases to a project. And so the site of the work changes and the scope of what people do changes.

MR. LUNDEGREN: Okay. That's great. And we had earlier today the American Short Line -- this is Bruce Lundgren from SBA Office of Advocacy -- we had the Short Line Railroad Association testified at this

1	hearing, and they mentioned that they're regulated by
2	the Federal Railroad Administration. And in this case
3	of dual or competing jurisdiction, that OSHA should
4	defer to DOT. Would you recommend something similar
5	for your uniform traffic guidelines?
6	MR. SANT: Yeah. And to some effect, they do.
7	Subpart G of the OSHA standards has adopted an earlier
8	version of that MUTCD. And I, you know there's
9	fairly good understanding between Federal Highway and
10	OSHA where their jurisdiction ends because Federal
11	Highway does not really enforce safety standards for
12	workers, so OSHA steps in there. But there is this
13	interaction because they do regulate for the motoring
14	public. And so we have to make sure that what we're
15	doing safe, say, to protect workers is not negatively
16	impacting the motoring public.
17	MR. LUNDEGREN: Okay. Thank you. And one last
18	question. Meg, I think you mentioned confounding
19	factors in that an employee coming to work on
20	prescription medication and the employer not knowing.
21	And I asked this question yesterday of the Steelworkers
22	Union, and nobody's really raised this issue during



the - during this hearing. But in the training provisions of the proposed rule on - it's item H, Roman numeral (xi). And it says you have to train on all policies and procedures that are applicable to the employees' duties as indicated in the works - work site's HIIPP.

And I'm wondering what is the responsibility of employees to, I guess, to hydrate, to take breaks when needed, or to report on when there are confounding factors, such as health concerns or medication, or they've been engaged in some kind of outside activities that might make them vulnerable? Because I know there are federal laws that prohibit inquiring about medical and physical conditions under the EEOC and HIPAA and ADA. So I'm wondering if you have anything to add to that. And what -- you know, what the employees might be expected to do.

MS. RIETSCHLIN: I can't ask those questions. I can't ask them if they have health conditions. I can have a drug-free workplace program, but if they're not caught in the net, which is drug testing, I'm not going to know anything about that. It's a difficult thing.

1	I think large employers can know more about the health
2	of a person, but a small employer I mean, I provide
3	health insurance, but their application is done online
4	through the insurer, and I don't see anything. I would
5	have to depend on them to come to me and say, hey, I
6	can't do this job. And that would be the only thing I
7	can count on. I can observe and think that, you know,
8	something might be wrong, as we did in the field, but I
9	really don't know that I have the ability to find out
10	any more about them than just asking them if they're
11	available and ready to work.
12	MS. SHARMA: Yeah. It was a real
12	MS. SHARMA: Yeah. It was a real MR. LUNDEGREN: Okay. Thank you.
13	MR. LUNDEGREN: Okay. Thank you.
13 14	MR. LUNDEGREN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SHARMA: challenge for some of our members
13 14 15	MR. LUNDEGREN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SHARMA: challenge for some of our members in states where there's legalization of marijuana,
13 14 15 16	MR. LUNDEGREN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SHARMA: challenge for some of our members in states where there's legalization of marijuana, because the employees could go and use the recreational
13 14 15 16 17	MR. LUNDEGREN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SHARMA: challenge for some of our members in states where there's legalization of marijuana, because the employees could go and use the recreational drug on the weekend, test negative on a Monday or
13 14 15 16 17	MR. LUNDEGREN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SHARMA: challenge for some of our members in states where there's legalization of marijuana, because the employees could go and use the recreational drug on the weekend, test negative on a Monday or Tuesday, but still have it impacting their system. And
13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. LUNDEGREN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SHARMA: challenge for some of our members in states where there's legalization of marijuana, because the employees could go and use the recreational drug on the weekend, test negative on a Monday or Tuesday, but still have it impacting their system. And we there have been members who've talked about

1 their abilities to absorb heat and various other tasks 2 that they would need to perform. But again, they're 3 not necessarily allowed to ask their employees, what 4 did you do this weekend? 5 MS. RIETSCHLIN: I don't know if this is the right 6 place, but you know, our contracts have completion 7 times, and they're determined by the owner. And so if you had two or three weeks of heat, and you had a 8 9 number of people who were acclimatizing, would you 10 still be able to finish your project on time, or would 11 you be faced with, you know, liquidated damages and 12 things like that? I don't know. 13 JUDGE BELL: Okay. What's our next question, 14 please? 15 MR. LUNDEGREN: That's all I had, Your Honor. 16 Thank you, Brad and Prianka. And thank you and nice to 17 see you, Meg. 18 MS. RIETSCHLIN: Thank you. 19 The next question is from Mr. Barab. MS. CARLON: 20 Please state your name for the record. 21 MR. BARAB: Yeah. Thank you. My name is Jordan 22 Yeah, I had a couple of questions, mostly



- clarifications. Also, nice to see you again, Brad.
- 2 Haven't seen you for a while.
- MR. SANT: For many years.
- MR. BARAB: Yeah, yeah, yeah. Okay. So one of
 them is, I got the impression -- and I can't remember
 who said it, maybe Ms. Rietschlin -- that the mandatory
 break times in the standard under high heat conditions
 would require employers to either stop jobs or hire an
- MS. RIETSCHLIN: If I was doing a bridge pour, and
 I had a mandated break, I would have to have a separate
 crew ready to jump in. A bridge pour --

Is that correct?

- MR. BARAB: Okay. But the OSHA -- go ahead.
- MS. RIETSCHLIN: Go on.

additional crew.

15 MR. BARAB: The OSHA standard only -- I mean, it 16 doesn't say that everybody has to take a break at the 17 same time, though. It just says that every employee 18 has to take a break every -- a 15-minute break every 19 So if you had, for example -- you know, two hours. 20 just for example, eight workers on a job. There are 21 eight different fifteen-minute periods in two hours, so 22 only one worker would have to take a break at a time.

1	So that would it seems like you could would that
2	be possible to fill in for that, in that case?
3	MS. RIETSCHLIN: If we had started a bridge pour,
4	we would have to have an extra person to jump in to
5	rotate through that.
6	MR. BARAB: Right. Okay. So an extra person, but
7	not necessarily an extra crew in that case. Is that
8	correct?
9	MS. RIETSCHLIN: I guess that could be accurate.
10	MR. BARAB: Okay. And the second thing I was
11	hearing I got the impression that it's your
12	impression that if an employee died on the job or got
13	sick on the job for heat-related reasons, and it turned
14	out it was because of some drugs they take they took
15	that, you know, they weren't informed about. But you
16	were otherwise you were in compliance with every
17	element of the standard. But again, the employee died
18	anyway because he was on these drugs. Is it under your
19	impression that if you were complying with every other
20	part of this every part of the standard that you
21	would still get cited?
22	MS. RIETSCHLIN: I would have no idea to be able

1 to predict that. 2 MR. BARAB: Yeah. Because, I mean, the impression I got was that even if you're in compliance but 3 4 something totally out of your control happens -- again, 5 even though you're in total compliance -- you'd get 6 cited by OSHA. And generally, that is not OSHA's 7 policy to cite employers who are in complete compliance if, you know, something happens that was beyond their 8 9 control and beyond the provisions of the standard. 10 I certainly understand your concerns there. 11 Obviously, everybody has concerns, which is why 12 OSHA also requires training in those areas. But there 13 are -- you know, there are situations, certainly, where 14 there's been a fatality or injuries that OSHA, you 15 know, finds the employer nevertheless in full 16 compliance and therefore does not cite the employer. 17 That's all I had have. Thank you. 18 JUDGE BELL: Okay. What's our next question, 19 please? 20 Our next one is from Mr. Parsons. MS. CARLON: 21 Please state your name for the record. 22 Hello. Travis Parsons from the MR. PARSONS:

1 Laborers' Health and Safety Fund of North America. 2 Hey, Brad. How are you doing? 3 Hello, Travis. MR. SANT: 4 Yeah. Thank you for your very MR. PARSONS: 5 thoughtful testimony. You actually answered my major 6 questions whenever you were answering some questions 7 for Mrs. Downs from - from OSHA. But I just wanted to kind of add on to those questions you answered. Well, 8 9 about how you provided shade out there on your jobs, 10 you know, large and small. And obviously, you guys 11 don't have a problem providing water. That came clear. 12 But I guess the follow-up question, more than anything, 13 is what about when those jobs get very large, like a 14 very big job? How do you provide water, rest and shade 15 on those big jobs, and especially with a mobile 16 operation? 17 Yeah. So I'm trying to think of a MR. SANT: 18 great big job that's a mobile operation. And so you 19 know, like paving an interstate. And so those don't 20 typically move as quickly. And so you might -- and 21 again, depending on the right of way, it's -- there's 22 so -- as you know, Travis, there's so many variables

1 But typically, it's pretty easy to get water to 2 crews. I mean, you can put it in the pickup trucks. 3 You can put it -- and make it available. You know, 4 even -- I've had some members tell me that if they're 5 working very remotely, they'll give them CamelBaks and 6 let them work those CamelBaks to make sure they have 7 their water. But if it's a big project where there's a lot of employees, often there will be a trailer set up 8 9 or at least a hydrating station where they can, you 10 know, get access to it because it just makes more 11 sense, given the scale of the job. 12 MS. SHARMA: We had one member who custom builds 13 hydration stations depending on the scale of the job. 14 So either -- if it's smaller, they'll do individual 15 water bottles. If it's bigger, they'll do big jugs, 16 and they'll have like a, you know -- they'll build like 17 a decanter thing or something where they can come and 18 fill up water bottles and be able to do it that way. 19 So depending on the scale, there are things that they 20 can do. 21 MR. PARSONS: All right. Thank you very much.

That's all I have.

22

1	JUDGE BELL: Any other questions for this panel?
2	MS. CARLON: There are not, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE BELL: All right. Thank you all very much.
4	Appreciate it.
5	MS. SHARMA: Thank you.
6	MS. CARLON: The next speaker is Khris Hamlin.
7	Please state your name and affiliation for the record.
8	MR. HAMLIN: Khris Hamlin with the Retail Industry
9	Leaders Association.
10	JUDGE BELL: All right. Sir, go ahead, please.
11	MR. HAMLIN: Thank you very much, Your Honor, and
12	to the OSHA representatives for the opportunity to
13	testify today. Like previously stated, my name is
14	Khris Hamlin, and I'm the Vice President of Asset
15	Protection with the Retail Industry Leaders
16	Association, also known as RILA. I'm here today on
17	behalf of the retail members of RILA and the Employers
18	Heat Illness Prevention Coalition to discuss the OSHA
19	proposed rule on heat injury and illness prevention in
20	outdoor and work settings.
21	But to give you some history on RILA first. The
22	Retail Industry Leaders Association, commonly known as



14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	RILA, is a U.S. trade association for leading
2	retailers. RILA convenes decision makers, advocates
3	for the industry, and promotes operational excellence
4	and innovation. Our aim is to elevate a dynamic
5	industry by transforming the environment in which
6	retailers operate. RILA's members include more than
7	200 retailers, product manufacturers, and service
8	suppliers, which together account for more than \$2.7
9	trillion in annual sales and millions of American jobs
10	and hundreds of thousands of stores, manufacturing
11	facilities, and distribution centers domestically and
12	abroad.

employees very seriously. We know that without a strong and secure, healthy workforce, retail operations will suffer. For this reason, U.S. employers collectively spend an estimated \$58 billion each year on employee safety, with retailers accounting for approximately 26 percent of all U.S. businesses, that translates to billions invested annually by the retail sector alone to protect their workforce. At the end of the day, we all share the same goals: safe, secure

workplaces for America's workers. Our comments support OSHA's goals of addressing workers' heat exposure and safety risks, while also providing needed recommendations to strengthen the potential final rule.

There are four key points that I just want to go through today. The first one is we need a flexible performance-based standard. As large retailers, we're advocating for a flexible performance-based heat standard to accommodate the variety of workplace conditions and retailers' already existing and effective heat illness prevention programs. Leading retailers operate the most mature and effective heat prevention programs, so a one-size-fit-all regulation will not create - or will actually create unnecessary compliance burdens without improving safety outcomes.

The second point is that if the rule is kept, the standard needs -- the standard needs for heat, or for higher heat triggers and simpler monitoring and recordkeeping requirements. We encourage OSHA to adopt the approach taken by Nevada and not include any specific heat triggers. Rather for OSHA should allow employers to make decisions about when to implement

mitigation measures based on assessment tools, such as an employer's job hazard analysis.

However, if they are kept, we do recommend that the heat triggers are -- as well as simpler monitoring and recordkeeping requirements are in place. The current proposed triggers are too low and do not account for the adaptability of the workforce to increasing temperatures. The proposed monitoring and recordkeeping requirements are overly complicated and burdensome. Instead of advancing worker safety, these requirements will require companies to divert resources away from proven heat illness mitigation programs and procedures to protect employees.

My third point is the exclusion of the indoor environments. We urge OSHA to exclude indoor environments from the current rule due to the unique challenges. Indoor heat regulations require different controls and presents disparate challenges compared to outdoor heat. If not excluded completely, we strongly recommend that a final rule enhance and support, rather than hinder existing efforts to mitigate heat for indoor settings.

Leading retailers have and continue to adopt innovative strategies, including ventilation systems, high flow fans, to ensure that their work - their warehouses and their indoor work settings can mitigate unsafe heat. Moreover, retailers have sophisticated heat monitoring systems and other protocols, including rest breaks and hydration requirements to maintain sites - safe and healthy workplaces. We recognize that no two programs are the same, and though smaller retailers may not have the same level of resources to implement certain measures.

And my fourth is on the feasibility of mandatory rest breaks and acclimatization protocols. We raise concern about the feasibility and the mandatory rigid rest breaks and acclimatization protocols. These measures may exceed what is reasonably necessary or appropriate under the Occupational Safety and Health Act.

In conclusion, RILA and the coalition urges OSHA to consider our recommended changes to the proposed rule. We believe that a flexible program, performance-based approach will enhance worker safety while

1 minimizing unnecessary burdens on employers. 2 Ultimately, our goals are aligned. We want to promote 3 healthy workplaces and ensuring the safety of our 4 employees, and we believe our recommended changes will 5 enhance the proposed rule by striking a balance between 6 all stakeholders. Thank you for the opportunity to 7 testify. 8 MR. LEVINSON: Your Honor, are you there? 9 JUDGE BELL: I'm here. 10 MR. LEVINSON: Can I proceed with OSHA's question? 11 JUDGE BELL: Go ahead. 12 MR. LEVINSON: Thank you, Mr. Hamlin, for your 13 testimony. So OSHA's heard about, from a number of 14 folks, a desire for a performance-oriented approach. 15 We've heard a number of people mention the Nevada 16 approach -- the Nevada OSHA approach. My question is, 17 how does OSHA -- how should OSHA write a reg that 18 provides employers with the flexibility that you're 19 asking for while also assuring some minimum level of 20 safety for workers? And in particular, for example, 21 you mentioned a job hazard analysis. How do we make 22 sure that employers don't just pencil whip a job hazard



1	analysis and just say, no problems here?
2	MR. HAMLIN: Very good question. Beeta, do you
3	want to jump in there?
4	MS. LASHKARI: Absolutely. Thank you very much,
5	Mr. Levinson, for your question. My name is Beeta
6	Lashkari, and I'm on - just fielding questions on
7	behalf of the coalition. Thank you again, Mr.
8	Levinson. I think the Nevada heat rule provides a
9	really good model for that. So there are sort of
10	foundational elements in the standard that would sort
11	of push. Right? Those - certainly our employers are -
12	they go above and beyond, and they strive for
13	excellence. But we do recognize that there are those
14	bad apples. And so I think that having those
15	foundational elements of water, rest, shade, training,
16	certainly emergency response procedures, those are all
17	in the Nevada OSHA rule. So we think it provides a
18	really good example for that.
19	As for the JHA, certainly, we would not encourage
20	sort of that pencil whipping, but I do think that
21	compliance officers can tell the difference, right? I
22	mean, that's sort of their role. And it would have to



1 be a meaningful JHA to be effective, and we are 2 certainly advocating for effective programs. 3 believe we already have those effective programs, but 4 they are built around sort of that performance-oriented 5 nature and flexibility, even within our employer -- in 6 our coalition, no two programs look the same. 7 that's why we're advocating for this approach. Thank you. In your testimony, Mr. 8 MR. LEVINSON: 9 Hamlin, you also mentioned sophisticated monitoring 10 systems. So what sorts of things are you monitoring in 11 retail settings, and how do people make decisions? there trigger points? What -- how do you use that 12 13 information to determine what's enough to protect 14 workers in these sorts of retail settings? 15 MR. HAMLIN: Yeah. At a high level -- I'll just 16 say that there are a lot of -- between AI and different

MR. HAMLIN: Yeah. At a high level -- I'll just say that there are a lot of -- between AI and different technology, our members are using those to be able to identify concerns within their facilities, whether it's a warehouse facility or within their store settings.

Beeta, I'll let you jump in there, too. And there are other specifics I'd like to be able to bring back to our members, and we can bring that back in the post-

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 hearing comments as well too. But Beeta, I'll let you 2 jump in. 3 Absolutely. Thank you, Khris. MS. LASHKARI: 4 This is Beeta Lashkari. So certainly, thank you very 5 much for the question, Mr. Levinson. The - some of 6 the -just as Khris mentioned, some of our employers are 7 using very advanced technologies like AI. want to recognize we do have small employers in our 8 9 coalition, and they don't have the resources for that. 10 But - so that's, again, I think why we're advocating 11 for a performance-based, flexible approach, because it 12 has to be workable for all sizes of employers, all 13 industries that are represented that are covered by the 14 standard. 15 One example that I heard from an employer, in 16 terms of monitoring at least, is that they have set up 17 sort of these monitoring hubs within their -- in larger 18 spaces. I think warehouses can be particularly 19 difficult in terms of, you know, getting them cooled 20 down. And I'm not sure it -- that certainly, you 21 know -- that would seem to be in compliance with the 22 standard, but there is some preamble language that



1 might suggest otherwise if we're using sort of a hub or 2 you know, maybe it's an average temperature instead of using the hottest part of the facility. If there 3 4 are -- and that might be the reason we might not use 5 that is because it's only transient that -- you know, 6 only transient workers go to that part of the facility. 7 So this -- the hubs are really supposed to be where the most representative temperature is taken. 8 So 9 that was one thing that I thought was -- that was 10 impressive. But again, we do have a wide range of 11 employers in our coalition, and not everyone will have 12 those types of resources. 13 MR. LEVINSON: And many folks have talked about 14 water breaks as needed, rest breaks as needed. 15 should OSHA address the issue in a performance-oriented 16 standard of when people have enough water and rest

should OSHA address the issue in a performance-oriented standard of when people have enough water and rest breaks? And we've heard some employers express concern about them becoming abused by workers. So how do we strike that tension and do something that's performance-oriented that, again, addresses both sides of the issue?

MS. LASHKARI: Sure. Thank you very much, Mr.

1 This is Beeta Lashkari. I think the way to Levenson. 2 do that is really through guidance. Certainly, for --3 and we wrote public comments, and in terms of employee 4 abuse, for the most part, we'd say our employers have 5 good relationships with their employees, and there's an 6 honor system and a trust there. And and that's not the 7 case, but there are going to be sort of those outliers. And we want to see -- we would advocate for -- we'd be 8 9 supportive of guidance, just nonmandatory guidance 10 about where those sort of -- those guidelines --11 those -- maybe those borderlines sort of appear. 12 And again, it wouldn't -- we wouldn't be -- it 13 would be sort of this -- we just want to make sure that 14 we're not being cited for something where there's 15 employee abuse. So that's really the concern there. 16 And the same goes for the opposite end. One is, you 17 know, when have we provided enough water? Certainly, 18 the one quart per hour per employee requirement felt 19 very prescriptive. And I'm not sure how employers can 20 really monitor for that. If it gets to just below 21 levels, is that going to be enough for a compliance 22 officer to cite?



1	And again, it kind of goes back to that gotcha
2	type of citation. We don't want that to be the case if
3	our programs are effective, whether the amount of water
4	is just slightly below what the one-quart threshold.
5	Again, that's the reason for wanting a performance-
6	based flexible standard. And again, I think we'd be
7	very, you know, supportive of non-mandatory guidance.
8	I know a lot of OSHA standards include appendices, non-
9	mandatory appendices; those types of things, I think
10	we'd be in support of.
11	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. And our last question
12	comes from Rachel Carse, who's joining us online.
13	MS. CARSE: Hi. I had a question specific to the
14	retail industry. In determining the number of
15	employees that work indoor and outdoor and with radiant
16	heat, we've relied on estimates of the floor space
17	cooled. So if in a building, 50 percent of the floor
18	space was cooled, we used that number in our model. I
19	was curious, especially in retail, if you have any
20	information about if the workforce is concentrated in
21	areas where the floor space is cooled, which means our
22	estimate would be an overestimate. Or if you do have a

1 lot of workers that are working in non-cooled floor 2 space, if that makes sense. 3 MS. LASHKARI: Thank you very much for your 4 question, Ms. Carse. I think we would like to address 5 that -- we'd like to consider that for post-hearing 6 comments. 7 MS. CARSE: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor. 8 MR. LEVINSON: 9 concludes OSHA's questions. 10 JUDGE BELL: Thank you. Anything from the Solicitor? 11 12 MS. WILES: Thank you, Your Honor. Linda Wiles 13 from the Solicitor's Office. I don't have any 14 additional questions. 15 JUDGE BELL: Okay. Do we have any other questions 16 for this panel? 17 MS. CARLON: There are none, Your Honor. 18 JUDGE BELL: All right. Thank you all so very 19 much for your testimony. We really appreciate it. 20 MR. HAMLIN: Thank you. 21 MS. LASHKARI: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 MS. CARLON: Next speaker group is the Kitchen

1 Cabinet Manufacturers Association, represented by Betsy 2 Natz and Manesh Rath. Please state your name and 3 affiliation for the record. 4 JUDGE BELL: Mr. Rath? 5 MR. RATH: Yes. I'll start if you can hear me, 6 Judge Bell. 7 JUDGE BELL: Yeah. Just state your name and 8 affiliation, please. 9 MR. RATH: Thank you. I am Manesh Rath with the 10 law firm Keller and Heckman LLP here in Washington, 11 D.C., on behalf of the Kitchen Cabinet Manufacturers 12 Association. 13 JUDGE BELL: Please go ahead. 14 MR. RATH: Betsy, are you on the line as well? 15 While Ms. Natz is joining us --16 MS. NATZ: Can you hear me? I'm sorry. 17 MR. RATH: We can. 18 MS. NATZ: Okay. I'm not used to this web 19 platform. This is Betsy Natz, CEO of the Kitchen 20 Cabinet Manufacturers Association. We are --21 JUDGE BELL: Welcome. 22 MS. NATZ: I'm sorry?



1 JUDGE BELL: Welcome.

MS. NATZ: Oh, thank you so much. We are grateful for the opportunity to provide comments on the proposed rule. KCMA was founded in 1955 and represents kitchen and bath cabinet manufacturers throughout North America. Our members employ thousands of workers and operate hundreds of manufacturing facilities across the United States. The association and our membership are committed to maintaining rigorous workplace safety and health standards, including in the area of heat stress.

KCMA members have implemented effective measures to manage workers' exposure to heat. These are measures specifically tailored to the unique environmental conditions of their facilities. We urge the agency to carefully reconsider whether it has the authority to promulgate a one-size-fits-all federal heat standard, and whether such a standard, if adopted, would meaningfully improve worker safety. I am joined today by the association's regulatory counsel, Manesh Rath, from the law firm of Keller and Heckman.

MR. RATH: Thank you, Betsy. Thank you, Judge
Bell. And I want to thank also my colleagues from the

Occupational Safety and Health Administration for this opportunity. Our members, the members of the Kitchen Cabinet Manufacturers Association, are concerned about whether the agency has the statutory authority granted to it by Congress to promulgate a national heat standard of the kind it has proposed.

In the National Federation of Independent
Businesses v. the Department of Labor Occupational
Safety and Health Administration, the U.S. Supreme
Court opined that Congress did not grant to OSHA the
authority to issue a COVID-19 emergency temporary
standard, specifically because the Court opined the
hazard, the spread of COVID-19, was not a hazard unique
to the workplace. Congress empowered OSHA in the act
to ensure occupational safety by enforcing occupational
safety and health standards, with the key word there
being occupational safety and health standards, and not
general public health standards.

I note that NIOSH stated in its 2016 NIOSH Report on Occupational Exposure to Heat and Hot Environments, which was cited in the proposed rule, that heat stress is considered to be the sum of two components: A, the

heat generated in the body -- metabolic heat, and B,

the heat gained from the environment, which is

environmental heat.

Yet, as one example of the concerns the members of the Kitchen Cabinet Manufacturers Association have, the draft standard sets an initial heat trigger that is entirely premised on the environmental heat index, which is not occupational in nature and pays no regard to the metabolic heat of the worker, which is the only component that could be generated by work, or thereby be occupational in nature.

MS. NATZ: So this leaves some sectors, like manufacturing, disproportionately affected by the draft standards requirements. For example, workers of manufacturers, such as those represented by KCMA, are often stationary and often generate much less metabolic heat than can typically be found, say in construction or agriculture. Manufacturing workers are mostly indoors, already under shade, and have access to water and electrical outlets where fans can be connected, thereby creating forced convection for rapid bodily heat dissipation.

Additionally, employers in manufacturing, as well as many other sectors, often set shift schedules to avoid peak daytime temperatures, typically by requiring employees to begin their work early in the morning and conclude by early afternoon. Yet, despite the fact that these interventions are already abundantly present in manufacturing, OSHA's one-size regulation would still impose upon manufacturers the remainder of the programming requirements, such as testing, training, monitoring, and recordkeeping.

Also, the standard tying these requirements solely to environmental heat ignores NIOSH's clear finding related to generated or metabolic heat. OSHA's trigger based on environmental heat ignores the best available science and further exceeds the agency's statutory mandate to only regulate hazards that are occupational in nature, and only in instances where there is a significant health risk.

The proposed rule's specifications as to break schedules is not workable in manufacturing. Most manufacturing operations are dependent upon teamwork. To eliminate one member from a task not only affects

operations requiring the team to slow or stop its process, but more importantly, will also affect worker safety.

Many two-person or multiple-person tasks have been designed that way to improve worker safety. For example, spotters, two-man lift techniques, and two-person tasks where one person loads or stabilizes material while another performs a task like cutting or polishing, have all been designed to reduce injuries in musculoskeletal disorders.

Similarly, a uniform acclimatization protocol for employers nationwide is unreasonable. Heat conditions vary across the country. For example, our members have informed us that changes to environmental temperatures in different locations occur at different rates, necessitating a tailored acclimatization schedule based on the location of their facilities. Mandating a nationwide protocol will impose an unnecessary regulatory burden on employers in cold weather states.

KCMA supports the other commenters who have raised concerns about the uniform acclimatization protocol, since the proposed rule was released on the grounds

that it is highly prescriptive, it is not tailored to work, and ignores the many confounding factors that may obviate the need for acclimatization or support -- or may support a shorter acclimatization schedule.

MR. RATH: In addition, our members have questioned whether the Occupational Safety and Health Administration has the authority to mandate that breaks be paid. Again, going back to the U.S. Supreme Court decision in NFIB v. The Department of Labor, the Court opined that Congress must, quote, "speak clearly when authorizing an agency to exercise powers of vast economic and political significance", end quote. In this case, two facts are clear: one, Congress did not expressly authorize OSHA to regulate worker pay, and two, Congress did expressly grant power to regulate the terms and conditions of labor to the Department of Labor's Wage and Hour Division.

Breaks may relieve the effects of heat stress, but OSHA has not presented any data indicating that paid breaks are more effective at addressing workplace heat stress than unpaid breaks. I'll note, as a parenthetical, that the record does reference in the

1 NPRM at pages 70787 and 70800, the interviews by 2 Wadsworth published in 2019 of piece rate workers who 3 brought their own water to reduce the number of breaks 4 they took, or took fewer breaks. While this Wadsworth 5 report may arguendo support a proposition that an 6 employee is less likely to take a voluntary selfscheduled break, it carries no probity on the question 7 of whether there is a heat stress reductive benefit 8 9 from employer-mandated or employer-directed unpaid 10 breaks. 11 The Kitchen Cabinet Manufacturers MS. NATZ: 12 Association is grateful for the opportunity to share 13 its concerns about the proposed rule as it is currently drafted, and we welcome the opportunity for the agency 14 15 to collaborate with a wide spectrum of manufacturers in 16 order to make a final rule more workable, and thereby 17 safer for workers in our nation's manufacturing sector. 18 Thank you so much. 19 Thank you. Questions from OSHA? JUDGE BELL: 20 MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor. Andrew Levinson 21 Ms. Natz and Mr. Rath, thank you very much

22

for your testimony. Let me start with -- I'm not a

1 I'm going to play one on TV for a moment. 2 OSHA has a 90-day post-hearing written comment and 3 post-hearing legal brief period. And Mr. Rath, a 4 number of the issues that you've raised, we certainly would welcome a legal brief for your position or KCMA's 5 6 position on the issues that you've raised related to 7 NFIB because we certainly would like to properly consider those issues. 8 9 I'm curious about the point that you raised on the 10 generated metabolic heat from workers. OSHA does allow 11 wet bulb globe temperature readings, and those formulas 12 do allow people to fine-tune their estimates by 13 including things like PPE worn and workload to address 14 that metabolic - the generated metabolic heat. 15 did not require that because of concerns of 16 feasibility. Is it your contention that all employers 17 should be required to consider the generated metabolic 18 heat, or the workload from those employees as they 19 consider heat safety? 20 Thank you for that question, Mr. MR. RATH: 21 It's an excellent question. It is not. Ιt

22

is my contention that that is the only sphere in which

1 the agency has the congressionally approved authority to issue a regulation. How the agency goes about doing 2 3 so does require a lot more thoughts going forward and between now and the revised final rule. But I think 4 that you have identified the - the gravamen of the 5 6 problem, and that is that there is an essential 7 infeasibility problem with what OSHA is expecting of employers. That is to say, heat stress is the hazard 8 9 that is occupational in nature, and employers have a 10 tough time - frankly, I think anyone at the agency 11 would have a tough time, and anyone in the medical profession would also admit to a tough time identifying 12 the degree to which an employee is, at the moment, 13 14 experiencing any of the effects of heat stress 15 without - merely by observation. 16 And so the agency is putting an impossible burden 17 on employers who are in the laity with respect to the 18 medical profession, to try and figure that out. And it 19

on employers who are in the laity with respect to the medical profession, to try and figure that out. And it has taken a shortcut as a consequence, merely for the sake of feasibility, to set the hazard as environmental heat and not indeed the occupationally induced metabolic heat.



20

21

22

1	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. During the testimony,
2	you talked about using fans and ventilation and other
3	sorts of things in the workplace. Can you talk about
4	other things that KCMA members have used to address
5	heat in their workplace?
6	MS. NATZ: So it varies. I will say that we have
7	companies that obviously, we have three to four
8	breaks provided in the eight-and-a-half hour shift.
9	Water breaks, they many of them, especially in the
10	warmer climate areas, provide electrolyte popsicles,
11	again, fans and of course, they're free to go outside
12	during these breaks where they have many of them
13	have shade, you know, be it trees or what have you.
14	MR. LEVINSON: And has that generally been
15	effective or overly burdensome?
16	MS. NATZ: I believe it has been effective, and I
17	don't believe it has been overly burdensome.
18	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. OSHA has no more
19	questions, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE BELL: All right. How about the Solicitor?
21	MS. WILES: Thank you, Your Honor. Linda Wiles
22	from the Solicitor's Office. I don't have any



1 questions at this time. 2 JUDGE BELL: All right. Are there any other 3 questions for this panel? 4 There are not, Your Honor. MS. CARLON: 5 JUDGE BELL: All right. Ms. Natz, Mr. Rath, thank 6 you very much for your testimony today. It's been very 7 helpful. 8 MS. NATZ: Thank you. 9 MR. RATH: Thank you. 10 MS. NATZ: We appreciate it very much. 11 By my schedule, it looks as though JUDGE BELL: 12 we're done for the day, am I right? 13 MR. LEVINSON: Yes, Your Honor. 14 JUDGE BELL: All right. So we're now at the end 15 of the scheduled witnesses for today. I'd like to 16 remind hearing participants that they may submit 17 additional evidence or statements relevant to the 18 proceeding within 90 days of the end of the hearing, 19 which will be September 30th, 2025. At that point, the 20 record for this rulemaking will close. 21 On behalf of the Department of Labor, I wish to 22 publicly thank all those people who gave their time and

1	presented their testimony today to contribute to this
2	hearing. To all participants, thank you for your
3	interest in this important matter. We're hereby
4	adjourned for the day. We'll reconvene at 9:30
5	tomorrow. And I believe I will be back with you all
6	tomorrow morning. Thanks, everybody.
7	MR. LEVINSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE BELL: Okay. Good night.
9	(Whereupon, at 4:27 p.m., the hearing was
10	adjourned.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
1	



WORD INDEX	12 1: <i>13</i> 31: <i>12</i>	20 46:18	26 131: <i>17</i>
WOND INDEA	79:17 146:20	114:14 157:21	
<\$>	174:2, 3 186:3	200 273:7	
\$2.7 273:8	120 102: <i>13</i>	200,000 131:19,	
\$230 25:18	103:12 151:6	22 148:2	278 111: <i>16</i>
\$ 58 273:17	170:17	2000 41: <i>12</i>	116:16
φεσ 2/3.17	125 205: <i>1</i>	2006 169:21	285 4:21
< 0 >	129 3:20	20103 130:20	286 4:22
0.015 131:2 <i>1</i>	130 170:17	2013 233: <i>13</i>	29 7:9
00001 1:1	14 209: <i>14</i>	2016 209:10	
015 148: <i>1</i>	140 104:2 <i>1</i>	211:13, 20	<3>
	107:13	287:19	3 147:5 231:9
<1>	144 3:22	2017 233:12	3:00 59:22
1 112: <i>13</i> 127:2,		2018 112:5	60:2 64:3, 4
1	251:10	2019 292:2	3:10 231: <i>10</i> , <i>14</i>
1/2 147:5 151:7	15 31: <i>12</i> 61: <i>15</i>	2020 77:7	30 6:7 72:5
1:00 64:3, 4	73:16 157:21	131:16 207:16	130:4 132:1
10 31: <i>12</i> 52: <i>9</i>	171: <i>14</i>	208:11, 14	184:19 186:22
53:17 56:15	153 4:4	2021 207:17	30,000 140: <i>16</i>
61:15 69:7	15-minute 41: <i>19</i>	2022 114: <i>12</i>	300 146:21
73:7, 15 171:14		2023 114: <i>11</i>	174:22 175:2
185:20	267:18	2024 6:7	187:5 189: <i>17</i>
10,000 130:8	170,000 212: <i>19</i>		3000 25:22
100 12:20 19:2,		2025 1:14 8:10	
3 22:3, 7 40:18	1775 39:8	132:19 205:10	301 189: <i>17</i>
41:19 45:18	18 233: <i>12</i>	296:19	30th 8:10
48:3 59:22	1800s 142: <i>17</i>	204 4:8	296:19
76:1 90:4	1838 142:20	20-story 46:17	330 36:22
100,000 94:2	1911 7:9	20th 114:11	350 41: <i>11</i>
100.4 208:20	1955 286:4	215 4:9	36 3:7
101 64: <i>13</i>	1959 25:16	216 4:10	36,000 25:20
104 59:22 64:13		217 4:11	365 143:7
105 64:15	1978 155: <i>13</i>	22,500 11:22	38 143:2 <i>1</i>
109 3:17	1981 148:3	225 131: <i>12</i>	208:16, 20
11 3:3 79:16	1999 233: <i>12</i>	230 4:14	209:11
146:20		231 4:15	39 233:1
11:30 185:21	<2> 2 218:17 210:6	235 4:16	390 146:18
186: <i>1</i>	2 218: <i>17</i> 219:6,	24 1: <i>14</i> 24/7 143: <i>7</i>	151:5 3M's 74:9
110 37: <i>14</i> 149: <i>3</i> 115 40: <i>14</i>	2:00 64:4	24 // 143:/ 25 3:5	3111 8 /4.9
115 40.14	2.00 04.4	25 3:3 250 176:9	
	I	1/0.9	I

<4>	249:2	85:8 120:20, 22	164:19, 22
4 246: <i>14</i>	78 247:21 248:1		165: <i>1</i> 171:7
4:27 297:9	789 112:5	183:18 184:14	192: <i>14</i> 195: <i>17</i>
40 74:12	79 47:16 248:1		198: <i>3</i> 201: <i>11</i>
450 25:14		21 205:3	202:1 216:22
45-minute 81:2	< 8 >	212:21 237:7	218:5 226:1
49 130:20	8 235:5	296:18	230:1 240:1
131:12	8,000 232:7	90-day 293:2	243:6, 9 253:16
4th 77:21	80 12:14, 18	91 63:19 65:2, 4	255:22 266:10
114:12	13:1 19:1 22:4,	92 212: <i>18</i>	268:22 271:18
	22 31:7 39:12	93 3:14	279:17, 21
< 5 >	40:14, 17 47:17,	95 11: <i>12</i> 25: <i>21</i>	abroad 273:12
50 18:5 74: <i>13</i>	19 56:11, 14	63:22 64:5	absolutely 22:12
76: <i>19</i> 110: <i>1</i>	59:18, 21 61:13	97 60: <i>3</i>	23:20 43:8
176:9 206: <i>1</i>	69:10 85:8		88:18 116:21
212:22 213:4	98:10, 11	< A >	155:8 159:19
237:7 283:17	119:20, 2 <i>1</i>	a.m 1:15	163:17 219:18
500 129:21	120:10, 11, 20,	185:20 186: <i>1</i>	224:4 278:4
500-mile 146:20	22 121:4, 21	A10.50 212: <i>15</i>	280:3
54 112:6	149: <i>1</i> 183: <i>16</i>	abilities 241:20	absorb 206:10
58 3:9	245:15 247:21,	266:1	210:5 221:6
	22 248:2, 3, 5	ability 39:18	266: <i>1</i>
<6>	249:3	42:4 58:1	absorbing
6 1:13	80-degree 57:21	70:21 74:19	227:22
60 83:15	61:12 111:14	82:22 97:20	absorption
237:19 254:4	812,000 25:20	158:17 170:15	227:19
257:19	82 57:18	221:5 234:17	ABT 5:3 127:19
600 150: <i>1</i>	85 60: <i>3</i> 147: <i>6</i>	239:8 261:14	abundantly
6000 109: <i>19</i>	880 112: <i>14</i>	262:3 265:9	289:6
603 129:20	881 110:9	able 8:18 9:20	abuse 61:8, 10
140:12	115:19 119:22	17:2, 4 20:12	62:6 66:22
_	89 6:7	24:19 28:17	191:4 282:4, 15
<7>		30:13 44:22	abused 281:18
7 11:21 246:13	<9>	48:8, 9 53:21	AC 46:5, 7, 12
70 84:12	9:30 1:15 297:4	55:20 56:9	50:12
247:21 257:19	90 8:8 12:18,	63:21 79:4	accelerate 206:5
70,698 6:8	20 19:1, 2	118:19 122:9	acceptable 212:10
70787 292: <i>1</i> 70800 292: <i>1</i>	22: <i>17</i> , <i>19</i> 31: <i>6</i> 37: <i>20</i> 40: <i>18</i>	125:22 128:8 135:4 148:20	access 46:19
75 3:11 248:4	41:18 42:2	151:16 152:17	62:1, 7 110:15
13 3.11 240.4	48:3 56:15	153:3 158:15	111:1 123:18,
	40.3 30.13	133.3 136:13	111.1 125.10,

20 128:13, 18	accommodate	action 28:16	135:10 151:19
130:6 134:12	35:1, 2 110:9	245:13	158:6 178:20
137:22 138:2	120: <i>1</i> 274:9	actions 60:9	179:4 184:10
159: <i>13</i> 164: <i>7</i>	accommodating	111:19 113:16	186:22 194:12
241:18, 20	112:14	130: <i>14</i> 149: <i>13</i> ,	232:9, 17 267:9
271:10 288:19	accommodation	14	284:14 296:17
accessible	14:6	activate 216:5	Additionally
174: <i>14</i>	accompany 67:8	active 206:4, 14	13:7 52:1
accident 131: <i>11</i>	accomplish	208:4 221:1	132:8 198:20
133:2	51:19 191:8	226:7 227:8	223:17 289:1
accidents 136:1	accomplished	activities 135:16,	address 12:4
211:6, 8	51:16 54:8	20 149:12	14:18 63:1
acclimating	258:17	200:5 264:11	64:8 67:19
57:11	accord 168:19	activity 14:5	98:13 130:14
acclimation	account 13:9	97: <i>4</i> 199: <i>14</i>	155:20 159: <i>14</i>
116: <i>17</i>	104:16 116:6	actual 84:7	162: <i>14</i> 176: <i>19</i>
acclimatization	184:16 186:12	85: <i>14</i> 154: <i>9</i>	196: <i>16</i> 201: <i>7</i>
13:9, 17 22:21	188: <i>14</i> 273:8	ADA 264:15	207:12 248:16
23:12, 19 26:17	275:7	adaptability	281:15 284:4
28:6 57:2	accounted	275:7	293:13 295:4
63:18 77:18	164:18	adapted 113:8	addressed
85:20 86:3, 18	accounting	add 38:17	105:22 106:17
87:2 88:4, 10,	273:18	44:20 61:1	149:11 164:17
17 97:18	accredited 205:5	81:22 157:6	addresses
101:14 177:11	accuracy 93:1, 3	168:22 190:5	135:18 165:4
178: <i>3</i> 179: <i>14</i>	accurate 6:19	220:5 221:15	281:20
182:18 183:12,	9:21 92:12	254:22 264:15	addressing 62:3
14, 19 184:8	268:9	270:8	96:15 98:9
188:18 246:5, 7	accurately	adding 159: <i>1</i>	113:21 179:10
247:5, 11 248:1,	10:22 129:10	addition 10:6	180:18 274:2
8, 12 276:13, 15	162:20	105:2 113: <i>1</i>	291:20
290:11, 16, 21	accustomed 10:9	194:5, 9 227:20	adequate 15:9
291:3, 4	ACGIH 212:15	228:15, 17 291:5	133:16, 19
acclimatized	achieve 81:7	additional 8:7	216:13
13:11 39:12	98:19 163:10	9:11, 17 31:19	adequately
47:22 48:1	221:18	43:6 44:3, 5	133:1 135:18
247:22 248:5,	acknowledge	45:9 60:12, 21	160: <i>1</i> 164: <i>17</i>
22 249:3	96:5 180:7	62:12 63:20	257:10
acclimatizing	Act 114:10	64:14 65:1, 3,	adhere 113:7
184:6 266:9	276:18 287:14	11 68:13 70:3,	adhesives 220:3
	acting 245:13	12 101:17, 21	adjacent 186: <i>14</i>
L			

adjourned	advanced 219:1	4 215:18 232:3,	AI 279:16
297:4, 10	280:7	19 259:8 289:5	280:7
adjust 66:12	advancing	age 180:10	aid 60:7 67:15
adjustable 74:13	275:10	agencies 82:19,	71:18, 21 111:1
Adjusting 12:21	adverse 62:21	20	199:16 205:9
13:8 246:19	65:16	agency 15:8	aim 273:4
adjustment	adversely 13:13	81:19 115:3, 7	AIR 4:1 18:1,
164:16	advertised 208:4	131:3, 7 150:20	2,8 27:8 29:12,
administered	advice 178:16	155:11, 22	13, 14 31:1, 13,
187:15	advising 105:10	191: <i>1</i> 286: <i>15</i>	14 32:13 50:10
Administering	advocacy 82:14	287:4 291:11	53:10, 13, 14
178:2	141:11 259:11	292:14 294:1, 2,	60:19 64:19
ADMINISTRATI	262:21	10, 16	69:7 74:11, 12,
ON 1:5 82:19	advocate 282:8	agency's 289:15	22 85:16, 17
130:13 136:13,	advocated	ago 70:19	159:5 164:8, 10
<i>14</i> , <i>15</i> 140:8	254:19	90:18 142:3	169:21 170:7, 8,
141:13 147:9	advocates 273:2	243:22	20 185:10
187:17 259:12	advocating	agree 116:10, 12	197:13 208:5
261:16 263:2	274:8 279:2, 7	132:20	215:22 228:18
287:1, 9 291:7	280:10	agreement	233:17 235:2
Administration's	Affairs 93:22	168: <i>4</i> 209: <i>1</i>	258:5
6:3 109:15	109:12	agreements	air-conditioned
140:7	affect 39:17	143:16	16:12 33:21
Administrative	99:5 148:9	agrees 67:15	106:12 149:17
2:4, 5 6:9	234:17 290:2	agricultural	184:1 186:2, 5
12:12 15:20	affiliated 25:5	207:22	air-conditioning
27:14 29:18	affiliates 104:22	agriculture	110:16 127:10
151:14 184:2	107:13	288:18	134:3 159:3
185:11 244:13	affiliation 10:20	ahead 17:11	164:15 170:1, 6,
admit 294:12	11:4 25:3	19:12 25:7	14 209:12
adopt 274:19	36:12, 14 58:12	36:15 47:4	250:22
276:1	75:10 93:19	58:14 109:10	airtight 53:9
adopted 107:4	108:21 129:12	129:17 154:2	Al 4:6 175:15,
263:7 286:17	146: <i>1</i> 153:20 175: <i>17</i> 204: <i>14</i>	160: <i>1</i> 175:22 217: <i>15</i> 218: <i>13</i>	18 Alaska 28:5
adoption 189:14 ADRIANA 2:8			
106:20, 21	232:1 272:7 285:3, 8	220: <i>19</i> 222: <i>6</i> 224: <i>16</i> 226: <i>3</i>	150:2 Alaskan 28:2
124:8, 9	affordable 94:12	244:18 249:8, 9	alcohol 234:15
advance 33:12	afforded 14:1	267:13 272:10	alert 86:10
109:16 181:19	afternoon 62:11	277:11 285:13	139:2
107.10 101.17	90:11, 13 176:2,	211.11 203.13	137.2
	70.11, 13 1/0.2,	I	1

alerting 111:19	ambient 102:13	40:1 63:7	answers 9:15
alertness 211:7	159:5 164:8	100:4 114:22	44:12 115:15
alerts 37:15	170:8	150:15 161:10	118:13 123:11
113:15 127:6	ambiguous	190:13 213:10	194:18 209:5
138:21, 22	78:13	240:20 292:20	anticipate
aligned 277:2	amendments	Andy 91:21	124:13 136:19
alleviate 206:16	177:2	137:15 138:14	anticipating
alleviated 14:14	America 26:4	144:7 223:4	124:12
allotted 7:14	130:7 225:18	anecdotes	anybody 43:21,
allow 7:14 18:7	270:1 286:6	223:21 224:2	22 65:5 83:6
38:11, 18 44:11	AMERICAN	anger 238:11	anybody's
60:13, 17 73:12,	3:18 4:12	angle 111:5	258:13
13 82:1 95:5	11:12 25:13, 18	157:13	anymore 79:22
97:3 113:3	26:3, 13 94:13	angry 238:7	anytime 187: <i>4</i>
254:18 274:21	129:14, 19	announcements	anyway 16:13
293:10, 12	161: <i>14</i> 162:2	10:14	22:9 57:1
allowable 205:19	205:1, 3, 5	annual 131: <i>16</i>	79:20 80:22
allowed 103:17	231:12, 20	198:7 273:9	82:6 90:8, 19
143:17 190:4	232:4 236:21	annually 11:21	219:15 253:13
266:3	262:20 273:9	273:20	268:18
allowing 14:16	Americans	ANPRM 112:5	APARTMENT
44:17 58:15	99:15 176:9	114:13	3:12 93:22
63:3 75:16	205:3	ANSI/ASSP	94:21 105:9
81:11 113:2, 14	America's 94:9	212:15	106: <i>14</i>
129:22 161:6	159:18 274:1	answer 9:13	apologies 30:18
228:5, 11	amount 32:2	17: <i>3</i> 18: <i>14</i>	apologize 44:21
allows 74:10	92:6 123:21	19:10 34:13	49:16 82:4
155:13 172:7	167:2 216:4	49:12, 13 63:4	92:16 161:20
alluded 240:2	283:3	84:22 100: <i>1</i>	apparel 224:8
260:21	amounts 160:4	114:19 117:12	226:11, 15
alluding 249: <i>15</i>	212:13	118:9 124:3	apparent 261:6
alongside 97:2	amusement	138:19 194:8,	apparently
149:5	109:20 112:12	11 213:6, 22	127:11
Alternatively	analysis 68:8	224:17 240:18	appear 7:4
99:18	73:6 178:21	251:18 255:19	94:5 282:11
alternatives	246:1 275:2	256:4	appendices
91:4 138:5	277:21 278:1	answered 65:19	283:8, 9
195:13 222:3	And/or 16:22	118:12 217:10	applauded
248:15 252:21	154:10 201:7	270:5, 8	187:10
aluminum 26:9	ANDREW 2:9	answering 10:8	applauds 176: <i>13</i>
	14:22 30:6	213:21 270:6	

apples 278:14	98:6, 17, 21	77:17, 19 85:6	arrives 186:2
applicable 264:4	99:12 113:20	103:19, 20	ARTBA 239:14
application	116:11, 12	106:13 112:20	artificial 260:7
128:15 129:1	155:16 179:11	113:2, 6 117:17	ASF 212:15
147:15 179:1	188:13 189:9,	130:12, 18	asked 128:10
265:3	19 190:18	131:8 134:2	171:9 199:6
applied 14:7	192:18 205:21	138:9 166:17	209:3 233:15
31:1	229:2 257:1, 2	200:15, 21	234:1 240:5
apply 9:9 44:9	274:20 276:22	229:14 230:3	241:1 263:21
113:10 124:20	277:14, 16	245:5 250:10,	asking 8:16, 21
125:8, 12	279:7 280:11	14 262:12	10:7 15:12
130:11 131:3	approaches	286:10	48:5 86:21
189: <i>11</i> 217: <i>3</i>	98:13 111:18	areas 37:17, 22	87:11, 12, 14
applying 183: <i>16</i>	121:20	38:10, 15 39:5	92:2 137:14
188:18	approaching	46:8, 13, 14, 21	195:6 240: <i>14</i>
appreciate	61:11	50:19 60:16	257:8 258:13
15:12 23:16	appropriate	68:20 69:16	265:10 277:19
25:8 33:6	8:18 28:10	70:2, 10 95:18	ASLRRA 3:19
54:21 58:10	98:7 110: <i>16</i>	103: <i>6</i> , <i>13</i>	as-needed 60:13
75:18 91:7	199:19 206:13	120: <i>16</i> 138: <i>1</i>	61:9, 10 62:6
93:16 94:5, 9	207:2 208:6, 18	146:2 <i>1</i> 150:9	66:9 67:11
100:13 118:20	210:18 212:1	155:4 165:10	73:5, 6, 9, 14
124:5 126:18	276:17	184:22 185: <i>16</i>	191:20 192:4
142:4 145:18,	appropriately	222:3 233:1	193:18, 22 194:4
19 150:12	150:9 162:2 <i>1</i>	234:22 239:8	aspect 33:18
153:16 169:18	approved 294: <i>1</i>	269:12 283:21	216:7
175:12 176:3	approximately	295:10	aspects 143:9
196:3 203:8	10:12 140:15	arguably 113:22	151:1, 14 153:2
223:19 231:2	157:21 273:19	argue 106:22	242:6, 18 262:13
232:8 233:4	apps 152:17	164:6	asphalt 117:19
272:4 284:19	aquariums	arguendo 292:5	243:22 254:13,
296:10	109:22	argument 7:15	16
appreciated	arbitrary 120:11	56:1	assess 15:8
67:21 163:16	arcing 54:5	arises 162:11	38:4, 9, 22
190:22 191:9	area 15:14	Arizona 105:7	148:11 218:19
198:21	18:3, 6, 11	armed 165:5	230:1
appreciative	25:15 42:7	armpit 219:8	assessed 37:16
122:12	44:7 56:12	arrange 256:2	assessing 161:4
approach 15:5	57:5 64:21	array 132:15	193:3
62:17 63:1	68:22 69:15	arrive 186:4	assessment 42:6
81:18 95:11	70:6 76:1		275:1

assessments	assume 73:6	authority	back 44:17
159:19 201:13	116:10	130:16, 17, 21	48:20 57:4, 13
Asset 272:14	assumes 185:6	131:1, 2, 4, 6	69:14 72:22
assigned 136:18	187:6	133:13 147:12	78:5 87:15
assistance	assuming 63:17	150:19 155:8	88:9 101:3
200:17	170:4	286:16 287:4,	102:4 104:20
Associate 93:21	assumptions	<i>11</i> 291:7 294: <i>1</i>	106:6 115: <i>17</i>
204:13	240:12	authorize 291: <i>14</i>	127:2 139:10
associated 12:4	assure 6:18	authorizing	152:9 158:6
155:22 225:17	7:11 199:9	291:11	167:4 174:6
227:17	assuring 257:8	auto 128:18	197:5 219:5
ASSOCIATION	277:19	autonomy 96:7	231:10, 19
3:2, 4, 6, 12, 15,	astronomical	available 7:1	248:5, 13
19 4:1, 7, 13, 20	158: <i>16</i>	74:17 80:21	256:16 261:4
11:8, 11 14:9	athletes 208:5	149: <i>14</i> 155: <i>5</i>	279:21, 22
25:6 30:2, 11,	218:22	160:16 168:1, 6	283:1 291:8
20 36:14, 17, 18	athletic 224:8	170:1, 21	297:5
37:1 40:9 41:7	Atlantic 37:5	202:11 215:14	background
75:19 82:11, 13	at-risk 38:16	218:16 219:1, 3	154: <i>14</i>
94:1 105:8, 9	53:20	220:16 222:4	backpack 168:8
109:13 129:15,	attach 219:7	234:5 251:20	backpacks
20 142:2	220:2	254:9 265:11	167:20
161: <i>14</i> 162: <i>1</i>	attached 16:7	271:3 289:14	bad 278:14
176:7 177:18,	214:3	average 73:7	bakeries 15:17
19, 20 204:10,	attendees 128:13	76: <i>6</i> 130: <i>3</i>	bakery 18:4
19 213:19	attention 44:15	131:16 157:20	balance 277:5
231:13, 21	213:5 240:13	223:18 281:2	balanced 211: <i>16</i>
232:5, 10	attraction	avoid 95:1	ball 220:13
236:21 243:22	115:12	149:19 210:8	bananas 208:17
262:22 272:9,	ATTRACTIONS	232:15 289:3	bandana 208: <i>15</i>
<i>16</i> , <i>22</i> 273: <i>1</i>	3:16 109:13, 21	aware 67:7	bandanas
285:1, 12, 20	110:4, 9, 12	84:20 151:11,	206:15 207:1
286:8 287:3	111:12 112:10	<i>12</i> 164:9 168:4,	208:10, 12
288:5 292:12	113:14 115:21	<i>15</i> 194: <i>11</i>	Barab 55:3, 4,
associations	attributed	198:10 222:3	18 56:19 58:3
55:8 105:4	156:22	229:7	266:19, 21, 22
114:5 259: <i>14</i>	at-will 106:3	awareness	267:4, 13, 15
association's	Audio 139:12	139:9 200:7	268:6, 10 269:2
33:3 135:15	208:8 210:3		barely 20:14
286:19	214:9 230:14	< B >	barges 37:4
	August 6:7		

barricades	Bay 37:4	226:20 234:7	8, 10, 16 231:1,
237:9 238:5, 6	Beach 28:1	276:21 277:4	4, 8 240:19
base 60:8 71:3	BEARR 2:13	279:3 295:16,	258:20 259:3
201:21	135:12, 13	17 297:5	266:13 269:18
Based 9:5	136:3, 17	believes 14:10	272:1, 3, 10
15:21 25:12	137:10 191:14,	125:5	277:9, 11
26:8 42:4, 6, 17	16, 17 193:16	BELL 2:4 6:2,	284:10, 15, 18
58:2 62:9 63:1	194:19 243:2, 3	9 14:19 17:2, 6,	285:4, 6, 7, 13,
70:14, 17 73:1	244:4, 11 246:4	11 19:9, 12, 15,	21 286:1, 22
86:12 90:9, 10	247:10 248:15	20 20:1, 4, 6, 9,	292:19 295:20
92:19 95:7, 17	249:4, 6, 9, 19	12, 14 21:1, 4, 7,	296:2, 5, 11, 14
111:2, 14, 17	beats 170:12	11, 13, 15 24:7,	297:8
116:11 117:6	becoming	12, 16, 22 25:7	belong 45:2
118:7 123:2	281:18	30:4, 13 32:18	benchmark
125:14 126:4	Beeta 278:2, 5	35:15, 18, 22	82:10
176:19 187:13	279:20 280:1, 4	36:4, 7, 9, 15	benchmarks
195:21 197:16	282:1	39:21 45:9	82:2
198:1, 15	beginning 6:8	47:4 54:14, 17,	benefit 99:7
199:11, 13	10:7 72:18	22 58:5, 7, 10,	179:17 183:21
200:3 221:17	begins 8:1	14 63:5 68:1	190:6 292:8
237:18 240:9	behalf 29:22	74:1 75:4, 6	benefits 188: <i>16</i>
275:1 276:22	75:12, 13	81:12 93:5, 9,	243:11
283:6 289:14	109:12 175:21	12, 15 94:6	best 10:10
290:16	272:17 278:7	100:2 108:12,	70:10 82:22
basic 202:17	285:11 296:21	<i>15, 17</i> 109:2, <i>6</i> ,	113:8 115:13
basically 28:16	belief 155:7	10 114:20	123:5 124:18
40:16 45:8	211:2 <i>1</i>	126:11, 14, 17,	125:22 159:9
56:20 87:5	believe 10: <i>15</i>	21 127:2, 5, 10,	207:4 250:20
164:7 166:5	62:20 66:12, 15	14 129:16	253:12 289:14
175:2 196:17	68:9 70:1, 3	133:8 139:19	Betke 3:22
218:20 221:4	74:3, 12 84:12	140:20 141:5	135:3 145:22
228:10	85:20 95:12	145:13, 16, 21	146:2, 4, 5, 7, 9,
basis 18:11	98:4, 18 99:8	146:4, 6, 8	10, 15 150:12,
23:8 97:12	113:16 114:13	150:12 153:9,	<i>17</i> 151: <i>3</i> 152: <i>9</i>
198:7	116:13 120:7	13, 15, 18 154:2	153:15, 17
bath 286:5	123:6 125:2, 16	161:8 169:8, 12	Betsy 4:21
bathroom 80:19,	132:3 135:5	172:20 175:8,	285:1, 14, 19
20	162:7, 14 163:2	10, 22 190:11	286:21
batteries 228:18	169:20 178: <i>11</i>	203:17 204:2, 5	better 31:11
battling 37:10	199:2 207:19	213:7 220:8	98:8 109:8
	209:6 211:11	225:7, 12 230:6,	146:7, 8 151:17

155:19 172:6	215:20 218:4	229:10 288:1	10, 13, 22 193:6,
189:18 200:8	219:16 220:14	body's 206:5	14 231:15
246:19	245:21 246:5	boiler 89:21	235:19 238:10
beverages 210:6,	248:20 260:8	100:20 103:19	239:8 254:15
11 211:7, 12	black 227:18	boost 99:13	256:10, 13
212:10, 12, 17	230:1	borderlines	258:3 261:9
beyond 60:22	blatant 62:6	282:11	267:7, 11, 16, 18,
100:15 269:8, 9	bloodborne	bottles 166:10,	22 289:19 292:7
278:12	80:13	<i>15, 16</i> 173: <i>19</i>	breakdown
bicyclists	blowing 69:5	254:1 271:15, 18	166:5
252:15 261:20	74:22	bottom 128:22	breakdowns
bid 234:21	BLS 237:18	boundaries	156: <i>18</i> 165: <i>21</i>
236:3, 6 252:9	blue 200:1	117:6	breaks 29:8
bidding 252:5	Bluetooth 68:9	box 170:11	33:4, 10, 22
big 27:12	blurry 155:12	Brad 232:5	34:4, 6, 19
32:11 35:5	board 85:14, 15	236:20 244:18	41:19 60:11, 12,
47:9 64:2	boat 25:13, 17	259:9 260:10	14 61:11 62:6,
77:12, 19 84:2,	26:1, 2, 21 27:2,	261:11 266:16	13 63:21 64:1,
5 90:10 136:10	4, 8 28:22 29:3,	267:1 270:2	14, 17 66:9
143:7 163:2	7, 11 32:9 34:2,	Bradley 4:16	67:12 73:5, 6,
172:9 251:2 <i>1</i>	9, 10, 12 36:7	231:22	10, 14 79:9
254:2 270:14,	78:11	Brad's 249:15	80:22 81: <i>1</i>
15, 18 271:7, 15	boating 28:2	breadth 190:7	86:10 97:6
bigger 271:15	29:22	break 33:21	102:6 105:2 <i>1</i>
biggest 78:16	Boats 25:11, 21	34:9 35:2, 9	106:3, 9, 15
80:8 84:5	26:9, 10, 11, 12	42:5 44:22	110:15 115:4, 8
billion 11:21	27:12 28:17	45:4, 16, 21	138:1, 2 147:16
25:18 273:17	29:6 30:1 34:4	60:20 61:7, 14,	149:18 152:22
billions 273:20	Bob 27:21, 22	16 62:1 67:10	160: <i>19</i> 171: <i>4</i> , <i>6</i> ,
biometric 219:3	28:5, 7	73:7 77:4, 5	8, 21 172:10
biomonitoring	Bob's 28:2, 3	79:13 84:3, 4	173:4 182:20
213:17 218:11	bodies 48:13	87:5, 6 106:12	184:12 185:21
bit 46:16 49:19	265:22	127:18 157:9	186:18, 19
78:6 80:7 81:4,	bodily 288:21	160: <i>15</i> 167: <i>4</i>	191:2, 3, 20
5 91:16 102:15	body 169: <i>1</i>	171:16 172:13,	193:18, 22
106:2 133:17	180:7 206:11,	14, 15, 16	194:4, 6, 9
142: <i>4</i> 164: <i>11</i>	20 208:16	177:11 178:4	197: <i>13</i> 199: <i>18</i>
170:16 171:5	216:20 218:16	179: <i>14</i> 184: <i>20</i>	214:15 235:21
173:3, 11	219:9, 22 220:2	185:4, 19 186:4,	241:21 250:15
196: <i>11</i> 201: <i>6</i>	227:22 228:2, 6	6, 11, 21, 22	251:2 254:10,
203:4 208:2		188:18 192:2, 4,	18 255:14, 17,

21, 22 256:3, 6,	broad 26:16	bulb 28:13	233:1 245:6
11 264:8 276:7,	92:18 118:4	119:11 120:22	259:12
13, 15 281:14,	180:14	121:5, 22 122:5,	businesses
17 291:7, 18, 20,	Broadband	7 229:18 230:1,	25:20 26:2, 8
21 292:3, 4, 10	177:20	2 293:11	27:10 28:19
295:8, 9, 12	broader 241:2	burden 12:22	29:4 47:20
breathability	broadly 130:22	14:11, 13 27:15	59:3 76:16
218:2 226:17	147:12	29:18 55:19	81:20 95:14
breathable	brought 200:18	71:13 81:8	125:2 <i>1</i> 130: <i>3</i>
217:14, 22	292:3	115:9 132:6	136:11 140:2, 7,
breeze 42:8	Bruce 141:10,	206:16 245:21	9 142:15, 16
50:14 53:5	12 142:1 144:6	290:19 294:16	178:14 244:13
170:19	259:11 262:20	burdened 13:6	245:21 273:19
BRENDA 2:12	Bubba 204:12	150:4	287:8
47:2 63:8	bucket 186:8,	burdens 99:2, 3	button 9:2
137:12	11, 16 192:16	132:17 274:15	24:8 128:22
bridge 233:9, <i>13</i>	254:2	277:1	Bye 25:1
235:4 236:6, 18	buddy 95:19	burdensome	Bye-bye 24:22
241:4 250:14	97:16	130:11 148:21	36:10 145:21
251:10, 11, 13	build 27:11	151:2 275:10	231:4
260:22 261:2	48:9 198:2	295:15, 17	
262:13 267:10,	232:12 234:21	burlap 235:11	< C >
12 268:3	252:8 271:16	bus 154:11	cab 16:12
bridges 37:6	builder 25:14	business 25:12,	158:14 173:17
232:21 234:22	BUILDERS	13, 16 28:4, 7, 9	233:17 258:5
brief 198:20	4:12 26:1 29:3	39:9, 16, 18	CABINET 4:20
293:3, 5	231:13, 21	40:9 48:8 55:8	285:1, 11, 20
briefing 149: <i>12</i>	232:4 236:21	78:9 80:10	286:5 287:3
152:10, 11, 20	building 25:17	82:18 83:16, 17,	288:5 292:11
201:13	26:3, 8 27:4	19, 21 84:8	cabinets 11:18
briefings 152:12	32:14 34:2	85:3 86:20, 21	cabs 164:2
184:3 185:12	46:18 50:2	87:10, 15, 16	250:22
briefly 255:18	78:22 127:11	88:6, 8, 13 95:6	cadence 184:5
briefs 38:17	232:21 283:17	111:10 113:9	caffeine 212: <i>13</i>
bring 167:8	buildings 149: <i>17</i>	114:10 119:22	calculating
211:22 242:16	builds 271:12	125:6, 12, 13, 22	122: <i>1</i>
247:7 250:15	built 25:22	130:2 132:7	calculation 72:3
279:21, 22	33:12 60:9	134:6 140:4, 8	CALERA 219: <i>1</i>
bringing 167:15	169:20, 2 <i>1</i>	141:13 143:2	call 20:11, 22
brings 144:22	174:15 252:2, 4	189:6 200: <i>1</i>	75:13 125:3
	279:4		230:3

called 9:4	58:6, 11 75:5, 9	assading	centers 109:22
10:19 128:10,	93:14, 18	cascading	110:1 273:11
12 129:2 219:1,	108:16, 20	case 7:19 79:6	central 173:7
·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		207:22
19 233:19	126:16 127:1,	86:1 87:22	
261: <i>16</i>	19 141:7	131:7 135:1	CEO 285:19
calls 79:11	145:15, 22	163:11 183:13	certain 40:7
205:16, 19	153:14, 19	185:8 187:8	41:18 46:13
CamelBaks	175:9, 14 204:4,	215:8 256:5	52:3, 5 65:1
167:20 168:8	9 225:14 230:7	263:2 268:2, 7	119:15 134:2
271:5, 6	231:5, 11, 16	282:7 283:2	136:22 139:9
camera 30:17	259:5 266:19	291:13	163:7 172:12
128:8, 9 141:19	269:20 272:2, 6	cases 82:18	183:8 191:7
CAMT 94:20	284:17, 22 296:4	149:19 209:11,	214:3 224:1
candidate	Carolina 22:22	15	237:13 242:7
148:11	23:1 25:12	Cassity 4:4	246:17 257:16
Cannon 225:15,	28:14	153:19, 21	258:8, 10, 16, 17
17	Carrier 136: <i>14</i>	154:2, 3, 4	276:11
capabilities	147:5	161:8, 13, 20	certainly 22:12
71:10, 11	carriers 159: <i>18</i>	162:7 163: <i>17</i>	100:14 106:15
capability 156:1,	160:10 163:5	164:7 165: <i>11</i> ,	133:12 139:5
2	168:2	<i>13, 19</i> 166: <i>3</i>	168: <i>17</i> , <i>21</i>
capacity 11: <i>13</i>	carries 292:7	167:22 169:5,	214:8 241:16,
76:4 211:8	carry 149:20	<i>14, 19</i> 171:9	17 242:10, 12
caption 128:16	155:5 157: <i>17</i>	173:1, 14 175:8,	243:15, 18
captioning	158:10 166:6,	11, 13	248:17 269:10,
128:13, 14	12 167:17	Casual 77:11	13 278:11, 16,
car 158:21	173:5 175:4	79:20, 21	19 279:2 280:4,
262:1	202:1	catch 16:22	20 282:2, 17
carbohydrates	carrying 200:12	catching 181: <i>18</i>	293:4, 7
211:18	cars 142:10	caught 264:21	Certificate 94:21
carbon 54:5	173:9, 10 174:17	cause 60:21	certified 154:15
care 245:22	CARSE 2:17	132:2, 6 183:5	cetera 60:14
256:12	139:18, 20	211:2	61:7 62:1 69:6
careful 168: <i>10</i>	140:5, 14, 17	CC 128: <i>14</i>	70:17 71:16
carefully 150:20	169:7, 10, 13	cells 59:7	73:2 142:11
286:15	171:3 172:17	Celsius 208:16,	152:16
CAREY'S 4:17	202:22 203:1, 4,	20	CFR 7:9 131: <i>12</i>
CARLON 5:3	13 223:8, 9	center 79:1, 4	challenge 202:3
11:3 20:20	224:16, 19, 21	175:20 192:6,	265:14
24:14 25:2	225:2 283:12,	19 194:10 198:6	challenges
36:2, 11 55:2	13 284:4, 7		36:21 95:7
,	·	· 	

102:12 115:4, 6	chart 60:8 72:1	cites 132:2	15 270:11
154:18 156:12	charter 142: <i>19</i>	city 107:10	289:12 291:13
161:15 165:19	chartered	claim 23:11	Clearance
185:17 201:4, 6,	142:19	224:7	177:20
17 244:13	check 53:21	claiming 61: <i>18</i>	clearer 158:22
252:11 257:3	122:14	claims 216: <i>19</i>	clearly 10:21
275:17, 18	checking 65:13	clarifications	57:18 129:9
challenging	checks 100:22	267:1	180:6 188:12
62:17 68:21	cheese 244:2	clarify 22:1	291:10
69:21 161:18	chemistries	106:2 120:20	clicking 128: <i>14</i>
178:6 179: <i>14</i>	224:10	121:15 122:17	climate 87:1
chance 44:17	Chesapeake	clarifying 8:6	98:15 132:14
45:7 64:7	37:4	clarity 113:18	149:2 236:12
92:11 191:21	Chicas 207:14,	121:13 125:20	295:10
change 12: <i>15</i>	22 208:11, 19,	185:16 240:4	climates 95:11
18:22 21:18	21 230:20, 21	class 143:15	111:10 113:9
28:14 66:16	C-H-I-C-A-S	146:16 154:16	182:17 198:11
198:12 206:19	230:22	166: <i>3</i> 171: <i>11</i>	climb 158:20
207:2 215:7, 12	chill 99:9	172:5 174:12	climbing 46:18
228:8, 10, 18	choices 239:20	classify 220:22	Clinton 146: <i>12</i>
245:7 246:16	choose 216: <i>3</i>	Clause 38:2	clock 231:9
changed 12:18,	229:4	47:10 114:2	261:8
20 215:13	chooses 28:4	clay 143:22	close 8:9, 11
233:21 246:12	choosing 215:21	144:1	59:12 64:12
changes 12:2	Christine 17:2	clean 77:14	68:20 70:6, 8
14:13 21:19	41:21 230:17	80:14 241:18	77:20 78:5
29:12, 14 52:8	Cincinnati 127:7	cleaner 76:2, 7	250:9 296:20
124:15 136:19	circumstance	78:9, 20	closed 6:15
152:13 179:22	116:18, 20	cleaners 75:15	127:7 128:13
188:15 262:17,	circumstances	77:3, 9, 20 78:2,	251:10
18 276:20	12:4 173:13	20 80:22 81:7,	closely 135:2
277:4 290:14	250:5	9 84:3 88:9	144:15 156:6
changing 259:22	citation 283:2	cleaning 75:21	256:7
Channel 53:16	citations 125:3	76:9 77:7, 9, 11	closer 159: <i>1</i>
characteristics	cite 196:19	78:19 79:14	closest 148:19
148:9	269:7, 16 282:22	80:4 81:4 85:6	201:11
charge 137:2, 3,	cited 112:3	87:3 90:20	closing 62:22
5	230:19 268:21	92:17	clothes 77:16
Charmayne	269:6 282:14	clear 155:12	79:15
175:16	287:21	177:22 189:5	clothing 43:12,
		239:20 240:8,	16 90:14

217:13 220:17	68:3 74:16	216:16 225:22	139:14 161:14
227:22	78:5 82:8	229:14 230:4	162:6 163: <i>13</i>
cloud 111:5	86:21 90:5, 13	243:4 263:19	165:8 180:2
CMC 4:17	101:11 104:5	commences	188: <i>11</i> 189: <i>3</i>
coal 227:18	105:17 106:20	235:10	190:16, 21
COALITION	107:8 115:2	comment 6:15	191:18 193:17,
4:18 114:3, 4, 9	116: <i>1</i> 135: <i>11</i>	9:16 19:8 43:4	20 195:10, 20,
272:18 276:19	137:12 138:15	45:13 58:22	22 197:12
278:7 279:6	154: <i>17</i> 165: <i>15</i>	63:2 88:16	201:3 207:10,
280:9 281:11	169:6 191: <i>14</i>	92:9 102:10, 11	12 220:7 222:1
coatings 43:22	192: <i>15</i> 193: <i>6</i>	106:22 109:14	223:10 224:18
code 130:20	194:20 196:5	114:18 145:1	230:12 233:5
coded 61:4	215:21 220:9	161:19 163:22	240:22 241: <i>1</i>
cold 38:7 74:21	226:9 245:1	164: <i>1</i> 177:2 <i>1</i>	242:11 243:6,
234:11 235:22	246:21 247:4	191: <i>12</i> 195: <i>4</i>	10 246:6
254:15 290:19	249:22 255:9	196:9, <i>14</i> 197:6	247:10 248:19
collaborate	256:16 265:5	203:11 212:2	250:4 255:13,
292:15	271:17	233:2, 4 255:15	17 256:22
collaboration	comes 18:17	293:2	260:14 274:1
123:5 159:2 <i>1</i>	30:7 32:20	commentary	280:1 282:3
colleague 135:3	35:3 42:20	33:7	284:6 286:3
226:7 227:3	47:2 63:8	commented	commercial
232:5	82:13 84:14	161: <i>15</i> 162:2	46:16 218:15
colleagues	85:15 87:15	commenter 67:4	219:4 220:4
286:22	88:8 89:3, 18	commenters	commitment
collect 84:19	123:16 124:8	17:16 34:16	147:3
118:2 <i>1</i> 119: <i>13</i>	139:16 151:22	138: <i>1</i> 196:2 <i>1</i>	committed
collected 131: <i>13</i>	163:18 197:8	290:20	94:12 149:8
Collectively	202:21 217:8	comments 7:17	286:9
95:3 232:11	223:7 227:1	8:6 11:7 21:22	commodities
273:17	243:1 247:6	23:15 30:10, 11,	130:9
colored 237:11	283:12	20, 22 31:16	commodity
Columbia	comfort 110:8	33:1, 9 58:22	143:22
176:10	comfortable	66:6, 14, 18, 21	common 22:3, 4,
combination	29:10 33:6	67:13, 20 68:6	8 110:4 170:22
33:14 193:7	43:3 53:7	71:7, 12 75:18	189:22 206:8,
226:14, 20 228:8	170:5 229:11	91:1 92:9, 13	18 223:22 224:3
combined 94:2	coming 32:12	114:11 117:5	commonly
come 38:18	42:8 52:9 53:5	120:19 121:16,	223:18 272:22
39:6 51:3	74:11 90:4	19 132:18	communicate
57:13 66:2, 21	207:8 214:6	133:11 135:15	158:14

communicated	245:20 246:18	complexities	161:20 169:10
215: <i>11</i>	273:13 275:11	180:20	concentrate 8:5
communicating	295:7	complexity 46:3	concentrated
161:5 182:2	company 41:10	compliance 27:9,	283:20
communication	45:1 67:14	13 84:7 96:16	concentration
80:12 83:11	120:7 140:10	113:20 125:19	211:17
97:8 158:12	187:22 197:20	182:14 268:16	concept 115:4
165:4 172:9	202:15 209:10,	269:3, 5, 7, 16	concepts 220:21
Communications	12, 20 210:5, 7	274:15 278:21	concern 16:15
160:2, 13 182:13	236:7 262:6	280:21 282:21	60:11, 18 61:8,
communities	company's	compliant	9 67:5 78:16
95:3 96:9, 16	96:10 209:20	181:11, 21	80:8 95:10
97:3 107:8	companywide	196:19 210:17	99:1 237:11
176:12 182:8	102:8	complicated	239:17 240:5
community	compare 60:7	37:7 46:21	247:18 257:21
103:1, 22	121:11, 14	56:6 275:9	276:14 281:17
106:14 107:9	237:19, 20	comply 78:12	282:15
178:9 183:7	compared	91:15 95:13	concerned
184:7	122:11 275:18	124: <i>14</i> 179:22	39:14 56:11
community's	comparing	complying 83:6	71:1 85:21
102:2	122:18	244:14 268:19	117: <i>13</i> 119: <i>4</i>
commuter	competing 263:3	component	145: <i>5</i> 176:2 <i>1</i>
154:11	competitive	144:12 151:13	257:17, 22 287:3
comp 212:22	236:7, 8, 13	156: <i>15</i> 205: <i>17</i>	concerning
companies	complained	288:10	147:16 159:2
11:16 36:18	259:15	components	concerns 14:16
37:1 45:1, 2	complaint 56:4	226:15, 16	26:15 39:10
51:14 101:8	complete 6:18	287:22	59:1, 5 60:20
105:1 114:5	184:2 <i>1</i> 269:7	COMPOSITE	63:1 66:8
120:8 136:11	completely	3:2 11:7, 13, 20	180:2 195:5
140:12 144:10	15:13, 22 16:17	12:6 14:9 15:18	232:17 238:11
176:8, 15, 18	79:3 88:20	Compounding	255:13 260:6
178:8 179:17	187:21 259:22	158:11	264:10 265:20
182:9 183:2 <i>1</i>	275:19	comprehensive	269:10, 11
184:17 185:18	completion	27:9 147:3	279:18 288:4
192:6, 17 194:1,	266:6	176:15	290:21 292:13
14 195:11, 17,	complex 117:12,	comprise 146:18	293:15
21 197:22	13 118:10, 11	compromises	conclude 212: <i>18</i>
198:5, 10, 14	177:5 179:9	235:14	289:5
199:16 200:11	180:18	computer	concludes 24:5
202:7 219:13			35:16 54:15

73:21 93:7	264:14, 19	consequence	constantly
99:20 108:10	267:7 274:10	294:19	193:3 248:13
126:10 133:6	286:14 290:12	consequences	constrained
140:18 153:7	291:16	112:17	262:11
172:18 203:16	conduct 240:10	consider 13:8	constraints
224:22 225:5	conducted	62:5, 19, 22	203:5
258:19 284:9	209:9, 13	112:19 121:18	construction
conclusion 9:12	conducting 6:18	133: <i>14</i> 140: <i>1</i>	188:21 222:11
14:9 189:22	184: <i>3</i> 192: <i>21</i>	148:8 149:2	226:13 232:6, 8,
276:19	conductive	150:20 187:11	11, 20, 22
concrete 232:22	221:3	233:9 236:17	237:17 238:4,
235:5, 8, 11	conductor 137:3	238:9 276:20	17, 19, 20 239:1,
254:13, 16	154:13, 16	284:5 293:8, 17,	5 241:3 254:20
260:22	168:5 173:15	19	259:13 262:6
condition 188:5	conductors	considerably	288:17
192:9 193:4	154:9 157:22	99:4	consult 115: <i>14</i>
233:16	158:12, 17	consideration	124:2
condition-based	confined 46:21	12:7, 11 13:17	consumer
228:22 229:1	confirm 231: <i>19</i>	48:6 111:8	212:11
conditioned	confirmation	113:12 148:5	contact 97:7
185: <i>11</i>	255:19	233:5	166:14 234:2, 4
conditioner	conflict 99: <i>12</i>	considerations	contain 67: <i>17</i>
233:18	confounding	239:9	206:10 211:16
conditioning	263:18 264:9	considered	212:12
169:22 170:8,	291:2	15: <i>14</i> 16: <i>1</i>	container 228:5
21 258:6	confusion 211:5	168:21 202:14	containing 210:8
conditions	Congress 287:5,	217:19 287:22	contains 180:5
12:16, 17 31:18,	10, 14 291:10,	considering	contemplate
20, 21 51:8, 12,	13, 15	104:14 242:5, 6	148:6
15, 21 52:3, 6	congressionally	considers 111:4	contemplated
53:15 94:10, 15	294:1	consistent 18:11	150:9
112:1 119:12	CONN 4:17	consistently	content 6:16
132:22 134:9	connect 149:21	15:16 43:19	232:15 244:8
147:4 148:13	214:8	79:10	contention
149: <i>11</i> 152: <i>7</i>	connected 30:17	consists 104:21	293:16, 22
156:5 177:15	128:11 288:20	consolidated	context 130:16
180:11 181:20	connection	195:18 232:16	131:19 132:10
185:2, 8 187:12	158:18	consolidation	contingencies
198:12 211:3	Connie 3:3	195:22	95:20
217:12 234:16	11:3, 6	constant 97:7	continual 39:3
239:19 240:17	consensus 205:6	206:21	

continually	240:6 241:5	cool-down	225:20 226:17
238:1	261:17 269:4, 9	185:21 186:18,	227:8, 10, 13, 20,
continue 38:12	controlled 38:15	21 192:13	21 228:9, 12, 17,
39:18 56:10	96:19	194:9 199:20	19 252:1 255:4
59:1 109:5	controlling	cooled 258:6	coordinate 36:20
113:3 125:6, 16	17:20 18:13	280:19 283:17,	coordinator
190:4 193:13	156:8	18, 21	136:19 195:6, 8,
231:16 276:1	controls 12:12	cooler 37:19	14
continuing 30:3	15:6, 9, 20	72:21 79:5	coordinators
190:9 200:13	22:16, 18 47:7,	173:20, 21	95:16
continuous	8, 10, 13 48:10,	246:15, 20	core 178:13
218:19 260:17	18 49:4 60:10	coolers 78:18	208:16 218:17,
continuously	78:17 79:7	79:8 149:16	21 219:6, 19, 22
37:17 52:5	85:11 119:15	254:1	229:10
185:15	138:4 165:22	cooling 29:15	corner 128:15
contract 178:8	210:13 215:3	31:2, 4, 8, 10, 13	cornerstone
252:3, 4, 8	252:22 275:18	38:15 44:8	178:16
contracting	convection	46:11 53:6	corporate 110:2
48:14	288:21	64:18, 20, 21	correct 21:18
contractor	convenes 273:2	74:4, 6, 7, 10	85:22 104:11
10:18 127:20	convenience	79:2 149:17	116:12 267:9
200:17 232:21	110:8	159:4 167:19,	268:8
235:16 236:3,	convenient	22 201:3, 5, 18	correcting 22:5
11, 18 242:11	156:19	202:2 203:5, 9	correctly 102:18
243:8 244:6	conversation	204:22 205:15,	107:22 140: <i>1</i>
261:5	162:16	22 206:3, 4, 5, 6,	correlation
Contractors	conversations	7, 9, 12, 14, 15,	72:15
177:19 225:18	103:5	22 207:1, 4, 11,	corresponding
233:10 245:5	cool 32:15	14, 19 208:3, 4,	188:16
250:14 252:4	33:21 44:11, 17	10, 12, 17 209:5,	cost 39:16 57:6
contractor's	50:16 54:3	7, 8, 14, 18, 22	59:13 68:6
244:9	85:16 134:13	210:1, 13, 16	91:2, 4, 9, 14
contracts 39:18	149: <i>14</i> 174: <i>1</i>	213:1, 2 214:12,	92:3, 7, 12, 16
266:6	191:20 193: <i>14</i>	14, 16, 22	126:6 202:13
contrast 121: <i>11</i> ,	196:9, 22 209:2	215:11, 21	203:5, 6, 9
14	212:10 216:5	216:16, 19	223:1, 18 236:5
contribute 297:1	227:21 228:6	217:2, 4 218:2	costly 98:19
control 50:3	229:10 248:4	220:13, 16, 22	184:9 188:15
85:9 91:12	250:16 253:3, 5,	221:2, 3, 4, 5, 10,	235:15
234:13, 20	7 257:20 258:14	16, 18 223:11	
	Coolcore 221:11	224:9, 10	

70.16	16.10		110.12 100.5
costs 70:16	cover 16:18	credentialing	119:13 122:5
71:1 99:5, 8, 14	96:8 111:6	94:16 96:3	123:19 152:5
212:22 243: <i>11</i>	130:22 147:13	105:2	199:5 213:17
COUNCIL 3:13	219:17 243:17	crew 149:16	220:15 241:7
94:3	covered 50:7	152:18 156:16	283:19 293:9
counsel 286:19	92:19 106:4, 13	157:8 159:4, 14	current 13:4
Count 19:16	133:15 135:6,	164:7 165:3	56:18 59:2
265:7	16 280:13	170:2 173:4, 9,	62:14 68:14
counteract 218:1	covers 149: <i>13</i>	14 174:2	71:6, 14 72:8
counterproductiv	COVID 76:10	185:20 186: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i>	104:16 154:15
e 113:22	COVID-19	188: <i>1</i> 191:22	171:5 183:8
country 12:1	287:11, 13	192:8 193:5	192:2, 3, 9
13:1, 3, 10, 13,	coworker 181: <i>10</i>	222:14 235:17	205:18 255:20
<i>16</i> 14: <i>12</i> 19: <i>4</i>	coworkers	236:4 267:9, 12	275:6, 16
32:10 77:3	187:13	268:7	currently 10:17
94:4 104:13, 22	CPA 11:9, 11,	crewmember	17:20 33:10
107:13 114:9	15 12:2, 15	158:19	36:22 40:10
154:7, 12	13:18, 22 14:6	crews 148:17	51:8 60:4, 13
156:22 290:13	cracks 161: <i>1</i>	191:20 222:4	70:2 72:5
county 117:8	235:13 261:1	245:7, 20 256:2	73:12 84:18
118:6	craft 29:7	271:2	104: <i>16</i> 118:2 <i>1</i>
couple 18:21	143:12, 15	crisis 99:12	119: <i>13</i> 132: <i>16</i>
40:4 41:20	154:17 191:12	criteria 28:13	133: <i>1</i> 156: <i>4</i>
43:4 45:20	crafts 154:8, 13	211:13, 20	164:9 165:9, 22
47:5 60:16	168:5	critical 117: <i>1</i>	193:2 194: <i>13</i>
69:9, 12 72:11	cramping 211:5	147:5 182:12	209:19 231:18
84:18 90:16, 18	cranes 37:6	192: <i>1</i> 193: <i>12</i>	292:13
116: <i>4</i> 118: <i>16</i>	52:1, 3	211:9 241:11, 17	curve 72:13
119:9 142:3	create 39:15	critically 156:10	custom 271:12
213:13 214:2	46:20 47:14	167:12	customers 90:11,
217:1 266:22	51:20 62:4	crossing 251:9	<i>13</i> 130:8
course 26:12	122:21 125:18	cruise 28:2	customizations
37:15 110:6	126:5 234:12	110: <i>1</i>	104:18
134:1 160:18	274:14	cruisers 26:10	cut 46:19 51:20
187:21 237:1	created 39:6	crux 23:5	cuts 46:19
247:8 295:11	209:21	CSX 154:16	cutting 54:5
court 9:19 10:2,	creates 29:17	CTIA-The	290:8
21 16:22 41:22	60:19	177:19	cyber 70:19
129:9 230:17	creating 47:12	cubic 235:3, 6	cycle 42:14
287:10, 12	81:8 260:4	curious 31:18	•
291:8, 9	288:21	102:14 117:5	

ovelog 39:16	145:6 147:19	200:1 219:5	default 77:10
cycles 38: <i>16</i> 41: <i>4</i> , <i>6</i> , <i>17</i> 42: <i>4</i>	162: <i>17</i> 169: <i>14</i> ,	245:1 296:18	defects 261:3, 6
41.4, 0, 17 42.4	17 176:20	daytime 289:3	defer 263:4
cycling 35:10	178:19, 22	day-to-day	define 41:8
cyclist 262:1	179:1 180:19	148:18	47:15 49:18
Cyclist 202.1	188:22 189:16	deadlines 97:13	212:7
< D >	198:8, 17	deal 27:14 87:1	defined 132:11
D.C 285:11	209:16 223:12	254:20	133:21 143:15
daily 28:13	237:18 291:19	dealing 43:11	defining 70:7
43:19 51:13	data-driven	76:19 80:12	definitely
52:6 59:7	179:6	107:2	107:11 116:13
149:11	dataset 48:18	deals 260:3	122:19 176:2
DALTON 2:10	Day 1:13 26:10	261: <i>13</i>	191:10 192:7
138:16, 17	28:14 29:12	death 182:10	195:16 198:13
196:6, 7 217:8	37:19, 21 39:13	deaths 237:19	202:7 216:16
218:8	42:11 51:18, 22	debris 50:9	221:20
damages 266:11	59:21 61:18	241:5	definition 70:8
damaging 182:1	72:18 73:1, 7	decades 98:8	140:4, 7, 8
Dan 204:17	85:5 96:2, 11	104:9 107:2	149:5 212:6
225:19, 20 226:2	101:1 103:12	decanter 271:17	241:1
dangerous	126:4 128:19	December	definitive 12:13
192:1 238:15	134:18, 19, 20,	114:11	149:7 224:6
242:12	22 136:9 143:5	decide 61: <i>15</i>	DEFOE 2:11
Daniel 4:8	144:3 149:20	82:14	32:20, 21, 22
204:11	151:13 152:10,	decision 28:3	33:16 34:14
DANIELLE	12, 18 153:17	54:10 211:5	35:13 66:2, 4
2:14 42:20, 21	156:22 181: <i>14</i>	229:3 273:2	67:1, 21 105:17,
101:11, 12	185:7 187:15,	291:9	<i>19</i> 106: <i>16</i>
123:16, 17	16 201:15	decisions 107:16	degree 31:12
Daniel's 215:20	202:11 233:13	274:22 279:11	37:21 47:15, 16
data 19:5	246:1, 20 255:3	deck 50:7	56:15 69:10, 12
21:20 23:10, 13,	259:18, 20	234:22	100:7 119:2 <i>1</i>
14, 18 31:19	262:16 273:22	decks 42:10	120:11 294:13
32:3, 7, 10, 11,	296:12 297:4	45:15, 17 46:19	degrees 12:14,
16 48:2 60:6	daylight 143:6	49:21, 22 51:17	18, 20 13:2
72:9 84:19	days 8:8 52:9	decrease 213:3	22:3, 4, 8, 22
85:4 86:17	53:18 59:21	decreases 12:22	32:1 39:13
99:6 104:17, 20	61:13 72:12	dedicated	40:17, 18 41:19
117:9 118:20	86:5 90:18	143:11	42:2 45:18
119: <i>14</i> 122:9	111: <i>16</i> 116: <i>17</i>	deeper 53:11	47:17, 19 48:3
131:9, 14 133:2	149:3 153:1		56:11, 14, 15

57:18 59:18	dependability	detail 91:2	devoted 155:19
65:4 69:9, 13	158:12	114:17 227:4	dialogue 30:3
74:13 76:1	dependent	detailed 32:3	dictated 79:3
85:8 90:4	78: <i>19</i> 103: <i>21</i>	33:8 115:15	died 268:12, 17
98:10, 11	128:20 289:21	131:13	differ 107:11
102:13 103:13	depending	detailing 180:2	difference 31:13
147:6 149: <i>1</i>	61:16 69:1	details 118:20	48:6 69:5
159:22 170:17	95:21 96:13	165:8	117:19, 20, 21,
183:16, 18	107:11 120:15	determination	22 122:4
184:14 185:19	246:9 270:21	193:2	164:12 278:21
186:20 208:16,	271:13, 19	determine 9:6	differences
20 245:15	depends 85:9	63:11, 13	27:18 37:11
247:21 248:1, 2,	140:3 251:7	117:10 118:22	39:11 104:12
3 249:2 254:4	deplete 155:18	119: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>19</i>	118:3
257:19, 20	deployed 95:21	120:8, 13	different 13:10
dehydration	Deputy 153:22	178: <i>19</i> 179: <i>3</i>	23:1 26:19
181: <i>13</i> 183:2	154:5	253:19 279:13	42:11 56:9
211:2	derives 130:21	determined	59:16 62:14
delayed 238:3, 7	descend 186:10	120:10 155:16	70:9 76:19
delays 183:5	describe 193:2 <i>1</i>	192:12 266:7	78:14 84:20
deliver 235:5	222:5 246:8	determines	85:10 98:11
delivered 254:12	describing 173:3	113:10	103:16 105:8
deliveries 235:6	desert 159:11	determining	106:9 111:10,
demonstrate	desertous 166: <i>17</i>	6:16 42:13	<i>17</i> , <i>18</i> 112: <i>10</i>
112:3 207:19	design 204:19	116: <i>19</i> 192: <i>11</i>	116:18, 19
245:11	260:2	193:5 217:12	120:16 125:12
demonstrated	designated	283:14	134:18 136:4, 5
193:18 207:13	148: <i>14</i> 151: <i>4</i>	detriment 183:6	142:7, 11, 13
209:8	designed 110:8	develop 86:6	143:1, 9 145:4
demonstrates	151:8 176: <i>16</i>	94:4 96:22	151:8 173:10
123:3 125:4	200:11 206:16	156: <i>1</i> 205: <i>6</i>	182:17 187:22
131:9 147:19	210:13 215:2	226:16	189:11 195:6
density 11: <i>14</i>	239:12 290:5, 9	developed	197:22 198: <i>1</i>
143:3	desire 213: <i>14</i>	176:18 243:21	200:14 202:12
Department 2:6	277:14	244:1	203:8 214:11
6:10 43:22	Despite 7:7	development	217:2 222:11,
127:7 287:8	38:17 112:13	99:10	18 237:10
291:9, 16 296:21		devices 217:4	238:21 247:9
depend 137:7	240:7 289:5	229:19, 20, 21	249:13, 14
169:19 250:12	destination	261:17	262:13 267:21
265:5	156:17		

275:17 279:16	238:19 243:3	disproportionatel	documents 7:3,
290:15	250:3 255:12	y 288:13	20 8:3
differential 69:9	directors 110:19	disrupting	doffing 61:17
differently 54:9	disadvantage	186:13	138:8
120:15 180:8	236:13	disruptions	doing 10:9
188:3	disadvantages	60:21 184:15	45:6 54:4 55:6,
difficult 78:12,	39:16	disruptive 27:14	9, 13 56:2, 4
14 79:6 80:7	disagreements	dissipation	57:1 59:15
83:16 84:13	91:3	288:22	64:8, 9 65:8, 15
88:12 100:14	discipline 160:17	distance 158:16	67:19 69:19
136:10 158:1	discretion 96:18	distances	71:15 76:2, 9
161:3 162:4	102:6	134:11 155:3	80:5 100:18
163:10 166:22	discuss 138:4	157:18 166:22	101:21 108:4
167:17 177:12	191:21 255:20	distinguish	119:19 123:7
184:21 236:10	272:18	103:15	125:5, 7 133:16,
242:14 250:20	discussed 195:5	distinguishes	18 137:4, 8
257:16 264:22	discusses 210:20	142:5	145:9 150:22
280:19	discussion 37:13	distribute 11:16	151: <i>18</i> 171: <i>13</i>
difficulties	67:10 152:20	139:5	182:10 185:11
129:5 154:18	220:13	distribution	192: <i>1</i> 194: <i>13</i>
dig 123:12	discussions	139:7 273:11	198:9 237:13
dip 253:6	152:20 206:2	District 176:10	241:4, 14 246:1
direct 16:8	disembark	ditto 75:20	250:13 251:11
29:12 60:17	157:8 167:8	diverse 110: <i>3</i>	253:14 257:1
99:12	dismount 173:5	115:12 120:6	259:18 261:8
direction 214:11,	disorders 290:10	124:2 125:11	263:15 267:10
13	disparate 275:18	diversity 98:14	270:2 294:2
directly 99:4	disparities 239:4	divert 275:11	domestically
168: <i>16</i> 201: <i>1</i>	dispatched	divide 220:22	273:11
Director 109:12	95:16	DIVISION 4:3	donning 61: <i>17</i>
154:1, 5	dispatcher	153:22 154:6	138:7
Directorate	149:22	291: <i>17</i>	door 164:13
17:13 18:20	dispatchers	docket 7:18, 21	240:9
32:22 66:5	147:17	10:12 118:19	doors 18:7
84:17 104:8	display 71:21	doctor 230:19	72:20
116: <i>4</i> 135: <i>13</i>	displayed 10:17	233:21	DOT 61:4
137:19 138:18	231:18	document	252:5 263:4
152:3 163:2 <i>1</i>	displaying 65:16	211:13, 20	doubled 229:16
165:17 191:17	disposal 105:3	236:12 261:16	DOWNS 2:19
195:1 196:7	disproportionate	documented	137:17, 18, 19
197:10 220:11	112:22	112:8	138:13 165:15,

17 167:18	drugs 234:15	earlier 45:14, 19	184:16 185:17
169:3 197:9, 10,	268:14, 18	67:4 90:4, 5, 6	187:20, 22
21 198:19	dry 75:15, 21	104:21 116:15	188: <i>11</i> 189: <i>10</i> ,
220:10, 11	76:2, 6 77:3, 6,	137:2 <i>1</i> 162:9	22 190:2, 6, 15
221:9, 21, 22	7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 19	201:20 227:14	201:3
223:3 250:1, 2	78:2, 9, 18, 20	250:18 262:20	EEOC 264:14
252:19 253:17	79:14 80:4	263:7	effect 12:9
255:7 270:7	81:4, 7, 9 84:3	early 64:12	29:15 31:2, 5, 8
dozen 151:7	85:6 87:2	110:21 181:18	32:8 53:6, 7
dozens 149:4	90:20 92:17	187:8 289:4, 5	85:8 184:20
156:2 <i>1</i>	210:4	earn 39:18	210:4 236:17
Dr 207:14, 22		easier 76:18	263:6
208:21	DRYCLEANING	122:8	effective 58:19
draft 288:6, 13	3:10 75:13	easily 199:5	62:15 107:5
drafted 292:14	drying 226:17	Eastern 127:3,	138:22 139:2
dramatically	dual 144:8	20	146: <i>19</i> 150: <i>6</i>
53:1, 12	263:3	easy 157:11	176:20 178:18
drawing 96:3	Due 61:1 96:20	189:5 219:6	179: <i>19</i> 188: <i>15</i> ,
dress 79:20	182:6 212:20	220:1 252:18	21 190:2
drew 117: <i>14</i>	240:4 275:16	271: <i>1</i>	193:19 198:18
drier 13:15	dump 173:22	economic 68:8	207:20 209:8
drink 28:1	duplicate 156:2	291:12	212:20 214:16
64:6 212:9	duplicated 132:7	economically	216:3 227:21
233:18 234:12	duration 89:22	206:17	228:7, 13
240:4 254:4	216:5	economy 25:19	229:10 274:11,
drinking 196:18	dust 50:9	EDISON 4:5	12 279:1, 2, 3
212:7, 8, 11	Dustin 3:5	175:14, 21 241:8	283:3 286:11
234:14	25:2, 4, 9	educate 82:11	291:20 295:15,
drinks 38:14	duties 103:21	educating	16
210:8 211:15	104:1 136:22	107:14 113:19	effectively 97:22
234:11 235:22	137:6 264:5	education 82:9,	184:6 189: <i>15</i>
drive 238:5	Duty 38:2	16 83:8 94:18	227:9
driver 154:13	47:10 50:20	97:5 101:3	effectiveness
drivers 16:5, 12	114: <i>1</i> 131: <i>16</i>	103:8 105: <i>1</i>	207:11, 14
driving 251:9	174:3	113:19 189:14	effects 161: <i>1</i>
drop 74:11	dynamic 251: <i>17</i>	215:8	291: <i>18</i> 294: <i>14</i>
dropped 19: <i>15</i>	273:4	EEI 176:6, 8, 13,	efficiencies
41:22 77:8	dynamics 118:8	14, 18, 21 177:3,	228:19
drug 264:21	254:21	18 178:7, 11	efficiency
265:17		179: <i>17</i> 180: <i>1</i>	227:11 228:12,
drug-free 264:20	< E >	182:2, 8 183:21	20

000 4 17 07 01	1	1 201 1 2 12	
efficiently 97:21	electrolyte	201:1, 2, 12	71:2 73:9 74:4
178:9 182:9	38:14 209:19	203:9 233:20	75:1 76:7 77:2
effort 35:7	210:6, 10 211:1,	234:2, 4, 6	78:1 79:12
efforts 43:17	4, 7, 12 212:6,	241:2, 4, 13	80:11 83:8
176:13 240:7	14, 17 295:10	242:5 278:16	84:1 86:3, 15
275:21	electrolyte-	287:11	87: <i>17</i> 94: <i>14</i>
EI 94:19	replacing 212: <i>12</i>	emphasized 33:2	97:1, 4, 9, 18
eight 118:2	electrolytes	emphasizes	98:1, 14 100:17
151:8 185:7	210:8, 19, 21	94:22	101:18, 22
211:18 267:20,	211:9, 16, 18, 21	employ 115: <i>13</i>	102:5 103:16
21	212:1	286:6	105:6 106:3, 7
eight-and-a-half	electronic	employee 13: <i>14</i> ,	107:21 110:5,
295:8	189: <i>17</i>	<i>17</i> 16: <i>16</i> 27:2 <i>1</i>	10, 14, 20
either 33:8	element 180:21	44:3 61:15	111:20 112:13
66:14 69:4	268:17	65:16 78:1	114:6 120:2
71:3 120:19	elements 154:20	92:6 130:14, 15	125:15 130:4
138:19 190:20	157:16 160:20	131:22 132: <i>1</i>	131:20, 2 <i>1</i>
193:20 195:9	178:13, 15	148:18 160:15	132:11, 12
199:6 205:17	189: <i>5</i> , <i>13</i>	183:1, 20	134:7, 15 136:5
214:14, 16	278:10, 15	184:20 186:8,	140:15, 16
215:11 251:14	elevate 273:4	10, 17, 20 187:4,	147: <i>14</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>18</i>
260:18 261:3	elevations	11, 14, 15	148: <i>1</i> 163:6
267:8 271:14	120:16	236:16 249:12	176:16 178:16
elaborate 31:4	eliminate	259:17, 19	181:2, 17 182:2,
51:7 68:12	180: <i>16</i> 189:9	263:19 267:17	20, 21 184:6, 7,
74:5 89:7, 14	289:22	268:12, 17	9, 11 185:7, 15
171:5 173: <i>3</i>	eliminated 15:4	273:18 282:3,	189: <i>8</i> 190: <i>1</i>
244:12 250:8	Elite 208:3	15, 18 292:6	194: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> 199: <i>15</i> ,
elected 154:14	Elizabeth 37:3	294:13	17 200:2, 19
ELECTRIC 4:5	else's 32:11	employee-owned	205:14 210:7
173:2 <i>1</i> 175: <i>15</i> ,	email 7:22	147:2	211:15, 22
21 176:8, 11	129:6	employees	222:2 229:3
177:14, 18	emergencies	13:11 14:3, 15	234:14, 17, 19
179:11 182:12	162: <i>14</i> 163: <i>1</i>	15:15, 20 18:9	235:22 236:12
185:5 201:10,	emergency	23:3, 12, 13	239:16 247:14
16 241:8	96:13 127:6	28:11 29:3, 4	255:21 264:5, 8,
electrical	148:14, 15	41:11 59:15	16 265:16, 20
177:16 185:9	160: <i>3</i> 161: <i>17</i> ,	60:13 61:10	266:3 271:8
186:9, <i>14</i>	21 162:4 182:4,	62:1 63:20	273:14 275:13
241:22 288:20	13, 14, 15, 16	64:5, 8 65:3, 14	277:4 282:5
electricity 176:8	183:9 187:14	66:10 69:3	

283:15 289:4	employing	engaged 36:18	8:1
293:18	58: <i>17</i> 112: <i>13</i>	232:14 264:11	enterprise 147:2
employee's	employment	Engelbart 4:9	entertainment
188:5	148: <i>11</i>	204:11 215:18,	109:22
employer 61: <i>19</i>	empower 160:18	19 216:15 222:8	entire 6:15
186: <i>17</i> 216: <i>3</i>	empowered	ENGELBERT	50:7 87:16
234:9 263:20	44:22 96:17	222:7	88:9 131:18
265:2 269: <i>15</i> ,	149:18 152:21	engine 42:9	entirely 288:7
16 279:5 280:15	287:14	45:16, 17, 21	entities 40:15
employer-	empowerment	46:2, 9, 15	entitled 172:14
directed 292:9	45:3, 7	53:12 156:14	entity 259:10
employer-	enclosed 238:22	engineer 134:16	envelope 234:3,
mandated 292:9	encountered	144:4 154:16	5
EMPLOYERS	13:3 19:3	158:14 167:7	environment
4:17 17:19	encounters 98:9	168:5 173:16	13:12, 15, 19
62:18, 21 65:7	encourage 64:6	engineering	15:15 26:14
86:6, 15 100:10	95:4 97:6	12:12 15:19	37:8 44:16
104:15 111:20	113:6 160:19	78:17 79:7	47:13 106:13
115:9 118:2 <i>1</i>	274:19 278:19	85:9, 11 91:12	107:10 132:21
122:3, 6 123:20	encouraged	engineers 154:10	150:5 170:6
177:8 178:16,	106:10	enhance 218:7	173:12 208:7
22 180:14	encouraging	275:20 276:22	215:22 218:3
205:15 209:3	198: <i>16</i>	277:5	229:1 234:18
210:16 212:16	ends 131:6	enjoy 94:14	238:15 239:1
217:12 223:15	235:10 263:10	enjoyed 55:5	248:9 250:16
239:17, 22	end-user 222:21	ensure 9:20	251:18 252:18
240:5 255:21	end-users	38:11 74:6	273:5 288:2
257:4 265:1	215:16	94:9, 14 97:13	environmental
267:8 269:7	energies 155:18	100:11 113:19	50:3, 8 155:14
272:17 273:16	energy 158:3	115:7 133:19	228:22 229:1
274:22 277:1,	167:1 175:20	135:18 200:2	286:14 288:3, 7
18, 22 278:11	194:10	241:14 276:3	289:12, 14
280:6, 8, 12	enforce 95:19	287:15	290:14 294:20
281:11, 17	131:4 200:14	ensured 160:2	environmentally
282:4, 19 289:1	234:13 263:11	ensuring 94:13	38:14
290:12, 19	enforcement	110:5 221:6	environments
293:16 294:8, 9,	113:4 114:1, 10	277:3	38:4 96:19
17	138:18 196:8	entail 27:16	119:1 206:14
employer's	240:9	enter 214:7	207:1, 3, 5
181:3, 4, 21	enforcing 178:4	entered 7:21	208:19 223:12
275:2	287:15		226:12, 22

238:21, 22	essential 178:9	21 207:4 208:3	27:20 31:21
239:7 246:10,	182:4 183:6, 11	221:3, 10	33:11 43:4, 5
<i>15</i> 275: <i>15</i> , <i>16</i>	189:13 294:6	226:17 227:20	51:21 61:13
287:20	essentially 29:7	228:9, 17	75:22 80:3
envision 22:16	64:7 71:22	evaporization	82:7 83:10
122:6	74:11 97:16	228:3	90:3, 16 92:4, 8
EPA 27:7, 8	152:19 215:6	event 148:19	105:12 111:14
76:13 80:14	261: <i>1</i>	149: <i>1</i>	116: <i>16</i> 117: <i>17</i>
82:9	establish 46:11	events 38:16	134:5 137:2
equates 208:20	established	149:3 177:17	143:19 150:1
EQUIPMENT	160:14 188:12	182:7 201: <i>1</i>	186:20 187:11
4:7 14:6 27:7	establishing	eventually 72:16	191: <i>1</i> 202: <i>17</i>
52:2 68:10	114:7 184:4	everybody	209:18 218:15,
89:17, 21 157:6	185:3	41:16 76:17	<i>17</i> 219: <i>4</i>
165:20 168:13	estimate 73:8	77:22 80:6	221:11 227:11,
169:2 182:7	283:22	83:21 92:19	13, 21 228:9, 16
202:1, 5, 18	estimated	127:16 254:12	237:16 247:20
204:10, 18, 21	223:15 273:17	259:8 267:16	267:19, 20
205:2 213:17,	estimates 71:13	269:11 297:6	277:20 278:18
20 225:22	91:2, 4, 9 92:4,	everybody's	280:15 288:4,
233:11 259:19	12, 16 93:2	79:21 82:13	14 290:6, 13
262:10	203:7, 9 283:16	Everyone's	Examples 14:2
equipped	293:12	88:11	38:6 51:16
162:22 250:22	et 60:14 61:7	everything's	91:6 105:13
erect 138:11	62:1 69:5	259:22	110: <i>11</i> 148:7
Eric 3:22	70:17 71:16	evidence 8:8	185:16 220:4,
135:3 145:22	73:2 142:11	19:5 21:20	20 221:13
146:2, 10, 15	152:16	22:9, 11 23:11,	227:17 228:4
erosion 241:5	Evaluate 178: <i>19</i>	<i>14</i> , <i>18</i> 179: <i>4</i>	excavation
error 182:8	179:2 189:16	180: <i>15</i> 184: <i>4</i>	232:22 262:14
escalating 188:5	229:7	185: <i>3</i> 198: <i>15</i>	exceed 53:12
esoteric 118:9	evaluated 54:8	296:17	111: <i>15</i> 116: <i>16</i>
especially 14:11	evaluating 42:13	evidence-based	139:1 211:18
22:8 34:4	evaluation 43:15	189:19	276:16
55:10 59:2	Evans 175:16	exacerbate	exceeded 86:9
60:16 64:2	evaporates	99:11	exceeding 147:6
65:5 98:5	228:1	exactly 55:18	exceeds 102:13
103:2 106:10	evaporative	137:7 188:1, 4	289:15
165:20 170:19	31:10 44:12	196: <i>11</i>	excellence 273:3
233:10 270:15	53:7 78:18	example 13: <i>10</i>	278:13
283:19 295:9	79:5, 8 206:6,	16:6 26:4	

excellent 15:11	130:21 147:12	125:14 138:4	274:2 286:12
16:9 25:14	exercises 131:4	150:7 156:16	287:20
29:6 112:15	exercising 156:5	157:7 162:19	exposures 15:7
293:21	exertion 185:2	193:18 199:12	161:3 162:10
excerpt 155:15	exhaustion	213:3 215:16	253:18
excess 81:8	211:2	218:10, 12 233:7	express 7:12
157:4 168:12	exhibits 8:2	experienced	191: <i>1</i> 255: <i>13</i>
excessive 7:15	exist 51:9	67:2 112:21	281: <i>17</i>
110:21 111:19	122:22 124:20	154:18 206:1	expressed 66:8
excessively	125:18 144:18	233:11	expressly
150:3	222:9	experiences	291: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i>
exclude 16: <i>13</i>	existing 43:17	156:20 170: <i>13</i>	extend 34:18
99:20 150:7	101:9 156: <i>11</i>	181:10 187:4	extended 131: <i>15</i>
275:15	177:2 274:10	experiencing	extensive 83:12
excluded 15:4,	275:21	97:15 115:8	131: <i>11</i>
22 132:4 133:5	exit 186:11	180:22 294: <i>14</i>	extensively
147:10 275:19	exiting 186:15	expert 115:11	223:11
excludes 13:19	expand 9:15	123:12 124:1	extent 32:16
exclusion 14:1	102:14 119:17	experts 115: <i>13</i>	156:2
275:14	241: <i>1</i>	explain 17: <i>19</i>	exterior 11:19
exclusions 13:21	expectation	74:6 103:10	49:15 50:12
Excuse 41:21	163:6 199: <i>17</i>	123:19 142:4	59:10
94:19 194:22	expectations	164: <i>4</i> 195: <i>15</i>	external 15:21
204:14	202:19	196:10	164:10
execute 38:5	expected 10:16	explaining 181:7	
255:15	210:17 231:17	explanation	268:4, 6, 7
executing 40:19	235:5 264:17	173:11	extraordinarily
exempt 15: <i>13</i>	expecting 294:7	explicitly 181:1	147:2 <i>1</i>
183:11	expects 239:17	expose 167:9	extreme 158:4
exempted 182:5	expend 151:16	exposed 15:16	159:6 166:22
199:4	expense 81:9	134:21 157:16	218:3 226:12, 21
exemption	179:21	158: <i>4</i> 167: <i>13</i>	extremely 62:2
177:22 183:8	expensive	210:2	136:12 158:4
241:13	179:15	exposure 17:20,	exurban 107:9
exemptions	experience	21 27:6 29:13	eye 212:3
242:3	52:18 56:7	32:13 50:8	eyewear 205:8
exercise 47:19	58:2 59:20	58:19 86:4	_
131:2 155:17	62:9 73:8	89:7, 15 90:1	<f></f>
291:11	86:12 91:17	97:11 110:21	fabric 226:13, 19
exercised	98:9 104:10	113:15 154:19	fabrication 53:3
	107:2, 3, 18	159: <i>1</i> 176: <i>17</i>	

fahria hagad	factored 20.0	family armed	192.4 206.17
fabric-based 227:2	factored 39:9 217: <i>19</i>	family-owned 134:6 142:15	183:4 206:17 222:2 223:1
fabrics 206:9	factors 59:11		
217:4	68:8 107:15	fan 47:9 60:15,	235:20 250:7
face 44:14	148:9 155:14	- '	features 56:2, 9 57:9
57:20 185:18	163:9 180:12	fans 18:2, 8 33:21 63:21	
240:7	216:2 218:7	64:18 78:18	February 114:12
faced 165:20		79:8 250:20	Federal 6:6, 8 127:11 130:13
266:11	226:18, 21 235:1 263:19	255:5 276:3	
faces 240:17	264:10 291:2	288:20 295:2, 11	131:3, 7 136: <i>1</i> 2, <i>14</i> 140:6 144:9
facilities 31:17,	facts 291:13	far 119:4	147:9 236:3, 6
20 49:20 53:2,	fade 17:5	124:2 <i>1</i> 138:20	261:15 263:2, 9,
3 102:1 110:7	Fahrenheit	163:9 168:8	10 264:13
155:5 182:11	12:14, 18, 19, 20,	198:5 201:17	286:16
273:11 279:18	21 13:2 183:16,	216:4, 21	Federation
286:7, 14 290:17	18 184:14	217:11 222:18	287:7
facility 18:10	185:20 186:20	224:11 227:17	FedEx 210:9
25:11 27:22	208:21	256:10, 13	feds 76:18
31:2, 6 33:19	fails 148:8	FARMRAIL	feedback 58:21
63:16 68:12	188:13	3:21 146:11, 16,	114:9, 12 232:9
77:11 78:19	failure 127:10	21 148:3 149:7	249:17
118:7, 8 120:14,	157:7 182:8	150:1 151:1	feel 33:13 43:3
15 201:12	254:17	fashion 214:17	123:6 187:12
279:19 281:3, 6	failures 156:14	faster 199:7	feeling 187:16
facing 36:22	faintly 19:20	241:10	258:2
42:10 95:7	20:21 146:6	fatalities 26:20	feels 23:1 34:5
fact 15:19	fair 6:20 7:12	27:1 28:21	48:17 91:16
25:21 55:7	170:20	29:20 112:7	187:12
83:8 90:3	fairly 139:8	205:13 237:18	feet 18:5 118:1,
100:12 110:8	143:4 263:9	fatality 132:1	2
115:17 120:2	Fairness 114:10	269:14	fellow 95:9
122:20 154:22	fairs 222:12	fatigue 130:15	158:19 259:13
158:5 162:22	faith 240:7	211:4	felt 282:18
170:22 178:15	fall 12:7 43:17	fear 171:12	fewer 77:15, 16
181:2 205:9	144:18 160:22	feasibility 223:2	292:4
208:11 237:6	familiar 34:1	260:13 261:7	fiberboard
260:17 289:5	families 94: <i>13</i>	276:12, 14	11: <i>14</i>
factor 37:11	family 29:4	293:16 294:20	fibers 206:10,
39:11 90:10	109:21 134:7	feasible 86:12,	11 221:17 224:9
164:17 174:5	142: <i>16</i>	14 178:14	field 67:3
		179:7 182: <i>15</i>	134:20 149:20

153: <i>1</i> 156: <i>1</i>	20 187:16	194:9 205:9	Florida 110: <i>3</i>
218:12 265:8	231:8 233:15	209:15 214:21	111:15 116:16
fielding 278:6	fine-tune 293:12	232:17 235:18	150:3 208:1
fifteen 42:16	Finger 146:13	240:21 243:5	flow 87:7
45:20 61:12	147:1	247:15 259:6	208:5 215:22
fifteen-minute	finish 34:9	272:21 274:6	276:3
267:21	260:20 266:10	first-hand	fluctuating
figure 76:17	finished 8:16,	154:18	248:13
84:8, 9 158:5	21 235:3	fishing 26:12	fluid 167:2
252:6 294:18	finishes 226:14	fit 166:11	fluids 181: <i>13</i>
figured 120:14	finishing 76:10	fitness 180:10	focal 221:20
figuring 167:10	89:17 235:11	fits 78:9 80:10	focus 36:20
filament 221:17	FINTER 2:12	177:7	113:4 118:5
file 163: <i>13</i>	47:2, 4, 5 48:20	fits-all 95:11	216:19 232:16
filed 7:4 8:18	49:6, 9, 17	five 59:18	focused 114:7
180: <i>1</i>	50:22 63:9, 10	131:15 147:21	124:22 125: <i>1</i>
filing 177:21	64:22 65:10, 19	254:2	190:8
fill 268:2	137:13, 14	five-minute	focusing 151:9
271:18	fire 221:12	64:14	189:13 190:19
final 6:16 9:12	fired 35:9	fix 157:10	folks 16:5
93:1 113:17	fire-rated	fixed 56:5	27:14 82:1
133:6 147:10	220:17	157:13, 14	151:7, 8 190:16
150:8 177:4	fires 182:7	flexibility 48:5	191:2, 4 257:5
188:8 189:4	firm 285:10	81:22 111:17	277:14 281:13
205:12, 16	286:20	113:3, 13 118:7	follow 8:20
212:4 233:6	firmly 132: <i>3</i>	124:17, 19	38:2 111: <i>1</i>
256:21 274:4	172:2	180:19 196:20	117:3 136:3
275:20 292:16	firms 96:22	242:21 257:7	144:21 211:11
294:4	first 11:3 12:3	277:18 279:5	212:4 216:12
finalized 117:2	25:8 30:7 41:9	flexible 34:11	followed 113: <i>18</i>
Finally 114: <i>3</i>	42:22 46:1	73:12 97:3	following 10: <i>13</i>
financial 201:4	47:6 57:10	205:20 255:17	110:10 112:19
find 22:10	60:7 63:8, 10	274:6, 8 276:21	144:7 149:9
23:18 32:5	68:5 71:18, 21	280:11 283:6	178:4, 11
139:7 157:9	74:15 86:2, 4	flight 49:21	187:11 188:2
236:7 265:9	89:6 95:12	50:7 51:17	200:20
finding 289:12	100:5 101:13,	flights 46:18	follows 212:8
findings 118: <i>14</i>	14 105:19	floor 283:16, 17,	follow-up 22:12,
finds 269:15	111: <i>1</i> 129: <i>11</i>	21 284:1	14 34:14 43:4
fine 84:21	134:1 144:13	flooring 11:18	45:11 70:1
109:6 138:10,	149:10 173:18		

118:17 120:18	foundry 27:17	60:15 63:21	gained 288:2
248:19 270:12	209:10, 17	frowned 172:10	gaining 194:12
food 211:21, 22	fountain 254:7	froze 161:20	gallon 254:2
212:1	four 35:8	frustration	garden 96:8
foot 69:7	56:16 144:1	238:2	107:9
footing 157:22	148:4 189:5	fulfill 55:20	garments 76:10
forbidding 62:11	237:17 274:5	fulfilling 96: <i>14</i>	206:8
force 240:3	295:7	fulfills 149:8	gather 195:17
forced 155:22	fourth 276:12	full 269:15	196:17
157:22 288:21	FR 220:17	full-blown	Gathering 86:20
forecast 95:17	221:15, 17, 19	187:18	Gatorade 33:20
foreman 195: <i>12</i>	FRA 130:17, 20,	fully 114:15	149:17
foremost 149: <i>10</i>	21 131:7, 13	157:16 164:19	gauge 142:9
forgot 141:18	133:2, 15 134:1	210:4 239:12	gear 209:5, 22
fork 16:5	144:16 147:12	function 211:10	gears 246:4
forklift 16:7, 12	150:10, 19	236:15	general 31:16
forklifts 14:3	155:12, 13, 16,	functions	38:2 47:9 51:9
form 39:4	20 156:3, 7	132: <i>13</i> 134: <i>14</i> ,	76:1, 6 87:6
132:7 224:6, 12	frame 191:5	<i>18</i> 136:8 144:2	90:7 110:11
formalized	frames 192:19	227:12	114: <i>1</i> 152: <i>14</i>
40:20 70:16	framework	function-specific	225:17 287:18
forms 189: <i>18</i>	249:20	249:12	generally 7:16
formula 180: <i>14</i>	frankly 170: <i>13</i>	fund 236:4	18:6 67:7
formulas 293: <i>11</i>	171:10 294:10	270:1	206:3 208:5
forth 79:13	FRA's 130: <i>14</i>	fundamental	212:4 216:13
229:21 248:14	131:1, 8 147:19	220:1, 21 221:1	247:4 254:3
forum 37:10	150:4	227:15	269:6 295:14
39:19	free 185:21	furniture 11:18	generate 288:16
forward 30:2	227:18 295:11	Further 9:13	generated 89:20
99:19 132:5	freight 130:2, 5	10:14 44:19	288:1, 10
177:3 239:11	146:17 155:2	75:3 98:16	289:13 293:10,
294:3	159:18	99:6, 11, 18	14, 17
found 7:9 55:7	frequency	126:13 172:16	generates 12:6
118:10 120:14	156:20 179:2	193:21 197:6	generating 12:5
233:20 238:1	193:22	215:20 289:15	15:3, 4
288:17	frequent 138:2	Furthermore	generation 15:14
foundational	frequently	29:11	generator
278:10, 15	42:14 238:4	future 99:10	250:19 Capara 146:12
founded 11:11	fresh 18:7		Geneva 146:13
286:4	front 14:3	<g></g>	gentleman
			201:20

geographic	17, 19 229:18	256:10 258:2, 4	254:16 255:1
104: <i>12</i> 113: <i>12</i>	230:2 293:11	260:19 261:4,	256:14 264:21
116:6	Glucksman 4:8	22 265:16	282:7, 21 291:8
geographically	204:11, 16, 18	267:13, 14	293:1 294:3
150:2	213:7, 12, 21	272:10 274:5	golf 47:18
geography	214:18 217:15	277:11 278:12	good 17:10
104:16 116:10	218:13 219:11	281:6 285:13	23:10 28:18
117:6	220:6, 19 222:6	295:11	51:19 52:21
getting 24:18	223:20 224:15,	goal 88:19	73:19 82:7
34:6 72:21	17 226:3	94:11 98:19	83:10 93:20
127:6 199:19	229:12 230:9,	110:4 181:6, 16,	125:19 139:4
254:11 256:15,	11, 16, 20 231:3	<i>18</i> 190: <i>1</i>	141:20 146:9
16 280:19	go 10:10 17:11	goals 273:22	153: <i>17</i> 154: <i>4</i>
give 21:1 45:6,	19:12 25:7	274:2 277:2	175:18 176:2
8 67:9 70:10	28:1, 2, 4 33:18	goes 28:5, 7	208:5 215:18
85:4 102:5	34:10 36:15	63:19 70:18, 22	219:9 227:19
118:14 158:22	37:4 40:20	77:17 79:18	232:3, 19 240:7
195:18 220:20	44:17 47:4, 18	88:3 89:21	244:10 259:8
223:21 257:15	48:20 53:11	222:19 248:4	263:9 278:2, 9,
271:5 272:21	57:12 58:14	282:16 283:1	18 282:5 297:8
given 23:2	63:21 64:1, 5,	294:2	Gotcha 196: <i>15</i>
59:19 68:22	16 70:15 72:1,	going 18:8	257:18 283:1
96:11 112:2, 15	4 73:13 77:5	21:12 26:22	gotten 8:13
134:22 136:9	80:21 81:19	27:21 32:10	256:22
150:21 177:14	84:4 88:11	37:9, 12 44:1, 3,	0
180:19 181:15	101:3 102:4	4, 5, 10 46:1, 10,	261:15
184:6 188:17	109:10 114:16	12, 13 50:9	governing 6:22
200:9 271:11	116:14 129:16	51:15 52:10	7:8 147:14
gives 65:16	138:9 154:2	54:1 57:9 59:4	governs 261:17
257:7	159:16 168:8	65:14 69:18, 20	grab 73:13
giving 44:16	171:1, 18	76:1 77:11	168:2, 6, 10, 12
128:21 164:7	172:15 174:21	80:16 88:10, 11	grace 24:18
glad 171:9	175:22 197:5	92:22 124:20	30:7 51:3 68:3
gladly 63:3	201:21 214:10	135:11 137:1	89:4
GLOBAL 3:15	216:21 217:6,	152:9 163:13	grade 157:13
5:3 109:13	15 218:13	202:10 220:12	166:21 220:3
127:20	220:19 222:6	233:19 235:10	gradual 183:15
globe 111: <i>3</i> 116: <i>21</i> 119: <i>11</i>	224:16 226:3	236:4 238:4	247:11
	244:18 245:1	246:17 247:3, 9	gradually 86:3 228:1
120:22 121:5,	248:20 249:8, 9 250:2 252:5	249:10 251:15,	
14, 22 122:5, 7,	230.2 232.3	21 252:9	Grady 25:12

Grady-White	group 128:5	half 40:17 81:1	197:17 237:13
25:11 30:1	129:3 172:7, 8	251:13	247:5, 20 269:4,
grant 86:20	175:14 204:9	Hamlin 4:19	8
287:10 291:15	231:12 235:18	272:6, 8, 11, 14	happy 14:18
granted 287:4	284:22	277:12 278:2	67:12 99:22
grass 117:22	groups 238:17	279:9, 15 284:20	101:3 114:19
grateful 286:2	growing 157:2	HAMMER 2:15	119:3 135:7
292:12	guardrails 67:11	17:9, 10, 11, 12	139:11 165:13
gravamen 294:5	guess 49:12	18:14 194:21,	191:11 213:5
great 27:12	77:8 80:8 87:8	22 195:1, 19	240:18 243:20
36:7 39:13	121:13 200:22	196:3	248:18 249:16
40:10 43:9	216:12 230:21	Hampton 37:21	harbors 240:8
75:22 80:3	264:8 268:9	hand 9:2 22:11	hard 10:2
90:15 105:12	270:12	64:5 128:22	32:15 83:18
117:11 123:10	guessing 122: <i>17</i>	186:9 214:19	84:7, 8, 9
125:5 138: <i>13</i>	guests 110:6, 10	215:15	103:22 236:8
139:15 155:3	112:14 115:20	handful 27:12	251:18 255:4
157:18 193:13	Guidance 17: <i>13</i>	handheld	hardboard
194: <i>19</i> 196: <i>3</i>	18:20 32:22	158:13 229:20	11: <i>15</i>
198:19 200:19	66:5 67:6, 8, 9	handing 62: <i>13</i>	harder 199:7
220:6 221:21	82:20 84:17	236:1	241:10
222:13 226:10	104:8 105:5	handle 80:15	hardest 83:4
231:11, 20	110: <i>17</i> 116: <i>4</i>	95:4	harm 125:18
241:16 244:4	135:14 137:20	handling 18:1	189:1 238:12
249:4 255:7	152: <i>3</i> 163:2 <i>1</i>	79:12	harmonization
262:19 270:18	165:18 191:18	handmade 29:6	145:2
greater 161:6	195:2 197: <i>11</i>	hands 53:18, 21	hat 255:4
191:6 237:22	220:12 243:4	hangar 49:21	hate 82:7
238:12, 15 260:5	250:3 255:12	happen 38:18	hats 132:12
greatly 91:7	282:2, 9 283:7	42:14 72:8	209:22 253:8
93:16 112:1	guideline 39:6	87:4 101:20	haul 143:22
118:20 163:15	244:1	140:14 144:5	144:1
190:22 191:9	guidelines 37:11	156:19 162:12	havoc 61:5
226:21 233:9	39:10, 15	182:5 235:12	hazard 39:13
greenteg 219:19	160:14 163:5	248:9 254:10	47:16, 17 48:3,
Greenville	263:5 282:10	happened 24:3	4 80:12 83:10
25:12, 15	guys 220:14	happening	86:10 138:21,
ground 69:7	233:14 270:10	156:21 164:8	22 139:2
118:1, 2, 3, 11	. ***	251:8	159:19 177:6
167:12 222:17	<h>></h>	happens 34:7, 8	179:9, 10
grounds 290:22	hacked 7/0:19	64:2 166:6	181:15 186:7

188:17 191:6	healthy 94:10	HEAT 1:10	22 131:13
252:13 260:5	258:15 273:15	4: <i>17</i> 6: <i>4</i> 11:9	132:2, 13
275:2 277:21,	276:8 277:3	12:2, 4, 6, 8, 16,	133:19 135:16,
22 287:13	hear 16:22	17, 19 13:1, 5, 7,	18 136:6, 18
294:8, 20	17:2 19:12, 19,	14 14:14, 17	139:1 144:11,
Hazardous	20, 21, 22 20:5,	15:2, 3, 7, 13	18, 20 147:15
136:13 241:5	6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 14,	16:15 17:15, 20,	148:9, 13, 15, 22
hazards 37:16	15 21:4, 8	21 19:1, 2	149:3, 10, 13
38:5, 7, 17, 20	30:13 81:15	22:16 23:4	151:9, 12 152:4,
40:16 104:10	89:9 91:17	26:17 27:16	7, 13, 20, 21
135:19 156:9	107:21 109:1	28:16, 20 29:19	158:4, 9 159:7,
176:17, 19	122:13 139:22	31:22 32:1	19 160:8 161:1,
185:1 186:12	141:17 146:4, 5	37:12, 14, 16	17, 21 162:3
190:1 199:14	169:8, 11 203:2	38:7, 10, 19, 20	164:15 171:15
210:20 237:22	285:5, 16	39:1 40:7, 11,	172:4, 11 176:5,
240:13 289:16	heard 34:16	15, 21 41:2, 5	17, 19, 21 177:5
HAZMAT 44: <i>1</i>	116:7 152:3	43:1, 11, 13	178:5, 13, 17
head 10:3 16:7	161:2 <i>1</i> 162: <i>16</i>	53:17 55:6, 10,	179:2, 8, 10, 20
52:14 158:7, 22	191:6 194: <i>18</i>	11, 16 56:20	180:8, 12, 16, 18,
173:17 205:8	196:20 213: <i>14</i>	58:19 59:5, 17	22 181:2, 12, 15,
headquarters	229:18 255:18	60:3, 8, 12	18, 19, 22
149:6	277:13, 15	61:18 62:10	183:16, 17
heads 164:12	280:15 281:17	63:16, 18 72:12,	184:13 185:5, 8,
headset 20:18,	HEARING 1:9	19 76:6 80:1	15, 19 186:7, 19
20, 22 24:18	6:2, 11, 14, 18,	83:13 84:19	187:4, 12 188:3,
HEALTH 1:5	20, 21, 22 7:1, 5,	85:1 86:4, 7, 8,	17 189:19
6:3 83:7, 22	6, 7, 10, 16 8:7,	9 89:7, 15 90:1,	190:2, 3 193:10,
96:19 97:1, 9	9 9:5, 14 10:11,	9 92:5 95:15	19 195:3, 5, 7,
104:16 109:15	12, 13 19:9, 17,	96:20 97:11, 17	14 197:14
144:10 155:21	<i>18</i> 24:6 67:4	100:21 101:16	199:10, 12
176:15 183:7	94:6 102:17	102:17 104:12	201:2, 8 205:4,
234:16 264:10,	114:15 116:8	106:10 109:16	12, 13, 17, 20
19 265:1, 3	127:22 128:19	110:6, 11, 13, 15,	206:16, 18, 20
270:1 276:17	139:19 150:11	21 111:4, 7, 14	208:10 209:4, 9,
286:10 287:1, 9,	195:10 207:10	112:3, 5 113:21	11, 15, 21
16, 17, 18	259:14 263:1	115:8, 19	210:14, 17
289:18 291:6	264:1 268:11	116:19 117:16,	211:2, 3 212:5,
healthful 38:3	280:1 296:16,	19, 20, 21, 22	19, 20 213:1, 17
47:13	18 297:2, 9	119:12 120:21	215:10 216:7, 8,
	hearings 7:9	121:5, 20 122:4	20 218:3, 7, 16,
	heart 112:6	123:2 127:8, 14,	19 219:9, 21

220:1 221:7	148:4 162:14,	helpful 32:5	102:17, 20
224:8 226:6, 11,	19 163:1 166:1	67:15 75:7	106:10 107:10
12, 21, 22	176: <i>14</i> 181: <i>6</i>	83:21 105:14	134:8 139: <i>1</i>
227:16, 19	187:6 197:17	108:18 119:1	148:13, 22
228:2, 6 233:3,	206:1 208:14	139:8 165:10	149: <i>3</i> 176: <i>17</i> ,
8 236:22	212:21 213:3	204:7 223:16	19 180:8
237:16, 21	239:18 253:1	296:7	183:16 185:8,
238:13 241:15	268:13	helping 193:8	15 187:12
243:7 244:14,	Heat's 84:1	helps 100:16, 19	189: <i>15</i> 190:2
20 245:15	heat-stress	206:12 211:7	200:8 212:13
247:16, 17	207:15	253:6	233:18 247:16
253:18 266:1, 8	heavily 136:12	Hey 18:19	257:4 267:7
267:7 272:18,	237:6	74:15 104:7	276:3 279:15
19 274:2, 8, 11,	heavy 38:8	196:7 265:5	higher 32:1
12, 17, 18, 21	160:10 217:21	270:2	39:12 64:10
275:4, 12, 17, 19,	237:16	Hi 17:10, 12	102:8 118:3
21 276:5, 6	heck 82:5	21:6 30:9	169: <i>17</i> 184: <i>14</i>
278:8 283:16	Heckman	32:21 42:21	186:20 228:11,
286:10, 12, 17	285:10 286:20	51:4 55:4 68:4	12, 14, 20 274:18
287:5, 20, 21	height 158:21	69:22 84:16	highest 208:13
288:1, 2, 3, 6, 7,	heights 192:15	89:5 101:12	high-level 227:1
9, 17, 22 289:12,	HeiQ 221:11	116:3 123:17	highlight 59:4
13, 14 290:12	held 142: <i>14</i>	124:9 135:13	180:3
291:18, 20	he'll 135:4	138:17 141:10,	highlighting
292:8 293:10,	Hello 11:6	12, 19 152:2	207:13
14, 18, 19 294:8,	19:22 47:5	163:20 165:17	highlights 8:5
14, 21, 22 295:5	63:10 105:19	194:22 197:10	highly 27:4
heat-generating	109:1 269:22	203:1 215:18	96:12 106:10
12:10 15:7	270:3	218:14 220:11	115:12 125:11
17:15, 18	helmet 74:12, 22	223:9 225:17	133:4 182:22
heating 208:16	helmets 64:20	250:2 255:11	222:2 233:11
heat-related	74:5	259:8 283:13	247:1 249:14
26:20 27:1	help 33:9	hierarchy	291: <i>1</i>
29:20 37:22	67:10 83:19	210:12 215:2	high-tech 206:17
107:5, 19	93:3 97:8	229:5	highway 233:9
110:18 112:16,	101:16 104:22	high 12:16, 19	236:18 237:17
22 124:10	139:8 162:9	13:5, 7, 11, 12	261:15 263:9, 11
130:10, 14	191:12 197:6	19:2 23:4	HIIPP 135:18
131:9, 17, 20	198:8 201:14	38:10 72:12	264:6
132:22 145:7	202:2 206:16,	86:7, 9 96:20	hike 46:16
147:4, 8, 20, 22	20 216:2	97:11 100:7	

hinder 275:21	93:7, 14 104:4	hospitalizations	housekeeping
HIPAA 264:14	105:16 106:19	112:6, 9 120:4	10:15
hire 267:8	108:10, 14, 16	hospitals 182:11	HOUSING 3:13
hired 101:17	114:22 115:22	host 115:19	93:21 94:3, 4,
170:21	123:15 124:7	180:11 188:19	11, 12 98:12
hiring 148:10	126:9, 13, 16	hot 34:5, 6	99:5, 7, 9, 10, 11,
history 272:21	127:1, 4, 17	37:13, 20 39:8	13, 16, 20 103:7
hit 41:5, 18	133:10 137:12	48:6 52:11	107:1
42:1, 2 63:22	138:15 140:18,	53:4 54:4 76:2	Houston 199: <i>13</i>
64:5	22 141:7, 9	79:12 80:6	how's 21:3
hitch 222:16	145:12, 15	90:15 164:14	hub 281:1
hits 40:7	150:15 153:7,	170:10, 20	hubs 280:17
hitting 248:2	10, 14 161:10	171:1 185:2	281:7
hold 7:14	165:14 169:6	190:3 246:10	huge 27:18
168:12	172:18, 21	287:20	134:11 164:12
holding 140:10	175:7, 9, 13	hotter 54:3	hull 35:6
holds 239:22	190:13 194:20	72:19 255:3	human 182:8
holes 51:20	196:5 197:8	256:17	humid 207:1
Hollis 3:5 25:2,	203:15, 18	hottest 149:20	humidity 13: <i>11</i>
4, 8, 10 30:4, 15,	204:4, 17	170:12 281:3	23:2, 4 31:4, 11
21 31:9 32:7	213:10 223:7	hour 64:15, 17	60:5 72:15
33:15, 17 35:4	224:20 225:5, 8,	81:2 186:12	111:5, 9 207:5
36:4, 6, 8, 10	14 230:7, 9	235:7 282:18	215:22
78:10	231:5 258:18,	291:17 295:8	hundred 18:5
home 77:12	21 259:5	hourly 95:17	58:17 174:22
homes 182:11	266:15 272:2,	106:7	202:10
honestly 16:11	11 277:8 282:6	hours 34:11, 18	hundreds
217:18	284:8, 12, 17, 21	35:5, 8 41:20	273:10 286:7
Honor 14:22	292:20 295:19,	45:20 59:19	Hybrid 208: <i>3</i>
16:2 <i>1</i> 17:8	21 296:4, 13	60:21 61:14	228:6, 17, 21
18:16 20:10	297:7	90:16 97:5	hydrate 264:8
24:5, 6, 10, 15	hood 74:20	101:16 131:22	hydrated 258:1,
30:6 32:19	hope 118: <i>11</i>	143:6 147:14	14
35:16 36:3	233:4, 8 236:16	148:2 149:20	hydrating
40:1 45:10	hopefully 250:21	158:9 174:2	199:18 271:9
47:2 51:2	hopes 158:21	184:13, 19	hydration 29:8
54:15 55:2	hoping 66:19	185:7 192:22	33:4, 17 34:20
58:6 63:7 66:1	193:20 196:10	210:4 211:15	64:1, 16 97:7
68:2 73:21	243:5, 8 255:19	255:14 261:9	102:7 160:16
75:5, 8, 16	hospital 112: <i>11</i>	267:19, 21	167:6 211:6
81:10, 14 89:3		house 174:17	

271:13 276:7	ILLNESS 1:10	170:11 174:21	implementation
hygiene 15:6, 9	4:17 6:4 12:3,	199:5	implementation 12:11 26:15
HyperChrome	9 13:8 38:21	imbalance 211:4	implemented
219: <i>4</i>	43:11, 14 61:18	immediate	40:7 41:5
Hyperkewl	92:5 109:17	189:7 240: <i>13</i>	179:19 209:19
208:2 230:12, 13		immediately	246:7 286:11
H-Y-P-E-R-K-E-	110.7, 13, 16	160:16 233:19	implementing
W-L 230:14	115:19 124:11	235:12 261:6	28: <i>15</i> 218: <i>5</i>
VV-L 230.14	127:22 131:14,	impact 11:20	implements 86:7
<i></i>	17 135:17	25:19 28:3, 19	implication
IAAPA 3:15	147:15 149:15	59:2 62:21	181:20
109:12, 19	151:9 160:9	99:4 113:5	imply 181:11
114:3, 15 116:10	166: <i>1</i> 176:5	119:20 120:15	importance
IBEW 179:18	178:5, 13, 21	125:9 126:2	181:7
ice 33:20 38:13	179:20 180:12,	130:1, 4 149:10	important 14:14
44:8 149:16	22 181:2, 7, 19,	214:5 237:14,	88:22 111: <i>11</i>
173:22 174:4	22 185:5 187:4	21 238:9, 13	118:6 136:5
228:13 234:11	188:3, 6 189:20	262:3 265:22	156:10 160:21
235:22 255:3	193:19 195:3	impacted 205:4	167:12 217:20
icon 128:14	197:14 205:18	212: <i>19</i> 261:20	228:21 229:2, 5
iconic 25:13	206:2 209:11	262:6	238:8 239:15
26:13	212:21 213:3	impactful 247:1	241:20 242:2
idea 168:17	216:8 243:7	impacting	297:3
172:3 227:9	244:14 253:1	263:16 265:18	importantly
268:22	272:18, 19	impacts 239:12	179:15 290:2
Ideally 164:21	274:11 275:12	impale 262:1	impose 132: <i>17</i>
215:9	illnesses 107:5,	impediment	289:8 290:18
ideas 248:15	20 113:1	97:22	imposing 97:17
identified 294:5	130:11 145:8	imperative	112:17 183:4
identify 9:1	147:8 176: <i>14</i> ,	132:20	imposition 98:3
10:6 189:20	21 178:17	imperfect 98:20	impossible
201:11 239:18	179:2 181:12	impermeable	177:13 178:6
279:18	185:5 190:3	43:12, 15, 16	184:21 235:20
identifying	201:9 205:13	217:13 220:15	294:16
135:19 294:12	208:14	implement 28:6,	impracticable
idle 170:10	illustrate 185:16	13 126:3	138:12 209:12
ignores 289:12,	imagination	177:13 179:7,	impractical
14 291:2	109:7	<i>15, 21</i> 185: <i>18</i>	59:12 148:16
III 27:8 146:16	imagine 156:18	189:6 209:4	247:12 260:4
ill 177:6 187:12	157:18 168:11	274:22 276:11	
illegal 262:2			

impression	including 59:8	independence	individuals
267:5 268:11,	62:10 120:1	100:7	69:18 180:9
12, 19 269:2	135:15 146:19	independent	256:6
impressive	147:13 148:12	101:6 287:7	INDOOR 1:11
281:10	149:5 176:17	independently	6:5 13:2 <i>1</i> 14:7
improve 29:17	178:3 181:12	96:2 98:2	31:19 32:1
93:1, 3 160:13	182:7 190:2	140:11	51:10 52:20, 22
286:18 290:5	195:6 203:9	index 12:17, 19	59:5 103:13
improvement	204:21 205:7	13:14 19:1, 2	106:14 109:17
198:9, 13 229:15	208:19 233:3	22:16 31:22	128:1 176:6
improving	237:12 241:3	32:1 37:14	206:14 207:3
274:15	250:8 276:2, 6	40:15, 21 53:17	238:21 239:1
inadequate	286:10 293:13	59:17 60:3, 8	259:18 275:14,
181:5 183:9	inconsistent	111:7, 14	15, 17, 22 276:4
inappropriately	182: <i>1</i>	120:21 121:5,	283:15
66:10	incorporate	20 122:4	indoor-outdoor
incentive 241:10	94:17 107:15	132:14 183:16	239:4
inception 148:3	122:2	184:13 185:19	indoors 32:4
incidences	Incorporated	186: <i>19</i> 218: <i>16</i> ,	59:6 226:22
115:19	146: <i>11</i>	20 219:21	288:19
incident 37:22	incorporates	220:1 245:15	induced 294:21
39:2 131:11	207:6	288:7	industrial 12:5
188:2 197:18	incorporating	indexes 13:1	15:6, 9
214:4 234:3	14:12	63:16 219:10	industries 76:12
237:15	increase 44:6	Indiana 105:9	80:17 84:2
incidents 45:5	73:7 99:8	indicate 129: <i>1</i>	95:11 112:7, 10,
112:12 148:4	221:7 236:5	indicated 264:5	18, 21 113:2, 7
234:20 237:12,	240:12	indicating	114:5 177:9
20	increased 22:15	291:19	178:14 179:3, 8
include 11: <i>15</i>	86:4 228:19	individual 71:2	180: <i>14</i> 188: <i>15</i> ,
105:13 144:11	increases 44:2,	95:1 96:16	20 189:1, 10, 12,
148:7 152:6	15 211:6	102:2 113:14	19, 20 223:13,
206:19 212:5,	increasing	189:18 201:15	21, 22 230:5
11 235:2 241:2	39:16 54:6	239:18 271:14	241:3 280:13
273:6 274:20	62:19 207:7	individualized	INDUSTRY
283:8	275:8	95:5 184:8	3:16 11:20, 21
included 209:5	increasingly	individually	15:18 16:4, 11
includes 11:14	206:18	38:22 69:20	17:17, 19 25:18
26:9 110:6	incremental	128:16 159:15	26:3, 19, 21
180:20 206:15	98:6, 17		27:2 28:22
			29:7, 18 30:1

33:11 34:17, 21	189:7 199:12	198:19 223:13,	149:15 151:9
35:1 36:17, 22	200:4 201:16	14, 17 239:21	176:5 178:21,
37:7 41:9 46:3	223:14 229:19	243:11 279:13	22 195:3
47:7, 9, 21	232:8, 11 237:8	283:20	205:17 241:15
49:11, 19 51:8,	238:17 239:13	informed 234:7	243:7 244:14
11 52:20, 22	240:15, 17	268:15 290:14	272:19
56:8, 9 58:2	242:21 243:13	infrared 221:5	innovation 273:4
75:21 76:11	244:1 247:12	227:16, 17	innovations
77:7, 14 79:14,	254:21 259:21	infrastructure	228:16
16 80:4, 18	260:6 272:8, 15,	37:5 48:15	innovative 276:2
81:4 82:11	22 273:3, 5	232:13 241:12,	in-person 94: <i>16</i>
88:5 89:8, 15	283:14	19 242:15	input 67:2
92:10, 12, 19	industry's 94:7,	254:17	inquire 28:10
93:2 94:12, 14	15 99:1, 22	inherent 96:7	inquiring 264:13
95:13 96:1, 3, 4,	112:4, 15 123:3	initial 12: <i>16</i>	inside 31:14
17 97:10, 15	industry-specific	13:4 18:22	38:9 41:12
98:8, 12, 16, 21	178:19 189:9	55:11, 15 56:20	49:20, 22 50:1
99:7, 9, 16, 20	190:9	86:8 92:9	51:21 53:8, 11
100:16 104:9,	infeasibility	247:17 288:6	54:4 72:14
<i>14</i> 105: <i>3</i> 107:2,	294:7	initially 257:11	169: <i>15</i> 185: <i>14</i>
19 108:2	infeasible 260:4	injured 57:17	insight 255:20
109: <i>14</i> 110: <i>3</i>	infinite 260:2	212:19	inspection 69:2
112:11 113:7,	influence 77:12	injuries 26:20	inspections
11 115:12	180:12	27:1 28:21	96:12 108:5
120:6 123:1, 7	info 234:6	29:20 47:11	112:8
124:2 125: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> ,	inform 104:18	112:22 130:10	inspector
11, 18 129:21	INFORMAL	131:21 135:22	144:17 245:10
130:2 131:14,	1:9 6:2 7:7	145:8 147:8	inspectors 39:5
18 132:9	127:2 <i>1</i>	148:1 162:19	42:12 53:19
134:10 136:16	information	176:14 205:13	235:9
138:21 139:3,	6:13, 21 9:17	269:14 290:9	installing 223:2
22 142:17, 18	33:8 34:15, 21	INJURY 1:10	instance 85:6
143:18 150:5	67:16 88:15	6:4 43:11, 14	88:3 117:7
152:5 155:9	92:20 93:2	57:17 92:5	196: <i>19</i>
160:22 161:18	105:21 111:22	109:16 110:6,	instances
162:5, 12	121:3 160:7	13, 18 112:3	103:11 170:18
163: <i>15</i> 166: <i>4</i>	163:14 165:3, 5	113:2 <i>1</i> 115: <i>1</i> 9	179:19 289:17
168:9 171:7	169:15, 17	123:2 127:22	INSTITUTE
173:15 176:11	180:6 194:2, 12,	131:14, 17, 20	3:10 4:5 75:14
179:4, 12	16 195:10, 17	133:2 135:16	94:18 101:4
181:16 185:6	196: <i>1</i> 197:6	147:15, 22	

175: <i>15</i> , <i>21</i>	interior 62:12	215:19 217:17	items 59:4
205:5 241:8	64:19	218:14 221:14	206:17
instructions	interline 146:19	224:2, 4 226:4	it'll 216:5
181:9 216: <i>14</i>	internal 62:10	227:6 250:18	its 98:12, 19, 21
instructs 210:7	INTERNATION	ISEA's 213: <i>13</i>	105:3 113:4, 10
instrumentation	AL 4:1, 7	Islam 4:11	130:1, 21 131:2
116:22	75:14 101:7	204:11 218:14	147:12 148:3
insufficient	204:10, 18	219:18 220:7,	155:17 168:14
184:5	interpret 69:1	18, 20 221:10	180:2 207:17
insurance 265:3	interpretation	227:5, 6	210:6 250:8
insurer 265:4	78:15	isolated 155:3	287:19 290:1
intake 167:2	interpretations	issue 27:20	292:13
integrated	83:3	28:4 34:8 62:3	IV 181: <i>13</i>
236:14	interrupt 61:2	65:18 76:15	183:1 187:14, 17
integrating	interruption	77:6, 19 78:7	
70:15	235:13	81:3 84:2, 6	< J >
integrity 235:14	interspersed	100:10 117:13	January 132:18
intelligent 83:17	184: <i>1</i>	118:10 130:18	Jared 4:4
intend 8:20	interstate 270:19	139:9 144:8	153:19, 21 154:4
intended 188:4	interval 257:16	158:11 159:2,	JASON 2:15
189: <i>11</i>	interventions	<i>15</i> 182: <i>3</i> 188: <i>7</i>	17:9, 12 194:21
intense 151: <i>15</i>	203:6, 10 209:6	189:4 190:7	195: <i>1</i>
intensity 185:13	289:6	236:9 249:18	Jason's 169:10
intention 7:4	interviews 292:1	263:22 281:15,	JENNIFER
149:9	introduce 10:18	21 287:11 294:2	2:22 24:9
interact 64:7	introducing	issued 7:10	35:20 54:19
interaction	129:8	102:4	74:2 93:10
263:13	invest 70:13	issues 24:3	108:13 126:12
interest 156:8	invested 273:20	36:21 60:19	JHA 278:19
297:3	investor-owned	61:3 63:22	279:1
interested 6:12,	176:7	75:1 95:4	Jim 3:9 58:11,
19 165:21	invoke 148: <i>15</i>	115:2 127:12	13 66:17
195:10 244:8	involve 71:7	130:15 155:21	Jo 3:20 129:11,
249:19	involves 34:17	177:11 179:13	13 141:10, 22
interesting 20:2	186:9	183:5 188:9, 19	job 29:9 33:5
21:6	involving 114: <i>13</i>	189:9 244:3	71:14 82:11
interference	ironing 85:13,	293:4, 6, 8	96:7 97:1, 21
68:10 139:12	15	issuing 233:5	119:12 125:5
inter-industry	ISEA 204:19	It'd 249:4	143:10 154:19,
123:4	205:2, 4 210:12	item 264:2	22 184:3
	213:19 214:1		185:12 186:1

100 1 0160	155 10	146460	1565 262 2 10
	joins 177:18	146:4, 6, 8	156:5 263:3, 10
	joint 146:21	150:12 153:9,	justifies 187:7
	jointly 243:21	13, 15, 18 154:2	
/ / /	Jon 75:9	161:8 165: <i>1</i>	< K >
8 240:16 241:3	JONATHAN	169:8, <i>12</i>	Katrina 242:15
242:9 244:22	2:13 135:11, 13	172:20 175:8,	Kayla 4:10
245:22 249:13	191: <i>14</i> , <i>17</i>	10, 22 190:11	204:11 214:19
250:13 251:8,	243:2, 3 244:11	203:17 204:2, 5	217:15, 16
	JOO-HYUNG	213:7 220:8	221:13, 14
258:15 265:6	2:18 30:9, 19	225:7, 12 230:6,	224:4 226:3, 4
267:20 268:12,	51:4 52:15	8, 10, 16 231:1,	227:5, 6
13 270:14, 18	68:4 69:22	4, 8 240:19	KCMA 286:4,
271:11, 13	89:5, 11	258:20 259:3	11 288:15
275:2 277:21, 22	Jordan 55:4	266:13 269:18	290:20 295:4
jobs 11:22	266:2 <i>1</i>	272:1, 3, 10	KCMA's 293:5
25:15, 20 42:15	Judge 2:4 6:2,	277:9, 11	keep 7:16
97:13 106:13	9, 17 14:19	284:10, 15, 18	33:20 38:5
155:4 176:12	17:2, 6, 11 19:9,	285:4, 6, 7, 13,	65:6 103:22
236:3 246:22	<i>12, 15, 20</i> 20: <i>1</i> ,	21 286:1, 21	134:4 137:20
247:2 249:14	4, 6, 9, 12, 14	292:19 295:20	174:1 209:2
267:8 270:9, 13,	21:1, 4, 7, 11, 13,	296:2, 5, 11, 14	220:12 235:10
15 273:9	<i>15</i> 24:7, <i>12</i> , <i>16</i> ,	297:8	236:2 245:8, 10
job-specific	22 25:7 30:4,	Judges 2:5	keeping 237:5
148:12 249:11	13 32:18 35:15,	judgment 58:2	keeps 31:5
John 3:11	18, 22 36:4, 7, 9,	juggle 166: <i>15</i>	80:16
75:11	<i>15</i> 39:21 45:9	jugs 253:22	Keith 3:17
joined 232:5	47: <i>4</i> 54: <i>14</i> , <i>17</i> ,	271:15	108:20 109:11
286:18	22 58:5, 7, 10,	July 27:22	Keller 285:10
Joining 17:8	<i>14</i> 63:5 68: <i>1</i>	77:21	286:20
32:20 47:3	74: <i>1</i> 75: <i>4</i> , <i>6</i>	jump 226:2	kept 173:19
66:3 84:15	81:12 93:5, 9,	235:18 244:17	274:16 275:3
104:5 105:18	<i>12, 15</i> 94:6	253:22 267:12	Kevin 225:17
116:1 139:17	100:2 108:12,	268:4 278:3	key 232:16
152:1 163:19	<i>15</i> , <i>17</i> 109:2, <i>6</i> ,	279:20 280:2	274:5 287:16
165:15 169:7	9, 10 114:20	jumping 34: <i>12</i>	Khris 4:19
194:2 <i>1</i> 197:9	126:11, 14, 17,	June 1:14	272:6, 8, 14
202:22 220:10	19, 21 127:2, 5,	jurisdiction	280:3, 6
223:8 250:1	<i>10, 14</i> 129: <i>16</i>	95:8 133: <i>13</i>	kick 248:2
255:10 283:12	133:8 139:19	144:8 150:19	kidney 211:10
285:15	140:20 141:5	155:8, 11, 14, 17	killed 237:7
	145: <i>13</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>21</i>		

kind 23:5	knit 172:8	142:10, 18	265:1, 7, 9
33:19 34:2	knock 38:1	143:19 145:1	266:5, 6, 11, 12
35:3 49:18	know 10:8	151:4, 5, 7, 8, 15,	267:19 268:15
55:19 56:2	16:10 18:4	16, 19 152:4	269:8, 13, 15
72:14 76:5	22:8, 22 23:7,	155:10 162:5, 8,	270:10, 19, 22
77:19 86:1	22 24:3 31:9	12, 16 163:6, 7	271:3, 10, 16
87:3, 5, 7 88:4	33:22 34:9	164:11, 14, 16,	273:14 280:19,
101:16 118:13,	41:18 44:12	19, 20 166:5, 12	21 281:2, 5
22 122:6, 10, 18	45:14 46:12, 13	167:3, 5, 7, 16,	282:17 283:7, 8
132:12 145:1	47:15, 17 48:10	20 168:18, 20	295:13
168:9, 13	50:18 53:15, 16	171:20, 21	knowing 171:21
173:21 196:10,	57:3, 6 59:20	172:3, 9, 11, 14	263:20
22 215:13	61:2 63:19	174: <i>1</i> , <i>15</i> 175: <i>1</i>	knowledge
216:6 222:10,	65:5, 15 68:19	191:2 <i>1</i> 192: <i>13</i> ,	213:19
20, 21 229:4, 9,	69:7, 8 70:4	17, 18 193:14	knowledgeable
22 242:8 244:1	72:20, 21 73:2	196:11 198:7, 8,	56:8
248:10 251:1	74:17 75:1	12, 14, 17	known 146: <i>17</i>
253:3 254:19,	76:5, 20 78:3, 9,	199:16 200:20	272:16, 22
20 257:21	10 79:11, 21	202:4 214:4, 14,	
258:8, 12	80:12, 21 81:21	21 215:2, 6, 7, 9,	< L >
261:13 264:11	82:2 84:1, 3, 4	14 216:4, 8, 20	Labor 2:6, 20
270:8 283:1	85:7, 11 86:10,	217:1, 9, 11	6:10 37:6
287:6	13, 17, 18 87:9	219:14 220:15	71:13 127:7
KITCHEN 4:20	88:4, 5, 20	222:14, 17	159:20 179:18
284:22 285:11,	90:18 91:15	229:2, 12, 14, 15,	181:17 287:8
19 286:4 287:2	100:19, 22	22 230:2, 4	291:9, 16 296:21
288:5 292:11	101:20 102:22	234:8 238:20	Laborers 270:1
kits 205:9	103:14, 19	239:15, 16	labor-intensive
Klinkam 3:3	105:4 106:11	241:22 242:4, 5	247:2
11:4, 6 14:19,	109:4 115:11,	245:3, 13, 17, 20	Labor's 291:17
20 15:2, 11	<i>17</i> 116: <i>15</i> , <i>17</i> ,	246:9 247:7	lack 125:19
16:9 17: <i>14</i> , 22	19, 20 117:15,	248:10, 21	158:11 162:17
19:11, 13, 14, 17,	16 118:8, 9	250:17, 22	185:16 218:2
19, 22 20:2, 5, 8,	119:4, 20, 21, 22	251:3, 7, 8, 17	240:4 247:19
12, 13, 17, 19	120:4, 5, 13	253:4, 5, 22	248:11
21:3, 6, 9, 12, 14,	122:16, 21	254:2, 10, 15, 19	lacks 188:22
16 22:1, 6, 19	123: <i>1</i> 124:2 <i>1</i>	257:5, 12, 17, 19,	lag 72:16
23:17 24:2, 13,	125:5, 8, 9, 21	20 258:14	laid 132:17
16, 21 25:1	133:21 134:8,	259:21 260:1, 5	laity 294:17
knew 233:19	11 137:6, 7	262:1 263:8	Lakes 146:13
	139:5, 8 140:14	264:12, 16, 22	

147: <i>I</i>	lawn 39:14	length 157:2, 5	123:15 124:7
landscape 155:6	lawn 37.14	158:16 193:21	126:9 127:4, 9,
landscapes	Lawrence 3:7	lengthy 248:20	12, 17 133:10
159:9	36:11, 13	level 41:18	135:9 137:11,
lane 251:10	laws 130:19	76:18 102:8	16 138:15
language 62:5	264:13	117:8, 9, 15	139:13, 16
66: <i>13</i> 128: <i>16</i>	lawyer 293:1	118:6, 11 143:3	140:18 150:15
181:11 191:12	laying 35:5	171:5 180:10	151:21 153:7
280:22	LBT 3:8 58:13,	228:20 247:17	161:10 162:1
lantern 166:8, 9	16, 18 66:17	276:10 277:19	163:12, 18
large 16:10	lead 69:2	279:15	165:14 169:6
18:3 29:14	152:18 170:2	levels 26:17	172:18 190:13
31:4 46:15, 16	173:17 184:14	40:22 111:18	191:13 194:20
88:20 110:4	191:4 211:4	180:8 200:6	196:5 197:4, 8
117:17 143:14	leader 125:1	215:22 282:21	199:1 200:22
157:12 250:13	193: <i>1</i>	Levenson 282:1	202:20 203:15
265:1 270:10,	Leaders 272:9,	leverage 224:11	213:10 214:10
13 274:7	15, 22	LEVIN 2:22	216:12 217:7
larger 142:6	leadership 94:6	24:9 30:16	220:9 223:6
144:5 280:17	leading 96:3	35:20 54:19	224:20, 22
largest 101:7	109:19 273:1	74:2 75:3	225:4 240:20
154:6 210:10	274:11 276:1	93:10 108:13	243:1 244:5
LASHKARI	leaking 51:20	126:12	249:22 255:9
278:4, 6 280:3,	learn 94:7	LEVINSON 2:9	256:21 258:18
4 281:22 282:1	110:20	14:22 16:2, 19	277:8, 10, 12
284:3, 21	learning 165:21	17:8 18:16	278:5, 8 279:8
lasting 211:14	leasing 103: <i>3</i>	20:10 24:5	280:5 281:13
lastly 39:3	leave 121:17	30:6 32:19	283:11 284:8
46:14 98:18	195:12	35:16 40:1, 4	292:20 293:21
186: <i>17</i>	leaves 186:1	41:3 42:19	295:1, 14, 18
late 254:11	288:12	45:10, 13 47:1	296:13 297:7
latent 228:2	leaving 159:14	51:2 54:15	Levinson's 144:7
latest 79:17	left 41:17	63:7 66:1 68:2	Lexi 4:9
LAUNDRY	left-hand 128:15		204:11 214:19
3:10 75:14	legal 27:13	84:14 89:3	215:17, 18
Law 2:4, 5 6:9	28:9 293:3, 5	91:21, 22 92:2,	216:15 222:6, 7
81:1 174:2	legalization	18, 22 93:7	liabilities 261:5
229:15 285:10	265:15	100:4 101:10	liability 240:8,
286:20	Legislative	104:4 105:16	12
lawful 239:21	153:22 154:5	106:19 108:10	library 27:17
	lend 34:18	114:22 115:22	<u> </u>

life 151:12	19, 20 130:2	live 63:17	locomotives
170:13 182:10	133:17 136:8	151:11 184:7	159:3 160:5
life-altering	140:12 142:5,	186: <i>14</i>	169:20 174:9
188:6	12 143:2	living 23:3, 7	log 72:9 187:5
lifestyle 239:20	146:17 183:21	LLP 285:10	logistic 201:4
life-threatening	185:9 202:10	load 254:11, 12	logistical 115:5
211:3	261:5 262:20,	loader 157:6	logistics 128:2
lift 160:10	22 285:14	loaders 14:4	logs 189:17
163:2 290:6	Lines 56:5	loads 290:7	lone 65:8
light 143:4	110:1 143:3, 4	local 104:22	long 18:6
221:6 227:16	150:2 155:11	105: <i>3</i> 111:2 <i>1</i>	28:16 34:22
likelihood 61:3	172:6 186:9, <i>14</i>	113:9, 14, 15	42:5 48:7 57:5
180:12 252:7	liquid 253:3	116:22 117:15	59:21 61:2
likes 48:16	liquidated	118:6 125: <i>13</i>	71:15, 18 83:14
limit 7:15	266:11	134:9 144:16	89:22 133:3
64:11 70:18, 21	list 135:16	locally 118: <i>15</i>	134:21 137:5
112:20	234:1	located 58:16	142:22 159:16
limitations	listen 201:19	78:20 110:2	161:3 171:6, 14
240:15	listening 55:12	146:11 201:14	201:14 210:4
limited 6:17	123:9	location 107:12	211:17 216:17
62:2 103:6, 18,	lists 139:7	111: <i>17</i> 149: <i>6</i>	226:9 241:21
21 113:4, 5	literally 166: <i>12</i> ,	166:18 173:7	246:6 251:13,
131: <i>1</i> 157: <i>16</i>	20	174:18 201:22	21 255:2 260:11
158:9, <i>16</i> 159: <i>4</i>	little 46:16	290:17	longer 62:6
170:8 174:3	78:6 80:7 81:4,	locations 37:17	174:3 199:7
177:4 188:9	5 102:15 106:2	62:4 149:13	214:16 241:10
262:8	133:17 138:4	156: <i>19</i> 159: <i>14</i>	look 22:10
limiting 62:12	142:4 145:5	173:10 202:13	23:6, 17 30:2
limits 27:6	155:12 164:11	290:15	38:21 45:21
29:13 32:13	171:5 172:6, 7	lockout/tagout	52:8, 20 66:17
113:15 133:12	173:3, 11	80:13	71:20 72:5, 6
216:14 240:8	179:16 183:20	locomotive	81:4 82:6
LINDA 2:21	184:10 190:5	134:16 137:3	84:12, 13 91:14
140:22 153:10	196: <i>11</i> 201: <i>6</i>	144:4 154:10,	126:1 134:8
172:21 175:6	203:4 215:20	16 157:9 158:7,	192:20 198:16
203:18 225:8	218:3 219:16	<i>15</i> 164:2, <i>10</i>	218:6 224:17
258:21 284:12	220:14 242:20	166:13, 16	237:16 238:14
295:21	246:5 248:20	167:8 170:1, 2,	252:9 279:6
LINE 3:18	251:22 260:8, 14	7, 14 173:7, 15,	looked 70:15
43:16 56:10		17, 18 174:7	looking 40:14,
68:10 129:14,		175:3	16 53:15 76:21

119:5 120:8	283:8 284:1	machinery 134:2	167:2 194:16
193:9 245:14	294:3	MACIEL 4:17	202:8 226:16
256:8	lots 210:8	main 149:22	240:5 286:12
looks 152:7	loud 21:5	maintain 61:1	manageable
296:11	loudly 21:10	74:7 176:15	205:15
loose 157:12	Louis 209:10	191:19 202:1,	management
Lopez 106:21	love 91: <i>17</i>	<i>15, 16</i> 211:7	96:2 <i>1</i> 110: <i>11</i>
124:8, 9	92:13 119:6	229:10 232:13	159:2 <i>1</i> 171: <i>13</i>
LOPEZ-	253:16	276:7	206:18 212:21
MENENDEZ	low 39:13	maintaining	213:2 216:7
2:8 106:2 <i>1</i>	55:10 79:16	286:9	manager 108:6
107:17 108:1, 8	207:5 236:6	maintains 13:4	managers 39:4
124:9 126:8	257:4 275:6	Maintenance	51:13 53:19
lose 57:13	lower 32:1	94:21, 22 96:6,	96:6 110:19
158:17	50:13 128:15	12, 13, 14 97:15	manages 29:19
loss 77:8 211: <i>1</i>	169: <i>17</i>	102:3 103:2, 17	managing 73:17
lost 52:14	lunch 50:19	106:2, 7 108:5,	mandate 178: <i>3</i>
76:10 211:16	64:3 126:22	6 147:17 202:5,	197:13 289:16
lot 22:20 23:1,	194:6 250:16	13	291:7
22 43:1 46:3	lunches 81:2	major 64:18	mandated
49:15, 19, 22	Lundegren	177:17 270:5	235:19, 21
51:16 52:1	141:8, 9, 10, 13,	majority 96: <i>1</i>	267:11
55:9 56:2 57:9	17, 20, 22 142:1	140: <i>11</i> 166: <i>4</i>	Mandating
79:21 82:18	144:6, 7 145:10	184: <i>16</i>	184:7 290: <i>17</i>
85:10 88:9	259:6, 8, 11	makers 273:2	mandatory
100:6 102:6	260:12 262:19	makeup 29: <i>14</i>	60:20 64:14
134:17 142:11	263:17 265:13	making 36:7	86:10 177:11
143:8 157: <i>1</i>	266:15	56:1 65:15	178:4 182:20
162:16 166:19	Lundgren	83:4 151:17	184:12 185:18
167:1 200:5, 11	262:21	165:5 211:5	186:3, 19, 22
215:20 216:6,	luxury 143:10	237:3 245:12	187:3 188:18
18 217:9	235:17	253:2	267:6 276:12,
221:16 222:10,		MALFUNCTIO	14 283:9
18, 22 224:9, 10	< M >	N 208:8 210:3	Manesh 4:22
225:20 229:13,	ma'am 19:9	214:9 230:15	285:2, 9 286:19
18, 20 237:9	91:8 108:17	mall 79:1	manner 29:5, 10,
242:6 245:17	133:11 139:13	man 55:22	19 33:6 95:5
251:19 252:2	145:17 203:12	59:14	manual 18: <i>12</i>
256:22 258:9	machine 156:13	manage 59:14	261:16
271:8 279:16	233:17	61:6 69:14, 16	manually 72:11
		94:3 166:16	

manufacture	mask 44:14	means 62:3	medications
204:20 226:10,	mast 50:7	65:13 77:15, 22	233:21 234:1,
11	match 54:1	158:7, 18 159:5,	15 239:19
manufacturer	material 52:2	10 160:12	medium 11: <i>14</i>
43:20 58:16	136:13 168:12	183:22 212:8	meet 29:13
78:11	207:2 221:4	283:21	37:18 57:21
MANUFACTUR	226:15 228:1, 8,	meant 7:11	202:19 246:19
ERS 3:4 4:20	10, 18 290:8	164:4	253:15
12:22 13:5	materials 139:6	measure 59:11	meeting 9:3
17:22 25:5	206:19 215:12	63:17 252:6	47:12 259:15
26:5, 7 30:2	228:13	measured 37:14	Meg 4:15
32:9 75:19	matter 23:5	111:4 122:10	231:21 232:6,
109:20 216:13,	130:22 147:13	measurement	18, 19 239:14
22 273:7 285:1,	182:10 242:18	40:21 42:17	244:16 253:3,
11, 20 286:5	297:3	71:16 72:9	21 254:13, 22
287:3 288:5, 15	matters 10:15	111:21	256:4 259:9
289:8 292:11, 15	mature 274:12	measurements	260:9, 16, 21
manufacturing	maximize	59:5 113:15	263:18 266:17
11:13 12:10	100:19	measures 62:14	Meg's 242:10
14:11 17:16	maximum	63:12, 14 95:22	Meijer 3:11
25:11, 15 26:21	113:13, 20	132:16, 22	75:9, 11, 12
27:2 28:22	MDF 11:15	133:4 253:20	81:12, 15 82:4
29:15 31:1	mean 22:6	275:1 276:11,	84:22 86:16
188:20 273:10	31:5 32:13	16 286:11, 13	87:14, 20 88:2,
286:7 288:13,	33:18 34:7, 10	measuring	18 89:9, 13, 16,
18 289:1, 7, 20,	56:19, 22 57:3	120:20	20 90:2 91:8,
21 292:17	65:10, 11 85:2	mechanical	11, 19, 21 92:1,
MARIAM 5:3	116:14 136:15	156:14, 15	15, 21 93:4, 13,
marijuana	142:8 145:6	mechanism	15, 17
234:14 265:15	159:4 162:8	206:12	melting 228:11,
MARINE 3:4	164:13 166:9,	mechanisms	14
25:5 26:5 30:1	19 170:11	206:6	member 23: <i>13</i>
75:19	197:1 254:4	medical 178:5	36:20, 22 82:12,
mark 186:12	265:2 267:15	180:11 181:2	13 86:15 97:8
market 222:20,	269:2 271:2	187:9 201:12	104:15 105:1
22	278:22	220:3 239:19	114:3 118:21
markets 222:12	meaning 170:2	264:13 294:11,	122:3, 6 123:20
Mary 75:12	meaningful	18	144:9 176: <i>14</i> ,
Maryland 75:22	189:8 279:1	medication	18 178:7
183:10	meaningfully	263:20 264:10	179:17 182:9
	286:18		183:21 184:17,

18 185:17	membership	293:10, 14, 17	176:9, 12 205:1,
187:22 190:2	82:15 105:10	294:22	3 212:18
191:22 192:6,	192:3 286:8	METAL 4:1	millions 99:14
<i>17</i> 194: <i>1</i>	men 159:17	68:11	114:6 273:9
195:11, 21	232:12	meters 42:12,	Milwaukee
197: <i>1</i> 198: <i>5</i>	mention 67:3	13 53:21 54:10	98:11
200:11 232:6	152:4 191:22	method 116:21	mind 15:17
255:20 271:12	193:17 202:3	methods 40:6	237:5 258:12
273:13 289:22	261:13 277:15	84:20 107:4	mine 236:7
members 11: <i>15</i>	mentioned 26:7	121:11 206:7	mini 62:13
14:11 43:1, 17	30:22 42:22	221:2	minimize 61:4
48:21 79:10	43:6 45:19	metric 47:11	179: <i>19</i>
82:1 84:18	66:12 74:3	57:21	minimizes 211:8
86:13 94:2, 17	85:20 95:10	metrics 49: <i>4</i>	minimizing
95:4 101:5, 6,	101:15 104:8,	56:5	277:1
20 107:4, 7, 12	<i>12, 21</i> 116:5, <i>15</i>	metropolitan	minimum 86:7
110:7 115:15	118:18 119:10	146:20	247:15 257:9
117:15, 18	123:18 124:21	Miami 28:1, 4	277:19
118:10 122:15	125:11 137:22	mic 30:17	minute 21: <i>1</i>
125:20 135:7	152:10 165:19	microphone	32:14 61:16
139:10 140:1	179:8 197:12	91:20	72:4, 5
142:2 154:12	214:11 219:13	microprocessors	minutes 42:16
157:3, 8 167:18	220:14 230:11	229:13	45:20 60:16
171: <i>11</i> 173:9	243:12 250:18	Mid-Atlantic	61:12 71:16
176:8, 21 182:2	255:15 263:1,	36:19	73:7, 16, 18
187:20 197:13	18 277:21	middle 61: <i>17</i>	91: <i>15</i> 114: <i>14</i>
204:17, 19	279:9 280:6	171:19 174:10	128:5 157:2 <i>1</i>
213:18, 22	mentioning	247:8	171: <i>14</i> 184: <i>19</i>
214:1, 2, 7	102:12	mighty 97:20	186:22 187: <i>1</i>
219:13 232:7	merely 294:15,	mile 157:21	233:16
246:6, 11 250:4	19	167:4 175:2	Miriam 127:19
252:22 253:10,	mess 83:6	251:13	misinterpret
19 265:14, 19	message 37:15	miles 143:21	164:5
271:4 272:17	60:6	146:18, 21	misinterpreting
273:6 279:17,	messages 199: <i>17</i>	148:17 151:5, 6	85:22
22 286:6, 11	messaging 182:1	157:5 158:1, 6	mispronounced
287:2 288:4	met 82:2 86:9	159:6 167:4	88:11
290:13 291:5	97:13 181:16	174:22 201:2 <i>1</i>	misquote 104:11
295:4	259:12	million 110:9	missed 135:8
	metabolic 288:1,	· ·	mission 36:20
	9, 16 289:13	115:20 119:22	

mitigate 50:8
97:9, 10 160:2
162:10 186:7
187:1 218:6
239:18 275:21
276:4
_, _,
mitigated 189:1 mitigating 163:9
mitigation 37:18 40:6, 19
43:6 101:15
113:16 131:10
132:16 133:3
147:20 149:13
150:6 152:4, 21
275:1, 12
mitigations 38:5,
11, 13, 18 41:1
43:2 47:21
48:16 52:11
56:18 57:19
mix 96:14
mixes 33:20
mobile 100:6,
11 103:4
133:20 141:14
182:22 183:22
201:5, 10 222:2,
4 250:17
259:22 270:15,
18
model 278:9
283:18
modeled 12:8
models 111:10
113:9 125:12
modified 149: <i>19</i>
modifying 62:5
module 94:20
moisture 210:5

mojitos 28:1
moldings 11:18
moment 256:1
293: <i>1</i> 294: <i>13</i>
moment's 95:20
Monday 265:17
monitor 60:5
65:9 69:17, 20
84:18 85:1
97:17 100:17
119:12 135:2
152:18 218:19
282:20
monitored 51:8,
13, 22
monitoring
27:10 51:7
52:6 59:6
63:20 65:1, <i>3</i> ,
<i>11</i> 68:6, 7 70:3,
6, 12 71:6, 14,
<i>15, 19</i> 72:8
100:15, 21, 22
102:17 108:4
152:6 160:5
161:5 165:3, 10
218:16 219:9
234:16 245:12
253:18 274:18
275:4, 8 276:6
279:9, 10
280:16, 17
289:10
monitors 59:13
60:4 68:10, 11,
13 69:3, 15 70:4 71:2
month 61:14
months 31:1
56:16 59:18
30.10 37.10

111:13 147:6

209:14 242:16
245:2 247:6
MOORE 2:10
138: <i>16</i> , <i>17</i>
139:12 196:6, 7,
<i>15</i> 217:8, 9
218:8
Moore's 229:15
morning 17: <i>10</i>
62:12 90:2, 8,
<i>12</i> 93:20 154:4
175:18 246:13,
14 259:13
289:4 297:6
Motor 136:14
motorcycle
262:2
motoring
261:20 263:13,
16
motorists 237:9
238:2, 4 252:13
mountains
159: <i>11</i>
mounted 222:16
mouthful 208:2
move 37:3
96:18 99:19
177:3 204:14
232:1 270:20
movement 18:2,
8 50:14 53:9
60:19 154:9
moves 132:5
250:11
moving 52:2
101:2 169: <i>1</i>
170:9 236:2
239:5, 7, 11
mow 39:13
47:19

multi-employer 37:8 41:10 multi-entity 182:21

MULTIFAMILY 3:13 94:3 105:7 **Multihousing** 105:7 **multiple** 28:*14* 32:14 59:7 62:16 68:11 71:3 132:12 134:14 136:8 144:2 152:12 178:14 187:19 188:*19* 189:*1* 244:22 245:5 256:8 262:16 multiple-person 290:4 multi-site 96:9 multi-state 101:7 muscle 211:10 musculoskeletal 290:10 **museums** 110:1 **MUTCD** 263:8 **mute** 24:7 **muted** 19:10 **mutual** 199:16 200:17 < N >**NAA** 94:2, 4, 15, 19 99:17 104:21 105:2 **NAAs** 96:3 name 10:20

11:4, 6 25:3, 4,

9 36:12 55:3, 4	naturally 247:5	need 15:19	needs 39:6
58:12 75:10, 11	254:11	23:6 28:5 35:2	42:5 63:1
93:19, 20	nature 7:6, 7	44:3, 4, 22 45:4	74:15 88:4
108:21 109:11	151:15 152:12	58:18 60:12	102:3 103:21
128:10 129:2, 6,	181: <i>15</i> 188: <i>14</i> ,	62:7 68:11, 13	125:14 163:3
12, 13 146:1, 2,	17 200:10	69:2 77:4, 5	167:5 183: <i>1</i>
10, 15 153:20	261:9 279:5	79:13, 22 82:16,	201:2 213:1
154:4 175:16,	288:8, 11	21 84:3, 4 87:5,	235:12 248:10
18 204:13	289:17 294:9	6, 18, 20 94:22	274:17
225:16 230:18	Natz 4:21	95:15, 20	negative 187:10
232:1 244:7, 9	285:2, 15, 16, 18,	107:15 109:4	265:17, 21
259:6 266:20,	19, 22 286:2	111:17 115:14	negatively
21 269:21	288:12 292:11,	152:22 156:12	263:15
272:7, 13 278:5	21 295:6, 16	161:4 165:6	Nelson 3:9
285:2, 7	296:5, 8, 10	167: <i>4</i> , <i>11</i>	58:11, 13, 14, 15
named 27:21	navigating 183:4	178:20 181:2	63:5, 10, 15
narrow 251:13	Navy 37:2	189:2 <i>1</i> 190: <i>17</i>	65:2, 13, 22
narrows 262:9	41:13 48:13	192:2 202:11	66:6, 17 67:18
NASA 219:5	NC 27:22	214:16 215:11,	68:16, 19 70:5
nation 25:20	near 117:2 <i>1</i>	12 218:4	71:9, 17 72:10
114:7 159:9	207:9 221:5	235:10 236:16	73:11 74:9
NATIONAL	227:16 254:7	237:4 238:18	75:4, 6, 8
3:4, 12 25:5	nearly 94:1	239:10 242:3,	NEP 216:18
30:1 75:14, 19	112:7 131:19	13, 20 247:7	nerve 211:10
76:18, 22 93:22	178:6	252:7 254:4	nervous 57:22
94:2 97:14	Nebraska 58:17	255:15 256:16	NESHAP 27:8
98:5 111:22	necessarily 54:1	257:22 258:1, 3	net 264:21
153:22 154:5	217:3 244:9	260:11 266:2	network 119: <i>11</i>
177:18 205:5	254:5 257:3	274:6 291:3	Nevada 274:20
243:21 287:5, 7	266:3 268:7	needed 17:18	277:15, 16
nation's 25:19	necessary 22:18	22:16 56:22	278:8, 17
99:11 129:20	57:19 98:4	60:15 61:19	never 181:16
130:5 232:13	154:19 156:16	63:14 115:5	nevertheless
292:17	179:21, 22	136:16 149:18	269:15
nationwide	182: <i>13</i> 185: <i>1</i>	160: <i>14</i> 185:2 <i>1</i>	new 39:6 97:18
131:20 290:12,	186:7 187: <i>1</i>	186: <i>18</i> , <i>21</i>	101:22 122:21
18	202:4 242:17	191:3 214:15	124:19 125:17
natural 13:9	246:3 276:16	241:21 255:18,	126:2 146:13,
23:12 184:5	necessitating	22 256:2, 6	22 182:17
206:5, 12	290:16	264:9 274:3	183:14, 19
	neck 255:4	281:14	

209:21 246:10,	232:22	150:10 172:2	obtain 239:21
20	non-work 57:7	292:1	obtained 189:16
newly 101:17	non-working	NPRM's 148:21	obviate 291:3
102:5	222:21	nuanced 105:5	obviously 41:15
NFIB 291:9	noon 186:4	249:18	60:18 164:13
293:7	nope 19:15, 16,	nuances 156:11	175: <i>1</i> 216: <i>17</i>
nice 42:8 53:5	20 20:6, 7, 15	180:13	243:16 269:11
141:12, 14	normal 60:1	number 9:5	270:10 295:7
266:16 267:1	200:21	55:7 73:19	occasionally
Nicole 3:14	normally 34:8	79:15 90:19	59:20
93:18, 20	56:13	91:15 110:14,	OCCUPATIONA
night 37:19	North 11:12	19 112:22	L 1:5 6:3
246:16 297:8	22:22 25:12	115:20 129:6	109:15 155:20
nighttime 166:8	28:14 270:1	135:22 144:19	276:17 287:1, 8,
NIOSH 122:1	286:5	154: <i>11</i> 185: <i>4</i>	15, 17, 20 288:8,
211:20 212:3	note 23:18	192:17 198:10,	11 289:16
287:19	127:5 208:20	14 212:16	291:6 294:9
NIOSH's	210:9 214:3	218:15 219:2	occupationally
211:11 289:12	287:19 291:21	220:4 221:11	294:21
NMHC 94:5	noted 61:21	222:9 227:7, 12	occupations
99:17	62:16 92:8	230:3 239:9	107:18 108:1
NMMA 3:4	135:15 191:18	266:9 277:13,	occur 149:15
nobody's 263:22	247:11	15 283:14, 18	171:22 290:15
nod 10:3	notes 75:17	292:3 293:4	occurred 112: <i>10</i>
non 206:22	112:5 208:1	numbers 56:5	181:22
217:14 283:8	Notice 6:5 7:4	234:2	occurring 179:3
non-cooled	74:14 92:3, 8	numeral 264:3	occurs 172:15
284:1	95:20 109:16	numerous 37:22	o'clock 79:17
nonexistent 62:2	176:4 180:5	38:13 188:11	127:2, 16, 20
non-industry-	182:6	nursing 182: <i>11</i>	231:9
specific 26:16	notices 103:1	_	offer 97:3
non-managerial	notification	<0>	159:10 179:16
207:20	110:22 111:20	obligations 82:3	209:18 210:3
nonmandatory	128:3	observation	212:16 224:2
282:9	notion 162:18	52:19 86:11	240:2 244:5
non-mandatory	172:3	294:15	offered 114:9, 17
67:6, 7 283:7	NPRM 130:1, 9,	observe 192:7	offers 183:13
nonstructural	22 132:4, 5	265:7	184:4
11:17	145:3 147:7, 13	observed 165:9	Office 2:4, 20
non-urban	148:4 149:9	observers 95:19	24:10 35:21
			50:1 54:18, 20

71:19 74:3	141:20, 22	one-size 95:10	134:17 143:4, 5
93:11 103:3	144:6 145:10,	289:7	153:4 176:9
108:14 110:2	21 146:9	one-size-fit-all	273:6 274:12
126:13 127:11	167:18 169:5,	274:13	286:7
134:19 141:1,	13 171:3	one-size-fits-all	operates 147:5
10 149:22	172:17 197:3	62:16 76:15	operating 98:1
153:11 172:22	198: <i>19</i> 199: <i>1</i>	81:16 98:21	99:5 101:8
175:6 203:19	218:8 221:9, 21	113:20 117:14	102:2 110:22
225:9 258:22	223:3 224:19	122:21 188:13	113:3 125:10
259:11 262:21	226:2 231:4, 20	286:16	126:3 142:20
284:13 295:22	233:15 237:21	one-stop 215: <i>1</i>	157:4 200:14
officer 151:4	252:19 255:7	ongoing 108:5	245:7
282:22	262:19 263:17	135: <i>1</i>	operation 55:20
officers 278:21	265:13 266:13	online 17:9	64:19 146:22
offices 127:7	267:4, 13 268:6,	18:18 24:15	200:21 260:17
155:22	10 269:18	32:20 47:3	270:16, 18
offloading	284:7, 15	63:8 66:3 72:3	operational
216:20	285:18 297:8	84:15 94:16	115:6 132:10
off-peak 101:15	Oklahoma	104:6 105:18	156:8 273:3
Oh 19: <i>14</i>	146:12 149:4	116:2 137:13,	operationally
30:18 51:12	151:5, 11 152:15	17 139:17	142:7
80:18 82:5	Oklahoma's	152:1 163:19	operations
88:18 89:16	146:17	165:16 169:7	142:9 143:7
137:16 141:20	old 142:18	194:2 <i>1</i> 197:9	147:17 148:17
286:2	254:2	202:22 220:10	154:8 155:2, 19
Ohio 233:1	oldest 142:19	223:8 250:1	156:6, 7 164:9
Okay 17:6	Omaha 58:17	255:10 265:3	182:15 189:7
20:4, 5, 14 21:3,	omitted 68:9	283:12	199:3 209:20
9, 11, 14 22:14	Once 14:15	onsite 96:11	239:7 246:18
24:22 25:8	29:21 41:18	on-site 96:5	255:16 256:3
36:9 43:9 49:9,	42:1, 2 63:17	97:7 111: <i>1</i>	273:15 289:21
17 50:22 58:3	64:4 82:21	open 16:17	290:1
65:10, 19 72:7	128:7 167:12	18:6, 7 72:20	operator 146:17
82:16, 21 83:5	174:15 235:9	78:6 164:13	154:13 233:11
87:9 92:21	one-quart 283:4	234:5 242:1	operators 14:3
102:9 103:9	onerous 183:20	252:17	109:19 111:2, 6
104:2 109:3, 5	202:7	opening 41:4	119: <i>11</i>
116:5 117:18	ones 74:15	opens 240:9	opined 287:10,
121:8, 17 127:2	119:13 253:10	operate 52:3	12 291:10
137:18 138:13	one's 83:14, 15	94:3 98:22	opinion 7:13
139:20 140:17		111:12 125:22	126:7 139:3

163:4 195:7	228:7 251:19	16 67:6 68:3, 4,	202:19, 21
197:1 228:7	253:14	7 69:1, 22	204:17 205:6,
247:12	oral 6:12 8:4,	73:22 75:16	11, 12, 16
opportunities	13 10:1, 4 58:21	76:13 78:12	207:17 210:16
36:21 102:6	orange 40:13, 22	81:10, 13 82:8	211:11 212:7,
198:9, <i>13</i>	ORDER 3:1	83:3 84:10	14 213:9, 11, 14
224:11 233:2	8:18 9:7 10:17	86:2 89:5, 12	214:12 218:8, 9
opportunity	166:12 231:17	91:2, 22 93:5	219:12 221:22
6:21 7:12 8:14,	241:11 292:16	94:6 99:17	222:1 223:9
<i>17</i> 11:8 25:9	orders 100:18	100:2, 4, 9	225:6 231:5, 19
29:21 39:19	130:18	101:11, 13	233:5 238:20
45:8 50:11	Oregon 12:8	104:5 105:17	239:10 240:19,
65:17 94:5	15:5, 12, 16	106:20, 22	20 241:1
99:2 <i>1</i> 109: <i>14</i>	183:10	112:3, 5, 8, 19,	244:11 247:14
114:18 128:20	organization	20 113:4, 6, 10,	249:22 256:22
145:20 150:10	67:14 75:15	22 114:2 <i>1</i>	257:7, 12
161:6 176: <i>3</i>	164:2 191:19	115:1 116:6, 8	258:13, 19
193:13 201:19	organizations	117:5, 7, 9	259:16 263:3, 7,
232:8 233:4	7:3 95:3 194:3	121:12, 19	10, 12 267:13,
272:12 277:6	197:2	123:3, 16, 18	15 269:6, 12, 14
286:3 287:2	organized	124:10 131:2	270:7 272:12,
292:12, 14	181: <i>17</i>	133:5, 9 135:13	18 274:19, 21
oppose 17:17	oriented 81:20	138:6 139:6, 16	275:15 276:19
26:15	Orlando 110:2	144:9 148:8	277:16, 17
opposed 257:19	OSHA 1:5 2:7	150:14, 16	278:17 281:15
opposing 258:13	6:15 8:13, 15,	153:8 155:8, <i>13</i>	283:8 287:10,
opposite 196:20	21 11:9 14:21	156:3, 4 161:9,	14 291:14, 19
282:16	15:1 17:7	11 165:21	292:19, 21
optimal 208:10	18:22 26:19, 22	177:3, 6, 7, 10,	293:2, 10, 14
optimize 206: <i>12</i>	27:5 28:20	21 178:2, 20	294:7 295:18
option 20:11	29:13, 16 30:3,	179:1, 9, 16	OSHAevents_dsg
86:2, <i>5</i> 111:2 <i>1</i>	5, 7, 9, 19 32:5,	180:3, 6, 13, 21,	@dol.gov 7:22
121:21 122:8	12, 18 33:1	22 181:16	OSHA'S 1:9
175:3 221:12	35:15, 17 37:10	183:8, 10, 12, 13	6:22 7:8 18:16
247:13	39:22 40:2	184: <i>4</i> 185: <i>3</i>	24:5 40:12
options 72:3	42:22 43:13	186:12 187:3, 5,	42:19 92:2
86:2, 12 148:7	45:9 47:2	6 188:7, 9, 13,	93:8 94:9 99:6
183:14 207:8	48:12 51:3, 4	21 189:2, 13, 16,	108:11 113:17
222:5, 16, 18, 22	52:15 54:14, 16	17, 22 190:6, 12,	114:13 126:10
224:5 227:7	62:5, 19, 22	<i>14</i> 194: <i>15</i>	127:20, 21
	63:6, 8 66:5, 12,	196:2 198: <i>4</i> , <i>16</i>	130:15, 22
			

131:6 133:12	39:14 45:18	owner 101:6	panels 11:16
140:18 147:15	47:18 49:15, 21	266:7	12:6 58:2 <i>1</i>
151: <i>1</i> 172: <i>19</i>	50:19 51:17	owners 96:21	212:16
176:4, 13, 22	53:4, 13 54:2, 3	109:19	pants 79:20
178: <i>16</i> 180: <i>1</i>	56:12 70:20	ownership	paper 28:18
181:20 182:14	71:18, 20 72:14,	142:12	230:19 234:2
185:6, 17	19 90:15		paperwork 8:18
187:17 195:7	103:20 111:12	< P >	99:2 151:19
203:16 212:4	113:7 155: <i>1</i>	p.m 59:22	parameters
235:19 253:15	200:21 206:22	186: <i>3</i> 235: <i>5</i>	56:13
260:3 269:6	234:20 235:8	297:9	parenthetical
274:2 277:10,	239:3 262:5	pace 256:17	291:22
13 284:9 289:7,	264:11 295:11	pack 228:13	parking 103:20
13	outsized 130:4	packaged 212: <i>11</i>	parks 109:20,
outages 182:5	oven 18:4	packages 173:19	21 110:3, 9, 12
outbreak 127:14	ovens 53:10	packing 167:15	111:11 112:12
outcomes	overall 93:3	pages 83:15	113:14 115:21
208:10 239:22	overestimate	84:12 292:1	Parsons 269:20,
240:10 274:15	283:22	paid 106:4	<i>22</i> 270: <i>4</i> 271:2 <i>1</i>
OUTDOOR	overheated	291:8, 19	part 7:9, 18
1:10 6:5 13:19	191:20	painting 43:21	8:4 13:1, 12, 15
14:1, 8 31:18,	overheating	pair 21: <i>1</i>	15:14 26:12
20, 22 51:10	33:14 97:6	pallets 33:18	32:8, 14 44:8
52:20, 22 72:21	256:1	PANEL 2:7	46:10 52:13
96:18 106:14	overlaying	3:2 8:12, 15, 22	64:17 77:13, 18
109:17 110:12	125:17	9:8 11:7, 20	82:4 83:4 87:2
128: <i>1</i> 169: <i>16</i>	overly 13:6	14:9 15:18	94:15 131:12
176:6 206: <i>14</i>	130:11 148:21	43:12 141:9	136:7 145:6
207:3, 4 223:11	275:9 295:15, 17	142:3 213:22	146:18 148:10
238:22 248:9	oversight 48:12	215:15 219:12	151:12, 20
259:17 272:20	133:6	224:2 225:13	152:13 159:20
275:19 283:15	over-the-road	230:6 231:19	161:22 165:3, 4
outdoors 16:4, 8	157:4	233:3 240:19	174:5 199:22
32:5 117:18	overtime 60:1	259:4, 10 272:1	201:12, 15
185:10, 20	61:6 62:11, 12	284:16 296:3	205:16, 19
226:22 248:12	64:11	panelist 10:19	210:16 213:1
outlets 288:20	overview 187:2	128:4, 7	214:21 215:2, 3,
outliers 282:7	overwhelmingly	panelists 95:9	8, 9 216: <i>1</i>
outside 18:7	112:9 136:9	126:20 129:3	245:22 251:3
29:14 31:1, 13	owned 140:10		252:11 268:20
37:15 38:10			281:3, 6 282:4

	pass 226:7	228:12	279:11 281:16
PARTICIPANTS	237:9	peak 97:5 289:3	293:12 296:22
2:1 3:1 8:7, 22	passive 206:4, 9	Peck 127:11	percent 11: <i>12</i>
9:6, 7 10:9	221:1 224:5	pedestrian	25:21 206:1
24:15 208:13	226:5 227:1, 7,	252:15, 16	211:19 212:22
259:15 296:16	12	pedestrians	213:4 273:19
297:2	passives 221:2	261:2 <i>1</i>	283:17
participate	pastime 26:13	peer 194:14	percentage
150:11	path 158:22	195:17 198:10,	111: <i>11</i> 194: <i>3</i>
participated	pathogen 80:14	14	perfect 21: <i>13</i>
233:2	pathways 46:20	peers 194:10	90:3 92:15
participating	patience 23:22	PELs 57:22	170:5
184:3	PATRICIA 2:19	penalties 240:7	perform 14:4
participation	pattern 190:10	pencil 277:22	29:9 33:5
142:2	patterns 51:9	278:20	39:17 97:21
particleboard	132:15	Pennsylvania	148:11 192:11
11:14	Patti 137:14, 17,	142:21	266:2
particular 9:8	19 165:15, 17	people 7:3 16:3	performance
95:7 103:22	197:9, 10 220:9,	23:6 33:21	62:22 81:19
143:11 151:1	11 221:22	35:10 40:21	200:8 221:18
179:13 188:14	250:1, 2	41:12 42:7, 9	229:7, 8 276:21
191:2 194:17	Pavement	44:16 50:20	283:5
235:4 236:15	243:22	56:12 58:17	performance-
277:20	paving 239:8	66:19 76:8, 16	based 205:20
particularly	270:19	77:9, 12, 14, 16	274:7, 8 280:11
16:5 17:20	pay 57:6 82:14	79:19 81:16	performance-
46:15 56:7	106:4 291:14	82:14, 15 84:9	oriented 81:17
130:7 133:20	pays 288:8	86:22 115:3	190:17 213:16
161:18 162:4	Payton 4:6	120: <i>1</i> 133:2 <i>1</i>	214:13 257:1
177:1, 15 184:6	175:15, 18, 22	134:12 135:2	277:14 279:4
199:12 201:5,	176:2 190:11,	143:10, 11, 12,	281:15, 20
10 259:21	<i>15</i> 191: <i>10</i>	<i>14</i> 144: <i>1</i> 145: <i>4</i>	performed
260:4, 5 280:18	192:5 194:8	151:6, 10, 18	136: <i>4</i> 177: <i>16</i> ,
particulars	195:16, 20	163: <i>15</i> 171: <i>7</i>	22 183:11
98:14	196:13 197:3, 5,	173:16 174:20	184: <i>16</i> 186: <i>14</i>
parties 6:12, 20	19, 22 198:22	201:5 213:14,	217:2
partner 65:8	199:1, 11 201:8	18 222:14	performing
partners 179:18	203:3, 4, 12, 14,	235:8 248:22	96: <i>11</i> 104: <i>1</i>
Partnership	21 204:1, 3, 6, 8	254:4 256:5, 8	184:2 185:7, 10,
177:21 234:19	PCM-based	257:1 262:5, 18	12 186:9 192:8
parts 12:1 19:4		266:9 277:15	

193:4, 11	perspective 94:8	pick 90:11, 13,	plan 40:12
performs 290:8	99:22 118:14	14 251:18	41:2 43:11, 14
period 6:15 8:8	119:6 192:20	Picks 90:21	83:12, 13, 20
9:16 58:22	200:9 202:9	pickup 138:10	84:11 86:6
61:17 112:11	223:1	271:2	92:5 135:17
115:16, 18	perspectives	piece 44:10	144:20 145:8
120:3 124:4	11:9	104:20 168:13	149:7 160:7, 8
131:15 133:3	pertinent 6:13	202:18 259:19	163: <i>3</i> 165: <i>1</i>
134:21 191:12		292:2	167:5, <i>11</i> 188: <i>4</i>
192:21 293:3	PETROPOULOS	pieces 14:5	195:4 209:22
periods 28:16	2:16 18:17, 19	90:19 202:5	210:17 243:7,
38:10 59:21	21:19 22:5, 13	pier 37:14 42:8,	12, 21 244:7, 15,
194:7 199:20	23:9, 21 24:4	9 45:15 49:20	20 245:8
267:21	84:15, 16 85:19	50:5, 19, 20	planning 156: <i>12</i>
permanent	87:12, 17, 22	53:4, 7	161:4
252:1	88:14 89:1	piers 37:6	plans 37:18
permissible	104:5, 7 105:11	48:14	38:7, 8, 9, 12
27:6 29:13	116:1, 3 117:3	Pipeline 136: <i>13</i>	40:19 43:2, 5
32:12	118: <i>16</i> 119:9	piping 262:15	49:2, 3 52:12
permits 8:17	120:17 121:5, 8,	place 18:2	97:18 101:9
27:8 186:17, 18	17 123:8, 14	38:11, 13 41:1	144:15, 18
231:7	151:22 152:2	47:14, 20 48:11,	145:2 148: <i>14</i>
person 35:7, 11	153:5 163:19,	16, 19 62:14	159:2 <i>1</i> 160: <i>17</i>
45:17 57:4, 6,	20 165:7, 12	68:14 97:14	164:22 183:10
17 83:17 85:17	255:10, 11	102:8 119:16	242:4 246:2
90:5 148:15	256:19	120:6 144:11	plan's 197: <i>14</i>
157:20 236:11	phase 206:19	148:6 160:8	plant 29:16
265:2 268:4, 6	207:2 215:12	162: <i>13</i> 163: <i>3</i>	59:17 60:4
290:7	228:8, 10, 18	164:21 165:22	61:13 64:2, 18
personal 26:10	phases 262:16	169:22 193:5	70:11 85:6
27:6 40:9	PhD 230:22	216:18 226:10	87:3 88:3
59:13 86:16	Philadelphia	245:19 251:11,	plants 29:7, 11
148:8 180:11	127:13	13 258:4 266:6	33:3 185:14
204:20, 22	phone 20:11	275:5	plasma 54:5
209:5 233:7	129:6	placed 103:6, 7	plastic 50:6
personally	phones 9:3	235:3	platform 285:19
70:18 86:17	128:12	places 149: <i>14</i>	play 61:5
personnel	physical 180: <i>10</i>	201:18 222:11	120: <i>13</i> 293: <i>1</i>
147:18	211:8 236:12	250:16	please 9:22
persons 8:17	264:14	placing 235:11	10:6, 20 11:4
person's 180:7			19:16 20:15

25:3 36:12	policies 96:22	possible 9:11	potentially
55:3 58:12, 14	104: <i>17</i> 110: <i>14</i>	33:7 38:6	61:11 62:4
68:12, 18 75:10	111:2 120:5	59:12 61:2	148: <i>13</i> 159: <i>1</i>
85:22 93:19	234:13 264:4	68:20 70:6	261:4
104:11 108:21	Policy 93:22	73:18 77:2	pour 235:4, 9,
109:10 129:6, 9,	155:13, 15 269:7	132:10 194:2	10 267:10, 12
11, 17 138:3	polishing 290:9	203:10 268:2	268:3
146: <i>1</i> 150: <i>14</i>	political 291: <i>12</i>	post 121:15	pouring 234:22
153:20 154:2	poll 79:10	279:22	260:22
175: <i>16</i> 176: <i>1</i>	pontoon 26:9	post-comment	power 176:11
204:13 225:16	pool 117:21	115:16 124:4	179:12 182:5, 9,
231:22 240:14	pools 37:6	posted 103:1	12 185:6, 14
252:21 259:6	poor 211:5	posters 257:13	199:3, 8 200:3
260:8 266:14,	pops 33:20	post-hearing	250:19 291:15
20 269:19, 21	popsicles 38:14	6:14 9:16 19:8	powering 160:20
272:7, 10 285:2,	62:13 64:5	21:22 23:15	powers 291:11
8, 13	234:11 253:4	33:9 66:14, 18,	PPE 44:10, 14
plenty 210:7	295:10	21 67:13, 20	61:16 74:4, 8
plus 37:20	populace 39:11	71:12 88:15	122:2 138:8
pockets 166: <i>11</i>	48:1	91:1, 6 92:13	149:17 167:19,
point 8:10	pop-up 222:10,	117:4 120:19	22 205:2, 16, 22
12:17, 19 21:18	13 250:18	121:19 138:20	206:3, 13, 14
22:21 64:9, 22	portable 49:10,	139:13 163:13	207:5, 11, 14, 15,
80:6 115:17	14 50:3 200:12	165:8 190:20	19 209:7, 8, 18
126:21 133:12	250:10 255:5	193:20 195:9	210:13, 15, 16,
150:18 168:20	Portacool 60:15	196:16 198:20	<i>18</i> 213: <i>1</i> , 2
175:20 192:6,	portfolio 96:10	203:10 224:18	214:3, 12, 14, 16,
11, 14 194:10	portion 13:2	243:10 249:7	22 215:1, 8, 9,
198:6 215:20	14:12	284:5 293:2, 3	21 216:1, 3, 9,
217:22 239:15	portions 193: <i>11</i>	post-hearings	11 217:5, 14, 21
274:16 275:14	pose 180:8	220:5	218:4 220:13,
293:9 296:19	252:13	posting 102:19	15, 16, 21, 22
points 12:16, 21	posing 115:9	post-storm	223:11, 14, 15,
13:4, 9, 16	position 57:7	199:3	18 224:1, 7, 12
21:17 23:19	114:16 148:12	potable 212:9	225:20, 22
37:13 53:4	154:15 293:5, 6	potential 12:22	227:8 234:10
166:14 221:20	positioned 170:3	61:8 66:21	253:5 258:9
274:5 279:12	possessed 156:3	95:4 112:16	293:13
Point's 192:19	possibility 20:11	130: <i>1</i> 132:2	PPE's 221:5
Polar 228:4, 9	173:8 174: <i>11</i>	148:19 149:10	practically 248:9
		201:2 274:4	practice 192:3

			00.0
practices 96:22	prescriptive	prevent 50:14	primary 90:8
110:12 111:9	177:10 179:15	62:6 110:13, 18	132:4 199:14
113:8 192:2	188:12 190:18	166:1 178:17	218:4
209:3 255:20	282: <i>19</i> 291: <i>1</i>	180:21 181:1, 6,	principles
preamble	PRESENT 5:2	12 205:13	227:13
181:11 210:20	6:21 14:16	240:1 253:1	priorities 99:13
280:22	37:10 56:3	255:22	prioritizing
precedes 149:12	172:4 289:6	preventative	62:11
precise 186: <i>11</i>	presentations	96:14	priority 115:20
precisely 217:20	79:11	preventing	privately 142: <i>13</i> ,
preclude 147: <i>14</i>	presented 48:11	176:20 188:5	14
precluded 156:4	63:2 114:12, 14	190:3 193:19	privately-owned
predict 269: <i>1</i>	291:19 297:1	PREVENTION	140:12
predicted 53:17	presenting 8:5	1:10 4:18 6:4	proactive 160:19
predominant	11:7	12:9 13:8	proactively 95:5
156:8	presents 275:18	43:11, 14 107:5	probably 56:16
predominantly	President 93:21	109:17 110:7,	76:7 78:16
14:2 16:11	99:13 146:3, 11,	<i>17</i> 113:2 <i>1</i>	85:1, 2, 7 109:8
prefer 123:2, 4	12, 16 175:19	124:11 127:22	118:12 171:11
preferable 122:8	232:20 272:14	135:17 147:16	216:21 219:15
preference	PRESIDING	176:6 178: <i>13</i>	248:19
122:16, 19	2:3 6:10, 17	188:4 189:20	probity 292:7
191: <i>19</i>	press 18:3, 13	195:4 197:14	problem 32:8,
preferences	128:22	205:18 209:9	11 55:11 76:16
122:11	pressed 24:7	243:7 244:15	122:22 125:17
pre-job 201: <i>12</i>	presses 18:3	272:18, 19	136:7 138:8
preliminary	pressing 9:2, 3	274:11, 13	162:11 180:18
68:7 73:5	12:6	previous 61:22	256:10 270:11
premised 288:7	pressure 24:18	75:18	294:6, 7
prepare 160:1	pressures 97:19	previously	problematic
prepared 106: <i>1</i>	199:8	28:20 166:5	158:4
201:8	pre-submitted	179:8 272:13	problems 62:4
preparing 52:10	8:3	Prianka 4:14	278:1
55:22	pretend 85:13,	231:22 232:3	procedure
prescribe 96:4	14	239:14 259:9	125:10
130:18 131:4	pretty 32:15	266:16	procedures 6:22
prescribed 191:7	-	primarily 13:20,	7:10 86:7
prescribes 138:7	73:12 76:3	21 14:5 15:17	110:22 120:5
prescription	159:8 222:13	16:3 89:16, 20	126:3 148:5, 15
263:20	227:18 271:1	90:10, 20 130:17	,
	prevalent 172:1	,	162:4 183:17

246:21 247:15	products 11:13,	prohibitive	proposal 11:10
264:4 275:13	17, 19 226:16	59:14	92:22 181:10
278:16	228:4, 9	project 30:16	182:15 183:13
proceed 98:8	profession	51:13 135:1	185:6, 17 187:17
277:10	294:12, 18	250:14 256:14	proposals 177:1
proceeding 9:19	professional	260:19 261:3,	180:1
296:18	108:7	10 262:13, 17	propose 117:7,
proceedings	professionals	266:10 271:7	9 189:2
10:22 129:10	94:22 96:1, 7,	projects 184: <i>15</i>	proposed 6:4, 6,
process 8:20	17 97:10, 16	236:6 238:4	13 7:13 12:2
12:5, 10 28:6	103:3, 17	prolonged	13:3, 16, 18, 20
34:20 35:3	profound 28:3	211: <i>14</i>	14:10, 17 17:17
67:4 85:17	program 58:20	promote 10:19	19:6 27:15
114:11 142:3	83:11 94:20	45:2 189: <i>14</i>	29:16 39:15
148:10 164:20	177:12 178:2,	277:2	59:2, 11 62:2, 3,
232:14 240:5	<i>13</i> 180:20	promoted 128:4,	20 66:7, 8 70:4,
247:8 248:6	181: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>12</i> , <i>21</i>	7 129:3	5 86:2 92:3, 9
260:11, 20 290:2	183:2 187:2, 3,	promotes 273:3	94:8 95:10, 12,
processed 77:16	18 198:18	prompt 10:4	14 99:8, 17
79:15	200:18, 20	promulgate	107: <i>1</i> 109: <i>16</i>
processes 12:5	205:18, 20	67:8 286:16	111: <i>15</i> 116:9
15:3, 4, 8 17:16,	213:2 215:10	287:5	119:19 121:19
18 26:18 34:16,	216:7 264:20	promulgating	124: <i>14</i> 162: <i>3</i>
17, 21 35:4	276:21	179:4	176:5 180:5
processing	programming	proper 83:7	187:6 189:2
79:22 87:3	289:9	149: <i>14</i> 157:2 <i>1</i>	190:7 205:18
produce 28: <i>17</i>	programs 96:4	161: <i>4</i> 162: <i>13</i>	207:17 210:20,
29:5 92:5	124:13 131:10	211:6	21, 22 235:19
product 212: <i>11</i>	138:18 144:11	properly 95:6	236:9 244:15
217:21 218:18	147:20 152:5, 6	199:18 258:1	253:15 264:2
229:4, 6, 7, 8, 9	176:16, 19, 20	293:7	272:19 275:6, 8
235:6 273:7	177:2 179:19	properties 74:7	276:20 277:5
production 76:4,	188: <i>16</i> 190:2, <i>4</i>	100:9, 12 154:11	286:3 287:6, 21
8 77:10, 15, 17	194: <i>11</i> , <i>14</i>	property 78:22	289:19 290:22
79:16, 17 80:5	196:8 198:2, <i>4</i> ,	96:6, 21 97:12	292:13
85:5 89:2 <i>1</i>	6, 15 200:10, 12	107:12 108:6	proposes 177:10
90:5, 7, 19	206:19 212:21	property-by	179: <i>16</i> 180: <i>3</i> ,
productive 29:5	274:11, 13	97:11	13, 21 183:8, 13
productivity	275:12 276:9	proportion	187:3
57:14	279:2, 3, 6 283:3	208:13	proposing 15:3
	prohibit 264: <i>13</i>		121:12, 15 177:8

nronogition	nmotogol 200:11	183:20 189:7	04.12 102.12
proposition 292:5	protocol 290:11, 18, 21	190:5 191:11	94: <i>12</i> 102: <i>12</i> 108: <i>4</i> , <i>5</i> 160: <i>6</i>
proprietary	protocols 102:7	194:2 197:6	161:16 181:9
119:5 121:3	105:8 107:11,	203:7 216:13	201:4 228:12
243:16	16 118:22	218:17 223:16	270:11 274:3
	119:2 125:13	232:9 234:5, 10	provision 12:8
Proptech 100:16 propulsion		235:6, 22 243:9,	-
26:11	246:7, 8 248:8		88:17 91:13, 16
protect 15:10	276:6, 13, 15 prove 181:4	17, 18 248:18 249:16 250:5	181: <i>13</i> 187:6, 20 244: <i>15</i>
16:16 41:15	188:22	253:4 261: <i>14</i>	provisions
55:6 57:19	proven 98:19	262:3 265:2	17: <i>17</i> 86:9
58:18 82:1	178:18 189:5	270:14 286:3	176:22 178:20
98:20 100:10	275:12	295:10	179:5, 7, 13, 16,
130:10 133:18	provide 7:20, 21	provided 9:15	22 182:14
147:7 160:17	9:21 10:1, 4	14:7 29:8 33:4,	183:4 185:19
176:13, 16	15:19 18:1	10, 12 49:11	188:18 189:2
189: <i>15</i> 209: <i>4</i>	22:12 25:14	58:20 66:6	190:5 240:14
210:2 237:1	29:15 31:7, 19	83:12 92:16	264:2 269:9
263:15 273:21	32:7, 16 33:8	105:21 149:16	public 6:2 7:8
275:13 279:13	34:22 38:19, 21	157:14 158:13	10:16 37:10
protectant	39:3 46:6, 12	160:4, 5 168:18	39:19 109:12
184:10	49:2 50:3, 11,	170:7 174:9	122:16 231:17
protected 77:2	13, 15, 18 55:19	191:4 208:1	252:17 261:20
205:2 208:15	56:21 57:19	209:13 211:15	263:14, 16
257:10	66:15 67:6, 7,	214:14 247:14	282:3 287:18
protecting 62:7	10 71:11 81:2	250:6, 8, 9	public_hearing@
104:10 136:6	82:15 88:15	255:18 257:14	abtassoc.com
159: <i>17</i> 190: <i>1</i>	91:1, 4, 5, 9	270:9 282:17	129:7
protection	92:3, 7, 8 93:3	295:8	publicly 296:22
14:15 189:21	97:5 101:4	providers 99:5	publish 205:12
205:8 210:17	103:7 105:1, 4	103:7 210:10	published 6:6
272:15	110:14 113:13	provides 13:21	207:15 292:2
protections	115:5, 15	31:2 47:10	pull 196: <i>1</i>
184:10 257:9	123:20 124:3,	50:10 85:16	pulling 44:15
protective 19:7	16, 19 126:6	105:2 155:15	194:13 252:14
21:21 27:7	128:5 130:4	164:10 185:3	pumps 235:8
63:12, 13	131: <i>11</i> 132:2 <i>1</i>	209:22 210:6	purchase 68:13
181:2 <i>1</i> 204:2 <i>1</i>	160: <i>12</i> , <i>14</i>	277:18 278:8, 17	202:16 229:8
210: <i>1</i> 253:20	163: <i>5</i> 168: <i>3</i>	providing 14:14	purpose 6:11
258:11	173:11 176:8	25:18 64:21	180:1 186:19
	177:22 181:3	82:1 83:7, 19	purposes 123:6

push 206:11	43:10 44:13	284:4 292:7	145:14 150:14
236:15 278:11	45:11 47:1	293:20, 21	153:8, 11, 13
pushing 18: <i>12</i>	49:9, 16 51:6	questioned	161:9 165: <i>15</i>
put 37:15 41:1	52:13, 21 55:9	291:6	169:3, 6 172:19
46:19 47:13, 21	63:8, 10 65:20	questioning 9:9	175:8 190:12
56:13 69:13	68:5 72:7 73:4	questions 8:15,	191: <i>14</i> 194: <i>18</i> ,
82:20 84:10	84:14 85:20	16, 19, 21 9:1, 6,	20 196:5
85: <i>13</i> 119: <i>16</i>	86:1 87:19	10, 11, 14, 22	203:16, 17, 20
164:21 173:21	89:3, 6 90:22	10:1 14:18, 20	204:2 207:10
188:3 215:12	91:8 100:6, 13	17:6 18:2 <i>1</i>	213:6, 9, 13
216:18 222:17	101:13 102:10	19:4 24:6, 8, 10,	217:10 220:9
242:12 250:18,	103:10 104:19	13, 14 30:5	224:22 225:5, 7,
20 251:12, 14	107:6, 18	32:18 35:15, 17,	10, 12 230:6
252:3 253:6	115: <i>11</i> 117: <i>11</i>	21, 22 36:2	240:18, 19
261:18 262:10	118:12 119:7	39:20, 21 40:4	246:12 248:7
271:2, 3	121:7, 18	44:19 45:9	249:22 255:9
puts 57:16	123:15 124:6, 7,	47:6 51:2	258:19, 20, 22
97:19 238:11	10 129:1, 4	54:14, 16, 20, 22	259:3 264:18
putting 42:3	133:14 135:6,	58:5 63:4, 5	266:22 270:6, 8
53:18, 20 56:14	11 136:17	66:2 68:2, 5	272:1 278:6
216:18 261:21	137:21 138:19	71:6 73:22	284:9, 14, 15
294:16	139: <i>16</i> , <i>21</i>	75:3, 4 81:12	292:19 295:19
	151:22 163:18,	84:18 89:2, 6	296:1, 3
< Q >	22 171:4	93:5, 8, 11, 12	quick 75:1
qualitative	172:22 174:6	100:1, 2 101:11	139:2 <i>1</i> 154: <i>14</i>
207:16, 18	193:16 194:5, 8,	104:3, 4 105:17,	196:8 214:18
208:21, 22	<i>12</i> 195: <i>3</i> 196:9	22 106:17, 20	quickly 148:20
quantify 226:18	197:8 199: <i>1</i>	107:3 108:11,	214:1, 6 270:20
quantitative	202:21 214:21	12, 14, 15	quieter 16:21
31:21 207:16,	215:3 217:8	114:19, 20	quite 87:14
18 208:11	218:10 223:7	116:1,5 117:4	91:20 101:2
quantity 123:21	241:7, 16 243:1	118:13, 17	103:4 127:14
quart 282:18	251:19 253:17	119:10 121:9	162:19, 22
question 9:7	256:22 260:11	123:9, 11	196:13 212:3
10:3, 8 15:2, 11	263:18, 21	126:10, 11, 13,	217:21 227:21
16:2, 9 17:9, 15	266:13, 19	14 128:21	246:3
18:17 20:4	269:18 270:12	133:9 135:10	quote 164:3
21:15, 16 23:10	277:10, 16	137:12, 14	208:12, 15
30:7, 14 31:15	278:2, 5 280:5	138:15 139:5	209:1, 2, 5
32:19 34:13	283:11, 13	140:19, 20	210:7 211:13
40:10 42:20, 22		141:4, 5 144:8	

212:8 291:10, 12	201:20 262:22	208:18 241:2	ready 10:15
212.6 251.16,12	263:2	281:10	11:1 49:16
< R >	railroading	ranges 92:18	95:18 215:17
RACHEL 2:17	132:10 154:21	ranging 40:13	265:11 267:12
139:17 169:7	156:11 159:8	150:2 188:20	real 76:15 77:6
202:21 203:1	railroads	rapid 201:3, 4,	161:2 168:10
223:8, 9, 20	129:21 130:3,	17 202:2 288:21	
225:1 283:12	12 131:1, 9, 10	rare 7:19	242:11 265:12
radiant 17:15,	132:3, 7, 16	rarely 14:4	realistic 138:3
21 283:15	133:5, 18 134:4,	34:8 156:19	240:16
radiation 111:6	6, 12, 17 136:8	159:13	realities 148:7
radiative 206:6	140:13 142:6,	ratchet 57:4	149:2
221:2, 4 227:13	12, 14 143:7	rate 131:20	reality 162:10
radio 158:13, 18,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	147:22 292:2	realized 141:16
22 160:13 166:7		rates 290:15	really 23:5, 6
radios 149:21	148:6, 10 149:4	Rath 4:22	40:18 45:2
radius 146:20	150:8 151:15	285:2, 4, 5, 9, 14,	52:11 53:5
RAIL 4:2	161:15 162:2	17 286:20, 21	70:20 73:15
130:2, 5 146:17	166: <i>3</i> 171: <i>11</i>	291:5 292:21	76:15 78:8
159:18 160:10	172:9	293:3, 20 296:5,	79:15 80:1, 10
174:17, 21	railway 138: <i>3</i>	9	81:3 82:8
RAILROAD	146:13 147:1	ratio 218:20	86:19 88:6
3:18 129:14, 19	raining 51:18	219:21	90:9 113:18
130:13, 16, 19	raise 9:2	RCRA 27:8	116:22 122:12
131:14, 18, 19,	128:22 139:8	80:14	125:12, 18
22 132:1	276:13	reach 61:13	126:5, 6 137:7
134:10, 14	raised 63:2	63:17 197:14	145:6, 18
135:4, 5 136:12	67:5 189:9	246:17	153:16 165:2
140:6 142:5, 17,	239:15 241:8	reaches 185:19	170:10 171:22
19, 21 143:2, 14,	263:22 290:20	249:3	172:4 174:8, 13,
20 144:2, 9	293:4, 6, 9	react 160:3	<i>16</i> 176: <i>3</i> 193:7
146:18, 22	raises 55:9	reactivate	200:8, 9, 11, 19
147:9, 22 148:7,	240:4	216:11	216:3 218:5
16, 22 151:17	RAL 122:1	read 71:21	221:19 224:6
154:7, 17 155:9,	ramp 72:22	readily 60:17	226:9 228:21
18, 19, 21 156:6,	130:6 183:15	74:16 95:16	229:5 245:4
7 158:21	ramping 221:19	171: <i>19</i>	247:3 251:7
160:22 161:18	ran 209:14	reading 83:14	263:11, 22
162:5, 12	random 115: <i>14</i>	readings 95:17	265:9 278:9, 18
163:15 168:9	range 101:6	293:11	281:7 282:2, 15,
171:18 173:15	196:18 204:20		20 284:19

reason 49:10	recommended	recordkeeping	references
90:8 255:14	212: <i>14</i> 276:20	26:18 132:8	205:6 207:17
273:16 281:4	277:4	236:9, 14	referencing
283:5	recommending	245:17 274:19	196:12
reasonable	121: <i>13</i>	275:5, 9 289:10	referred 243:7
47:20 114:7	recommends	records 245:8	refill 60:14
reasonably	12:2, 15 13:18	recover 183:1	refinement 98:7
148:5 240:6	210:12	recovery 110:17	reflect 98:13
276:16	reconsider	Recreational	132:14 221:5
reasons 99:16	190:6 236:5	25:17 26:1, 2, 5,	227:15
124:2 <i>1</i> 172: <i>1</i>	286:15	8, 21 27:2, 4	reflective 150:5
237:10 238:16	reconvene 297:4	28:21 29:6, 22	reg 15:5 212:8
268:13	record 6:16, 19	265:16	277:17
rebar 262:10	7:18 8:2, 4, 10	recuperation	regard 21:16,
recall 196:13	9:21 10:21, 22	46:22	<i>17</i> 96:5 177: <i>15</i>
receive 6:12	11:5 25:3	red 40:13, 22	288:8
67:13 101:22	29:20 32:21	redact 244:6	regarding 14:17
128:3	33:9 36:12	redevelopment	43:10 59:1, 6
received 6:20	55:3 58:12	99:10	62:9 83:13
161: <i>13</i> 178:22	66:4 75:10	redo 261:4	101: <i>13</i> 102: <i>11</i>
214:5 222:1	93:19 108:22	reduce 15:7	110:17 162:17
recess 231:6	112:15 113:2	95:1 96:19	171:4
recognition	125:15 126:4	97: <i>1</i> 99: <i>14</i>	regardless 189:6
187:8	129:10, 12	111:19 180:16	regards 165:9
recognize 97:5	132:18 135:21	185: <i>4</i> 212:2 <i>1</i>	regimentation
110:18 180:6	146:1 153:20	221:7 224:7	99:3
181:1 276:8	175:17 215:19	226:21 227:19	region 36:20
278:13 280:8	216:16 217:17	290:9 292:3	39:8, 17 47:18
recognizes 156:4	222:7 223:19	reduces 226:11	56:17 78:14
recommend	225:16 230:14	reduction 206:1	112: <i>1</i>
12:3 255:16	243:9 245:18	reductive 292:8	REGIONAL
263:4 275:3, 20	259:7 266:20	reentering	3:18 36:17
recommendation	269:21 272:7	186:15	37:11 39:11
21:18 211:12	285:3 291:22 296:20	reevaluate 198:6 reevaluated	48:6 82:20 111:22 117:8
recommendations	recordable	197:16 198:5	129:14, 19
113: <i>17</i> 114: <i>16</i>	47:11 57:17	reference	146:18 149:2
115:7 116:7	181:22 187:7	155:15 215:4	regionalization
274:4	198:17 234:20	217:1 291:22	116: <i>14</i> , 22
217.7	recorded 9:19	referenced 125:3	regionally-based
	187:5	123.3	39: <i>15</i>
	101.0	1	

regions 13:10	130:18, 19	released 178:21	98:11 99:5, 7, 9,
83:2 111:18	131:3, 5 147:13,	290:22	10, 16, 20 101:6
region's 132: <i>14</i>	<i>16</i> 150:4 262:5	relevant 125:13	107:1, 7
Register 6:7, 8	275:17	132:9 139:8	REPAIR 3:6
regroup 78:6	regulations.gov	296:17	36:14, 17, 19
regular 23:7	10:12	reliable 233:11	40:8 41:7 48:9
110:15 222:21	regulatory	reliant 12: <i>13</i>	144:3 235:15
regularly 34:4	27:13, 15 48:13	relied 283:16	241:4
102:13	93:22 99:2	relief 159:7	repaired 74:15
regulate 95:6	114:10 122:22	relieve 291:18	repairing 39:7
131:1, 6 155:9	132:5 151:15	relocate 250:11	repairs 51: <i>19</i>
263:13 289:16	286:19 290:19	rely 117:9	158:8 241:6
291:14, 15	reiterate 107:7	148: <i>14</i> 182: <i>8</i>	251:11
regulated 27:5	122:20	256:12	repeated 234:4
76:12 79:2	reiterated 28:20	relying 111:7	repeating 232:15
130:12, 17	rejoining 127:21	remain 50:16	repercussions
133:1 136:12	REL 122:1	remainder 289:8	171:12
150:9 224:14	relate 31:20	remaining	repetition 7:15
263:1	related 17: <i>15</i>	106: <i>17</i>	replace 68: <i>14</i>
regulates 27:6	28:21 40:4	remark 16: <i>3</i>	211:9, 16, 21
131:8 134:2	112:6 121:19	remarks 40:6	replaced 74:16
177:6 179:10	135:19 148:12	41:4 99:21	214: <i>17</i>
regulation 12:3	156:6 160:9	232:16	replacement
13:4, 8, 18, 20	161: <i>17</i> 162: <i>3</i>	remember 9:22	211:12 212:6, 17
14:17 16:16	195:3, 22 199:2	10:6 20:4	replenish 212:1
29:16 76:13, 14,	200:22 201:1	21:15 142:18	replenished
19, 21, 22 77:1,	214:2 289:13	262:12 267:5	214: <i>17</i>
18 80:9, 10	293:6	remind 9:18	replenishing
83:3, 20 112:18,	relates 16:3	10:10 296:16	210:21
20 113:6, 13	45:13 61:11	reminder 52:11,	replenishment
124:20 125:17	233:8	12	209:19 210:11
151: <i>1</i> 156:7	relating 19:5	remote 71:7, 10,	212:14
163:4 168:4	relationship	11 149:6 155:4	report 132:2
169:20 212:5	31:22 32:4	remotely 271:5	264:9 287:19
224:12 238:18	51:10 52:19	removal 241:5	292:5
257:7 274:13	72:13	removals 262:14	reported 112:12
289:7 294:2	relationships	remove 206:20	131:21 136:1
regulations	282:5	removing 228:2	148:1 162:20
32:12 76:20	relative 37:14	rent 99:15	reporter 9:20
78:13 80:15	40:15 207:9	rental 94:4, 11	10:2, 22 16:22
113:11 126:2	relay 158:18	95:2 97:2	17:4 20:18

			1
30:12 41:21, 22	251:15 267:8	requiring 97:16	199:22 220:14
129:9 230:17	275:11, 17	178:5 289:3	241:2 278:16
reporting	293:15 294:3	290:1	responses 9:22
110:22 131:11	required 38:4	research 113: <i>18</i>	10:1 236:13
151:14 208:13	47:7, 9 55:13	205:3 219:2	responsibilities
reports 70:17	71:13 80:22	research-focused	96:8, 16 97:21
131:17 187:16	81:1 128:17	219:2	responsibility
represent	155:1 159:19	residents 95:2	152:19 257:6
110:11 154:10	160:12 168:3	97:2	264:7
171:11 232:7	185:18 186:6	resorts 109:21	responsible
representation	205:17 216:1	resources 36:21	25:10 114:8
70:10	237:13 244:20	48:2 113:4	148:18 239:22
representative	247:16 257:14	124:12 149:14,	257:4
69:16 281:8	293:17	20 151:17	responsibly 99:1
representatives	requirement	155:18 198:1	rest 33:10
8:14 259:10	41:15 47:12	240:12 275:11	34:19 35:2
272:12	66:13 122:22	276:10 280:9	44:9 46:22
represented	144:20 148:22	281:12	56:21 64:14, 16
175:15 204:10	190:5 191:2	respect 112: <i>15</i>	66:9 86:10
231:21 280:13	195:14 282:18	136:6 156:5	97:6 105:21
285:1 288:15	requirements	199:10 294:17	106:3 115:4, 8
representing	18:2 26:18	respectfully	130:15 138:7
11:12 36:18	27:7, 10, 17	112:19 133:5	147:16 149:18
37:2 94:1	43:14 48:15	respective	160:16 171:4, 6,
114:5 154:7	59:6 66:9	210:11	7, 12 177:5
represents	124:14, 16	respects 98:21	178:12 181:7,
109:19 129:20	132:6, 9 137:8	142:8	14 187:15
176:7 232:10	145: <i>4</i> 148:2 <i>1</i>	respirators	188:8, 10 190:8,
286:4	161: <i>16</i> 162: <i>3</i>	44:15	19 191:2, 3, 12
request 132:5	177:4, 10 180:3	respond 148:20	194:6 199:19
requested 231:6	188:10 203:8	162:22 182:16	214:15 237:3
requests 33:13	235:1 242:7	215:5	255:14 256:2,
96:14, 15	244:19 245:18	responders	18 257:13, 15
require 29:11	274:19 275:5, 9,	214:4 234:6	270:14 276:7,
70:3 100:21	11 276:7	responding	13, 15 278:15
111:18 149:7	288:14 289:9, 11	199:16	281:14, 16
151:7 156:7	requires 18:12	responds 180:7	restoration
160:11 177:1	38:3 72:10	response 10:3, 5	199:3, 14 200:4
184:8 185:2	180:18 188:7	39:2 52:12	restore 177:16,
187:20 188:15	208:5 244:21	137:2 <i>1</i> 148: <i>14</i>	22 178:8 182:4,
236:11 247:14	269:12	188:2 195:18	

9 183:11 199:8	review 6:15	216:3 217:18	239:18 240:6
200:3 241:11	128:1 136:21	220:13 223:21	274:3
restoring 183:6	187:3, 7, 18	224:3 225:4	River 37:3
restrict 49:14	189:3 197:5	229:4, 9 230:8	ROAD 4:12
restricted 45:6	198:15	231:1, 3, 16	231:12, 20
restricting 62:11	reviewed 183: <i>3</i>	233:14 234:7,	232:4 236:21
restriction 49:13	reviews 177:12	18 236:13	238:10 239:6
restrictions 9:8	revise 116:9	238:5 241:18	241:4 257:4, 5
50:17, 21 68:9	revised 294:4	244:11 246:14	261: <i>15</i>
restrictive 37:10	revisions 86:14	250:6 251:12	roadbed 159: <i>13</i>
44:10	revisit 240:14	252:9 253:14	Roads 37:21
restroom 73:14	riding 149:5	254:4 257:13	232:21 242:1
result 131: <i>13</i>	185:10	262:4, 9 266:5	roadway 137:5
184:9 185: <i>1</i>	Rietschlin 4:15	268:6 270:21	159:13 261:19
resulting 186: <i>13</i> ,	231:22 232:6,	271:21 272:3,	rock 166:21
15	19, 20 244:18	10 278:11, 21	rocks 157:12
results 60:7, 9	255:1 256:5	284:18 295:20	role 6:17 13:20,
157:8 179:6	260:10 262:8	296:2, 5, 12, 14	22 14:2 86:18
187:9 197: <i>17</i>	264:18 266:5,	rights 252:3	88:21 128:7
retail 188:21	18 267:6, 10, 14	rigid 57:16, 22	195:6, 12, 14
272:8, 15, 17, 22	268:3, 9, 22	179:13 180:13	214:11 278:22
273:15, 20	Right 17:22	185:4 276:14	roll 216:6
279:11, 14	18:16 22:1, 9	rigorous 286:9	rolling 168: <i>11</i>
283:14, 19	24:12, 16 30:18	RILA 272:16,	220:13
retailers 273:2,	32:2 36:4, 16	17, 21 273:1, 2,	Roman 264:2
6, 7, 18 274:7,	43:18 48:21	13 276:19	roof 16:18 79:5
10, 12 276:1, 5,	55:15 57:20	RILA's 273:6	roofing 16: <i>14</i>
10	68:20, 22 70:13	rise 107:10	room 14:21
retrained 183:3	71:17, 18, 21	156:2 <i>1</i>	16:20 17:7
retraining	72:1 103:9	risk 33:13 44:2	30:5, 8 39:22
177:12 178:4	108:8 123:7	107:19 111:19	42:9 45:16, 17,
187:2, 4, 7, 19	126:21 127:3, 5,	131:9 147:20	22 46:2, 9, 15
return 231:11,	15 129:18	159:22 161: <i>1</i>	51:3 60:7 63:6
13	137:11, 20	180:9, 17 187:1	68:3 71:18, 21
returned 101:19	140:17 141:5	188:22 189:18	81:13 89:4
returning 87:18,	143:13 145:13,	211:6 235:13	93:6 100:3
21 88:1 97:19	16 146:7, 10	289:18	101:1 103:19
102:5 182:17	154:3 164:9	risks 95:2	114:21 123:16
183:14, 20	165:14 175:10,	96:20 97:1, 9,	124:8 133:9
returns 28:6	22 188:1 204:5,	11 168:22	135:12 138:16
reverse 187:21	16 214:10		150:14 161:9

187:14 190:12	155:9 159:16	rural 11:22	26:5 29:2, 17
191: <i>15</i> 196: <i>6</i>	164:2 <i>1</i> 165: <i>4</i>	130:7 234:22	38:6, 17 39:4
213:9 216:21	168:4 205:12,	rushed 157:20	42:12 43:1
217:5 231:19	14, 16, 19	Ryan 3:7 36:11,	49:2, 3 53:18
243:2	207:17 210:20,	13, 16 39:21	83:7 84:1
rooms 33:21	21, 22 212:4	40:3, 10 41:8	94:18 95:1, 4, 7,
53:12	218:5 233:6, 9	42:2 43:8, 18	16 96:20 97:9
rooted 156:6	235:19 237:14,	44:20 45:12	100:22 101:9
rotate 251:1	15 239:17	46:1 47:8 49:1,	104:17 105:6
254:17 256:6	240:9, 11 242:6,	7, 8, 12, 18	109:15 110:5, 8,
268:5	19 244:15	50:22 51:5, 12	20 114:1, 4, 8
rotated 249:13	245:9 249:21	52:17, 21 54:13	115:13 118:22
rotating 251:6	251:3 257:18	55:5, 17, 21	119:2, 22 125:2,
260:18	260:3 264:2	57:2 58:4, 5, 7, 9	3, 6, 13, 15
Roth 200:17	272:19 274:4,		126:4, 6 130:16,
rough 72:15	16 275:16, 20	< S >	19 131:8
roughly 140:16	276:21 277:5	safe 26:14 29:5,	132:22 135:2 <i>1</i>
round 74:19	278:8, 17 286:4	10, 19 33:5	136:14, 15, 18
route 146:18	287:21 289:19	38:3, 6 47:12	144:10, 15
156: <i>17</i>	290:22 292:13,	48:17 94:10, 14	145:6 147:3, 4,
routes 174:21	16 294:4	100:12 113:2	19 148:19
routine 178:6	RULEMAKING	132:21 153:3	149:8, 12 151:4
routinely 13:3	1:9 4:18 6:6	174:18 192:11,	152:9, 10, 12, 19
19:3	7:11, 19 8:11	14 193:5	154:1, 5 155:19,
Roxana 207:14	26:22 27:3	205:14 217:12	20 175:19
230:20, 21	29:1 92:3, 9	233:11 237:4, 5	176:15 177:21
R-O-X-A-N-A	109:16 127:21	240:8 241:14	179:17 183:21
230:21	133:6 147:11	258:14 263:15	185:1 186:12
rugged 229:22	150:4, 8 176:5	273:22 276:8	188:16 190:6
rule 6:4, 14, 16	180:5 232:14	safeguards	195:5, 7, 14
7:13 12:3, 9	296:20	189:8	199:9 200:9
14:10 15:13, 16	rules 7:8, 11	safely 98:2, 22	204:10, 18, 21
17:17 26:16	67:8 80:14	110:9 165:6	205:2, 7 207:8
59:2 61:9 62:3,	143:16 169:22	172:3 178:10	222:20 225:22
20 66:7 68:15,	run 40:21	182:10 200:3	233:8 234:9
19 80:14 81:18	41:11 60:1	safer 134:5	237:14 242:4
94:8 95:12, 14	70:7 209:17	209:7 292:17	245:22 257:6
98:4, 18 99:8,	running 46:5	safest 160:18	262:6 263:11
18, 19 103:15	61:6	200:6	270:1 273:13,
111:15 117:1	runs 135:3	SAFETY 1:5	18 274:3, 15
119:19 132:17	143:21	4:7 6:3 16:15	275:10 276:17,

22 277:3, 20	227:6 257:22	scope 112:20	135:7 141:12,
286:9, 18 287:1,	258:7, 8	113:6, 7 240:11	<i>14</i> 163: <i>11</i>
9, 15, 16, 17	says 48:2 56:20	262:18	165:2 194: <i>15</i>
290:3, 5 291:6	57:17 68:20	screen 10:17	198:3, 17 200:8,
293:19	70:5 82:20	128:4 231:18	19 202:9 207:7
safetywould	211:20 231:9	seaboard 37:5	212:3 222:10,
155:18	245:9 264:3	seal 234:2	12 224:3
Saif 4:11	267: <i>17</i>	seam 254:16	229:15 237:17
204:11 214:20	SBA 262:21	seamless 260:20	243:14, 17
218:13, 14	SBREFA 58:21	season 96:13	244:14 249:6
219:11, 13	142:3 212:15	256:18	265:4 266:17
220:19 227:3, 6	233:3 259:10	Second 12:15	267:1 282:8
sailors 41: <i>13</i>	scaffolding 52:4	21:3 46:10	seeing 10:2
sake 294:20	scalable 111:9	52:13 86:5	23:16 54:1
salaried 106:8	Scalco 75:12	142: <i>19</i> 186: <i>4</i>	64:8 65:14
sales 273:9	scale 98:5	209:16 210:19	218:12 221:16
sample 83:11,	271:11, 13, 19	247:13 268:10	seeking 160: <i>19</i>
13 84:10, 11	scares 57:2	274:16	seeks 178:2
115:15	scenario 22:14	Secondly 98:4	205:12
sand 56:5, 10	157:19 170:5	186:8	seemingly
117:20	scenarios 173:4	seconds 72:5	156:2 <i>1</i> 160:22
sandblast 74:20	195:6 199:4, <i>10</i>	sections 51:17	239:11
Sandersville	scene 182: <i>19</i>	sector 29:18	seen 16:10
143:20	schedule 6:22	177:14 273:21	64:16 238:9
Sant 4:16	7:16 9:5 34:11	292:17	252:21 267:2
231:22 232:5	41:19 45:21	sectors 26:19	segue 23:10
236:20 241:16	97: <i>4</i> 247: <i>11</i>	232:10 288:12	select 128:16
243:20 244:10,	290:16 291:4	289:2	210:16
16, 19 246:9	296: <i>11</i>	secure 273:15,	selected 210:18
247:18 248:17	scheduled 33:22	22	self 78:21 292:6
250:12 252:11,	60:22 194:6	security 110:5	self-regulation
16 253:2, 9, 12,	255:14 292:7	115:13 125:2	112:4 123:4
21 257:11	296: <i>15</i>	sedentary 13:20,	self-reporting
261:12 263:6	schedules 29:9	22 14:2, 5, 7, 8	164: <i>3</i> , <i>4</i>
267:3 270:3, 17	33:5, 12 45:16	16:4	send 60:6 129:6
sat 252:17	97:3 149:19	see 31:12 43:5	sense 35:12
saw 83:13	246:12, 19	50:4, 5 59:17	49:5, 6 88:13
242:14	289:2, 20	66:20 72:2, 13	91:5 92:14, 15
saying 70:8	science 109:22	77: <i>19</i> 91: <i>14</i>	208:17 239:5
102:16 121:1	289:15	102:4 119:7	242:8, 19
191:3 225:2		121:3 122:15	

271:11 284:2	21:17, 18 23:19	shaded 95:18	51:4, 6 52:15,
sensing 71:11	26:16 27:16	137:22	18 54:12 68:4,
sensitive 65:6	33:22 48:10	shades 255:5	17 69:22 71:5,
sensor 71:8	66:2 70:14	shake 10:3	10 72:7 73:4,
sensor-based	71:4 95:18	share 11:9	20 89:5, 11, 14,
219:20	235:9 239:8	21:22 63:11	19, 22 90:22
sensors 100:21	271:8 280:16	94:11 99:22	91:10, 13
111:21 219:2, 3,	289:2 294:20	104:15 110:4	SHIP 3:6
7	sets 288:6	118:19 119:6, 8	36:14, 17, 19
sent 7:3	setting 239:6	122:10 165:8	40:8 41:7
separate 236:11	260:6	189:22 194:15	42:10 46:11, 14,
238:18 267:11	SETTINGS	196: <i>1</i> 198:20	16 49:21 50:1,
separately 54:9	1:11 6:5 20:3	215:16 223:15	4 51:18, 21
September 8:9	109:18 128:1	233:7 236:22	53:8, 22 54:4
296:19	133:21 176:6	243:20 273:22	shipping 130:8
series 262:13	272:20 275:22	292:12	ships 39:7 46:4
serious 26:15	276:4 279:11,	shared 198:4	48:9, 14 52:2
59:1 130:10	14, 19	sharing 199: <i>16</i>	53:1
147:8 178:17	setup 74:21	227:14	shipyard 41: <i>11</i>
179:20 181:6,	seven 42:10	Sharma 4:14	shooting 229:19
20 185:4 186:7	45:15, 17 76:7	231:22 232:3	shop 77:20
190:3	80:11 176:12	239:14 243:15	78:5 215: <i>1</i>
seriously 26:6	shade 16:6, 14	249:5, 8, 10	shopping 79:1, 3
29:3 133:14	49:10, 14 50:13,	252:2, 15 253:8,	shops 155:21
234:9 257:6	18 56:21	10 254:22	shore 26:12
273:14	110:16 134:13	256:4 260:13	SHORT 3:18
serve 93:21	138:2, 7, 9	265:12, 14	49:12 60:13
130:6 146:15	160:16 166:19	271:12 272:5	129:14, 19, 20
154:15	167:6 177:5	SHEET 4:1	130:2 132:20
serves 180:1	178:12 181:8	sheets 83:9	133:17 136:8
service 96:15	188:8, 10 190:8,	shift 51:15	140:12 142:5,
111:22 146:19	19 222:1, 3, 19,	56:17 59:19	12 143:2, 4
147:14 273:7	22 237:3 250:5,	60:1 64:4	146:16 150:2
services 148:22	6, 7, 9 251:16	240:13 289:2	172:6 240:11
177:16 178:1, 9	252:20 253:13	295:8	262:20, 22
182:4 183:6, 12	256:16 257:13	shifted 37:19	shortage 97:15
241:12	258:4 260:7	shifts 209:11, 16,	shortcut 294:19
serving 130:7	261:14 262:4	17 246:16	shortcuts 95:1
132:13 233:3	270:9, 14	SHIN 2:18	shorter 291:4
set 12:15, 17, 19,	278:15 288:19	30:9, 18, 19, 22	shoulder 252:8,
21 13:4, 9, 16	295:13	31:15 32:17	14

show 82:5	similar 15:18	245:5 252:16	283:4
209:6	67:5 105:13	255:21 276:8	slippery 166:20
showed 205:22	127:12 169:16	site's 264:6	slow 90:20
shown 150:6	182:11 185:14	site-specific	290:1
shows 99:6	195:12 201:20	242:3 245:8	slower 80:1
133:3 205:3	212:7 263:4	249:11	219:16 256:18
245:10	similarly 241:8	sits 72:1	slowest 77:13
shut 37:17	290:11	sitting 170:11	slowly 10:21
38:12 54:11	simple 84:22	235:17 238:10	129:9
246:18	188:7, 9	situation 74:20	small 25:11, 13
shutting 46:4	simpler 274:18	156:3 167:9	26:2, 7, 9 27:10
sick 195:8	275:4	171:2 191:22	28:9 29:3 71:5
268:13	simplified 177:3	193:15 200:10	76:16 78:2, 9
side 35:5 69:4	189:3 190:8	201:7 202:19	80:10 81:20
77:6 82:8, 9	Simplify 178: <i>12</i>	234:8 242:5, 11,	82:18 83:16, 17,
166:7 238:10	simply 54:7	13, 18, 19	19, 21 84:8
256:15 261:14,	132:9 136:16	252:12 253:16	85:3 86:21
18	153:1 235:20	situations	88:6, 13 97:20
sideline 235:17	single 61:18	106:11 163:8	101:6 110:4
sides 281:20	132:1 189:11	166:2 167:2 <i>1</i>	114:10 125:21
siding 11: <i>19</i>	sir 25:7 36:8,	192:7 194: <i>17</i>	130:2, 3, 7
signage 102:20	10, 15 40:3	195:9 199:6	132:6 134:5, 10,
signal 147:18	41:21 42:19	241:17 245:3	12, 14 136:11
significance	45:12 74:3	250:7, 21	140:2, 4, 7, 8, 9
291:12	92:1 93:4, 17	252:20 253:1	141:11 143:1,
significant	114:20 127:13	262:4 269:13	20 212:16
29:12 62:21	163:12 272:10	six 118:1 159:6	232:21 234:21
78:7, 11 97:22	sit 138:9 258:4,	size 112: <i>17</i>	235:16 236:3, 7,
99:6 111:11	5	139:22 189:6	10, 17 244:13
132:6 176:2 <i>1</i>	site 68:10	size-fits-all 78:8	245:6, 20
180:4, 9 183:5	100:18 108:4	112:18 179:11	259:10, 12
187:1 188:22	186:1, 2, 4	sizes 107:8	265:2 270:10
289:18	193:1 222:15	178:15 280:12	280:8
significantly	235:9 237:4	ski 26:10	smaller 59:3
71:3 77:14, 17	240:16 250:13	skin 210:2	172:7 222:15
signs 38:20, 22	254:3 258:15	218:20 219:21	232:21 233:10
97:5 102:12, 20	259:17 262:17	sky 200:1	271:14 276:9
110:21 181:8	sites 96:2	sleeve 210:1	SMART 4:2
193:9 216:8	101:2 119:12	sleeves 210:1, 3	153:21 154:6
silent 210:22	149:4 222:11	slightly 214:11	221:11
211:1	234:10 244:22		

smarter 66:19	sophisticated	132:12 201:10	199:15 200:16
SMART-TD 4: <i>3</i>	136:10 176:18	207:9 214:7	215:19 231:17
Smoking 234: <i>14</i>	276:5 279:9	221:19 224:8,	speaks 135:22
sodium 212: <i>13</i>	sorry 15:6 19:9	13 226:9 230:4	145:7 147:2
solar 111:6	24:2 30:12, 13,	236:18 251:4,	162:21 167:11
221:5, 7 227:18	18 42:2 68:17	22 262:9	special 135: <i>1</i>
sold 25:21	87:13 88:11	283:16, 18, 21	specialized
solely 170:8	89:9, 11 91:19	284:2	249:15, 17
289:11	102:10 109:3	spaces 42:13	specially 206: <i>16</i>
SOLICITOR	119:10 121:8	46:21 50:12, 16	specific 7:10
2:20 24:8	137:16 139:20	53:22 54:6	35:11 44:14
35:19 108:12	141:18, 21	280:18	56:7 66:15
126: <i>11</i> 140: <i>21</i>	218:9 225:1, 3	spare 235:17	67:16 71:12
153:9 172:20	249:8, 9 260:12	236:4	92:17 96:15
203:17 225:7	285:16, 22	sparse 166:18	102:3 103:18
258:20 284:11	sort 16:6 41:6	speak 7:12	107:14 121:9
295:20	45:19 57:10	10:21 32:2, 4	136:21 148:8
Solicitors 74:1	69:17 84:11	39:19 75:16	179:3, 5, 7
93:9	86:13 100:14,	81:11 86:11	191:5 192:18
Solicitor's 24:9	<i>16</i> 101: <i>4</i> 102: <i>7</i>	129:9, 22 161:7	194: <i>16</i> 196: <i>18</i>
35:20 54:17, 19	103:7 106:4	175:20 176:3	203:6, 9 223:13
74:2 93:10	118:9 167:19	192:5, 6 193:2	243:12 244:21
108:13 126:12	222:12 223:22	210:19 215:17	249:1 274:21
141:1 153:11	241:13 256:11	226:1, 7 260:8	283:13
172:22 175:6	278:9, 10, 20, 22	291:10	specifically 27:5
203:19 225:9	279:4 280:17	speaker 8:12, 15	43:15 46:8
258:22 284:13	281:1 282:7, 10,	10:18 11:3	55:14 56:19
295:22	11, 13	25:2 36:11	66:11 83:2
solution 81: <i>17</i>	sorts 95:19	58:11 75:9	85: <i>4</i> 104: <i>17</i>
210:14 218:18	199:4 250:11	93:18 108:20	113:8 125:20
219:19, 20	279:10, 14 295:3	129:8, 11	151:8 184:18
solutions 204:22	sound 55:22	145:22 153:19	187:22 196: <i>13</i>
206:4 221:16	sounds 20:21	175: <i>14</i> 204: <i>9</i>	200:16 261:18
222:9 226:6, 8	28:18 100:7	231:12 272:6	286:13 287:12
227:2	122:3 173:6	284:22	specification-
somebody 32:11	South 23:1	speakers 8:12	oriented 213:15
45:14, 15, 21	48:7 242:15	128:11, 21	257:2
69:10, 13 88:3	southern 13:1, 2,	speaking 10:16	specifications
134:19 137:1	5, 12 14:12 19:4	20:15 21:7	235:1 289:19
201:2 247:7	space 42:18	128:3 171:10	specifics 31:7
	54:10, 11		101:4 105:5, 12

120 15 150 (4 1 1 11	162 21 165 10	107.14.100.21
132:15 152:6	stakeholders	163:21 165:18	107:14 108:21
279:21	61:22 188:12	191:17 195:1	114:6 117:8
spectrum 292:15	277:6	197:11 205:5, 6,	129:11 144:18,
speed 52:5	stand 39:20	7 220:12	20 145:2 146:1
111:5 229:21	60:15 63:21	236:17 237:16	153:20 175:16
235:2	222:17	239:4 243:4	183:10 204:13
spell 230:18	standard 27:16	250:3 255:12	212:2, 16
248:4	46:5 55:14	258:9 263:7, 11	225:16 232:1
spelling 230:13	57:16 80:13	283:8 286:10	236:6 250:4
spend 96:1	97:14 98:3	287:16, 17, 18	252:5 259:6
185:9 273:17	99:3 107:1	288:14	266:20 269:21
spending 28:15	116:7 124:15	standing 18:10	272:7 285:2, 7
spent 59:8 73:9	152:17 161:16	50:20 78:21	stated 22:2, 3
184:1	162:3 171:16	125:10 126:2	115:3 138:2 150:18 185:9
sphere 293:22	177:4, 7 178:12	star 9:3	
spikes 52:8	188:7, 8 189:3,	start 33:17	272:13 287:19
Sport 208:3	4, 11 190:7, 8,	40:16 42:3, 4	statement 133:7
sports 229:19	17, 22 195:22	52:9 57:10	155:13 199:2
spot 35:8 85:12, 16	· ·	65:2, 4 128:10	215:5
	222:13 233:3	146:14 155:7	statements 8:8
spotters 290:6	236:10, 15	174:15 217:20	40:5 296:17
sprawling 96:8	237:1, 21	218:5 246:22	states 2:5
107:9	238:13 239:11	248:6 253:19	25:21, 22 26:1
spread 148:17	253:15 254:20	260:9, 10, 20	27:5 76:12, 20 78:21 110:2
287:13	267:7, 15	262:14 285:5	
springtime 247:4	268:17, 20	292:22	113:1 140:13
	269:9 274:7, 9, 17 278:10	started 63: <i>12</i> 90: <i>18</i> 192: <i>10</i>	144: <i>19</i> 145: <i>4</i> 146: <i>20</i> 168: <i>16</i>
squad 233:20 St 209:9		268:3	
stab 214:18	280: <i>14</i> , 22 281: <i>16</i> 283: <i>6</i>	starting 55:22	176: <i>10</i> , <i>13</i> 208: <i>11</i> 211: <i>13</i>
stabilizes 290:7	286:17 287:6,	57:8 61:5	265:15 286:8
staff 156:1	12 288:6 289:11		290:19
204:17	Standards	237:20	state's 212:5, 8
	17:13 18:20	starts 152:11	state's 212.5, 8
stages 181:18 staging 46:20	32:22 66:5	state 10:20	stating 78.10 station 70:14
50:6 52:4	84:17 96:4	11:4 12:8 25:3	71:22 271:9
stairs 46:18	101:5 104:8	36:12 55:3	stationary
stairs 40.76 stake 108:7	107:14 114:8	58:12 68:19	288: <i>16</i>
staked 222:17	116:4 131:5	75:10, 22 80:4	stationed 173:17
SIANCU 222.17	135:14 137:19	82:19 93:19	stations 38:15
	152:3 162:13	104:22 105:3	46:11 71:4, 10
	134.3 104.13	104.22 103.3	+0.11 /1.4, 10

95:18 149:6	226:2, 4	142:8 144:13	strip 79:1
271:13	stick 79:4	145:19 162:8	strip 75.1 strive 278:12
statistics 26:19	stipulation	strap 219:8	stroke 181:19
27:1 28:20	148:16	220:2	211:3
112:3 123:2	stock 174:13	strapped 166:7	strong 273:15
status 158:19	175: <i>1</i>	Strasburg	strongly 275:19
178:3 182:18	stop 40:14 45:8	142:20	struck 237:8
183:19	68: <i>17</i> 79: <i>16</i>	strategies 276:2	261:22
statutory 131:2,	156:16 170:6	streamlined	struck-by
4 155:17 287:4	183:2 184:18	189:8	237:12, 15, 20
289:15	191:7 192:12,	Street 251:10	structural
stay 72:22 97:7	14 193:5	strengthen 274:4	235:14 241:6
174:4 236:8	210:14 235:21	strenuous 14:4	261:2, 6
staying 206:20	267:8 290:1	97:4 183:22	structure 16:6,
steadfastly 149:8	stopped 169: <i>16</i>	186:10	14 106:5, 9
steam 89:20	170:4 260:18, 21	stress 39:1	240:11
steel 50:2	stopping 61:5	83:13 111:4	structures 49:10,
51:20 53:9	261:8	115:9 193:10	14 50:3, 18
170:11 262:10	store 72:9	205:4, 12, 20	53:9 107:8
Steelworkers	201:18 279:19	206:18 208:10	252:3, 10, 12
263:21	stored 173:6, 8	209:4, 9, 15, 21	struggled 66:18
step 44:6 71:20	stores 273:10	210:14, 17	struggling 30:15
87:6, 7 157:15	storm 38:8	212:5, 19, 20	stuck 35:8
Stephenson	178:7	213:1, 18	studied 117: <i>18</i>
3:17 108:21	storming 51:18	215:10 218:7,	124:22 125:8
109:1, 3, 8, 11	storms 177:17	<i>16</i> , <i>20</i> 219: <i>10</i> ,	207:22
115:2, 10	182:7 200:16	21 220:1 221:8	studies 117: <i>16</i>
116:13 117:11	straightforward	224:8 226:6, 11,	118:15, 18
119:2, 18 121:2,	188:10 205:15	21 286:10	120:21 207:13,
7, 10 122:14	248:21	287:21 291:18,	15, 18 209:6
123:10 124:1,	strain 148:10	21 292:8 294:8,	study 98:7
18 126:17, 19	186:15 240:12	14	99:18 119:4
steps 74:6	Strang 3:20	stressed 258:2	120:9, 12 190:9
157:12 178:11	129:11, 13, 16,	stretches 174:21	205:22 207:16,
188:1 263:12	18 133:8 134:1	strict 80:18	19 208:1, 11, 14,
Steve 6:9	135:14, 21	97:17	19, 21, 22 209:9,
STEVEN 2:4	136:7, 21	strike 237:10	13, 14
Stevens 4:10	137:21 138:6	281:19	studying 121:4
204:12 217:16	139:4, 15 140:3,	strikes 261:9	stuff 28:18
221:14 224:4	6, 16 141:2, 6,	striking 277:5	70:21 79:22
	12, 16, 18, 21	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	1	1	T
83:19 168:22	247:21 248:1	sunlight 16:8	198:8 202:2
style 96:8 107:9	suffer 273:16	super 21:6	207:20 274:1
subcontractors	sufficient 29:8	supersede	275:20 283:10
41:13	33:4 156:12	130:15	291:3, 4 292:5
subject 31:3, 17	160:4 186:6	superseded	supported
130:22 147:13	sugar 210:9	147:9	238:16
238:2	212:13	supervision	supporting
subjects 114: <i>14</i>	suggest 12:17	39:3 256:11	25:19 36:19
209:1	13:7 19:6	supervisor	151: <i>17</i>
submission 8:6	21:20 67:16	41:17 53:19	supportive
189: <i>17</i>	101:8 162:13	65:17 136:18	172:2 237:2
submissions	195: <i>13</i> 281: <i>1</i>	195: <i>11</i>	282:9 283:7
232:15	suggested 12:21	supervisors	supports 11:21
submit 8:7	14:13 18:22	38:22 39:4	94:15 114:16
9:17 19:7	suggesting 15:22	42:3, 12 44:4	176: <i>11</i> 179: <i>4</i>
23:15 43:4	suggestion 67:5	65:4 103:5	232:12 290:20
223:19 244:7	162:18	110:19 256:2	supposed 28:8,
296:16	suggestions	supplemented	12 281:7
submitted 7:17	66:15 138:21	114:12	Supreme 287:9
30:10, 20	suggests 13:22	supplementing	291:8
102:11 132:18	14:6 116:8	130:19	sure 16:21
164: <i>1</i> 195:2 <i>1</i>	suitable 189: <i>10</i>	supplements	24:19 28:17
197:12	212:9	227:10	33:15 40:10
Subpart 263:7	suitably 196:9,	supplied 64:19	41:8 55:17
substantial 99:2	21 212:9 257:20	74:12	65:15 83:4, 5, 6
substantially	suited 177:7	supplier 235:5	87:14 92:10
180: <i>15</i> , <i>16</i>	suits 43:20	suppliers	101:22 103:14
substantive	79:20	109:20 129:21	120:18 121:1
136:19	sum 287:22	273:8	124:5 135:6
success 112:4	summer 28:16	supplies 173:6,	164:5 165:5, 11
117:1 123:3	60:3 90:18	8, 18 174:6, 8	166:3 169:19
188:3	111:12 147:5	242:17	171:9 173: <i>14</i>
successful	152:14 171:19	supply 11:16	193:12 195:16
131:10 133:4	247:6, 8 255:2, 6	99:14 204:20	196:19 214:8
147:21 152:4	summertime	supplying 237:2	223:20 226:3
successfully	77:13 79:18	support 23:11	237:3 244:19
96:2 98:2, 9	80:1 90:17, 21	26:14, 21 27:2	245:12 246:3, 9
sudden 63:18	sun 73:2 111:5	28:22 37:12	250:12 253:2,
suddenly 69: <i>13</i>	170:12 210:2	88:6 116:2 <i>1</i>	15 257:9, 22
238:22 239:2	227:19	129:22 179:6	258:1, 3, 13
		182:12 197:6	263:14 271:6

277:22 280:20	table 40:12, 19	264:8 267:16,	69:18
281:22 282:13,	60:9 64:13	18, 22 268:14	target 46:8
19	tailor 80:9	273:13 292:6	targeted 189:19
surface 157:14	tailored 81:5	taken 12:10	197:14
surprise 170: <i>16</i>	243:13 244:21	149:13 188:13	task 160: <i>1</i>
surrounding	286:13 290:16	190:18 239:10	185:8 186: <i>13</i>
25:15	291: <i>1</i>	274:20 281:8	192: <i>1</i> 193: <i>11</i> ,
surrounds 155:6	tailoring 240:15	294:19	12 249:15
survey 135:7	tailorization	takes 34:4 72:4,	259:18 289:22
sweat 44:11	88:21	5 87:15 88:8	290:8
206:10 210:5	take 13:16	91:14 111:8	tasked 155:2
sweating 206: <i>13</i>	26:5 29:2 34:6,	152:19 157:19,	157:3 159:10
211:2, 14, 17	9 35:5, 9 52:4	20 158:3 167:1	tasks 59:7, 16
Sweat-wicking	58:1 60:13	186:2 <i>1</i> 187:15	96:12 98:14
206:8	61:15 63:19	233:5 234:9	136:4 148:12
swell 173:13	71:16, 17, 20	245:19	161: <i>3</i> 177: <i>14</i>
Swiss 244:2	74:6 77:4	talk 21:9 65:17	184:2 185: <i>11</i> ,
switch 20:22	79: <i>13</i> 81: <i>4</i>	127:15 171:15	12 235:12
213:15 246:4	88:9 92:4, 5, 7	187:2 201:6	266:1 290:4, 7
symptoms 38:20	98:12 106:15	243:4 246:2, 5	team 34:3 35:7
61:18 65:16	113: <i>11</i> 126: <i>1</i>	295:3	41:17 97:8
181:9 187:8	132:16 133:12	talked 15:5	184:15, 18
193:9 216:8	134:7 148:5	40:6 41:4	225:19 239:2
SYSTEM 3:21	149:18 150:18	63:12 81:17	242:1 290:1
16:14 20:2	152:21 157:12,	100:6 171:20	teams 27:13
59:15 68:14	<i>15</i> 160: <i>15</i> , <i>18</i>	190:16, 19	39:1 65:7 96:6,
70:16 71:6	167:19 170:15	191:3 201:3	11 97:7 108:4
72:8 74:10	171:7, 12, 15, 21	203:4 223:10	110:20 182:22
95:19 97:16	172:13, 16	225:20 248:18	team's 236:14
127:11 130:5	178:11 182:20	260:1 265:19	Teamsters
146:11, 16	185:2 <i>1</i> 186: <i>3</i> ,	281:13 295:2	209:21
147:18 151:6	11 187:13	talking 18:4	teamwork
202:11 216:22	193:6, 14	53:8 55:15	289:21
265:18, 21 282:6	201:14 214:18	86:19 88:2, 7	tech 225:22
systems 18:1	228:21 235:18,	89:17 169:1	technical 24:2
26:11 70:20	19, 21 236:1	217:21 242:20	128:2 129:5
184:8 276:2, 6	241:20 244:2,	246:11 259:14	175:19
279:10	16 250:15	tank 58:16	Technicians
	254:15, 18	69:4, 6, 12	94:21
< T >	255:22 256:5, 9,	tanks 53:11	technique 44:12
	12 258:2 261:9		techniques 290:6

technologies	111:3, 5, 8	tent 138:11	54:21 55:5, 12
217:3 226:6, 13,	119:12 120:22	167:15 222:13	58:8 61:22
19 280:7	121:6, 22 122:5,	250:18 251:12,	66:7, 11 75:7
technology	7 159:22 160:6	14	81:15 85:21
31:10 111:3, 7	164:8, 11, 20, 22	tents 222:10	93:16 100:5
206:22 207:6	165:2 170:16	255:5 260:7	101:14 104:9
214:3, 6, 12	196:18 197:15	261:14	105:20 108:18
216:9, 14	206:21 208:16	term 196:21	109:5 115: <i>1</i>
219:18 221:18	212:10 218:21	207:9	116:11, 15
225:21 226:8	219:22 228:11,	termed 67:11	123:18 126:18
229:14 230:4	14 229:10, 18,	terms 81:8	128:6, 11, 21
279:17	20 230:2 235:2	82:16 84:7	141:2 145: <i>17</i>
technology-	246:17 254:6	85:17 119:20	150:18 153:12,
enabled 207:8	281:2, 8 293:11	125:9 260:13	16 161:12
telephone 9:4	temperatures	261:7 280:16,	173:2 175:11
128:11	31:6, 17 40:13	19 282:3 291:16	190: <i>15</i> 195:2
tell 22:7 80:3	51:10 52:20	terrain 158: <i>1</i>	201:19 203:22
85:18 127:6	53:10 54:4	territorial	204:7, 15 213:8,
133:17 135:4, 8	62:17 102:17	155:16	12, 13 225:19
139:21 171:10	103:11 118:4	territories 96:9	231:17 232:2
172: <i>12</i> 188: <i>1</i>	134:8 139: <i>1</i>	territory 146:19	240:21 255:13
197:15, 19	147:6 169:15,	175:2	259:2 260:15
199:11 200:3	<i>17</i> 183: <i>15</i> , <i>17</i>	test 204:19	270:5 277:13
219:12 252:21	189: <i>16</i> 197: <i>13</i>	209:1 265:17	279:8 284:19
271:4 278:21	198:1 245:10,	testified 162:9	292:22 295:1
temp 31:13, 14	13 246:20	262:22	296:6 297:1
221:12	248:13 275:8	testify 10:20	testing 216: <i>16</i>
temperate 13:15	289:3 290:14	29:22 58:15	235:8 264:21
temperature	temporary 46:7,	63:3 128:12	265:21 289:9
12:13, 15 13:12	12, 19 50:2, 11,	160:21 272:13	tests 217:2
15:21 28:13	15, 18 222:19	277:7	text 210:22
31:3 37:21	287:11	testifying 55:8	textile 206:10
39:12 42:11	ten 73:18	testimonies	226:8 227:22
45:18 47:16, 22	tend 256:17	62:16	textiles 206:5
48:1 50:13	ten-minute	TESTIMONY	thank 11:8
52:8, 22 53:1,	64:16 231:6	3:1 6:12 8:1, 4,	14: <i>16</i> , <i>19</i> 15: <i>1</i>
13, 14 54:7	tens 99:14	13 9:12, 21	16:2, 19 17:6
60:5 62:8	148:17	10:7, 16 14:20	18:14 22:5, 13
72:15, 17 85:5,	tension 281:19	15: <i>1</i> 17: <i>14</i>	23:21 24:10, 16,
18 95:17 96:18	tensions 81:18	18:2 <i>1</i> 24: <i>17</i>	17, 21 29:21
102:13, 21		33:2 43:7	30:4 31:15

32:5, 17 33:16	10, 12, 15, 17	296:5, 8, 9, 22	87:4 104:14
35:13, 18, 21	154:3 161:6, 8,	297:2, 7	118:18 120:18
36:6, 9, 16	12 163:12	Thanks 17:13	122:2, 13 123:7
39:18, 21 41:3	165:12 167:18	23:9, 21 24:20	143:1, 12
42:19 43:9	169:3 171:3	36:4, 7 75:6	150:21, 22
44:19 47:1	172:17, 21	85:19 89:1	157:1 161:13
49:6 50:22	173:1, 2 175:5,	105:14 119:9	162:9, 11 168:2,
54:12, 13, 17, 20	13 190:10, 11,	123:8, 9 127:16	10 194:13
58:3, 7, 9, 15	<i>15</i> 191: <i>13</i> , <i>16</i>	175:11 213:7	198: <i>14</i> 201:9
63:2, 5 65:21	193:16 194:19	225:19 227:5	202:6 213:16
66:1 67:3, 21	195:2, 19 196:3	231:2 297:6	215:4 232:17
68:1 71:5 73:4,	198:21 200:22	that'd 194:19	234:18 237:13
20 74:1 75:3, 8,	202:20 203:14,	244:4	238:6, 14 240:3
11, 15 81:10, 12,	15, 18, 21 204:1,	theme 31:16	242:2, 8 250:9,
14 84:14 90:22	6, 8, 15, 16	109:20 112:12	11 254:8 255:2
91:7 93:4, 11,	213:5, 12 217:7	theory 171:20	256:17 257:21
<i>15, 17</i> 94:6	220:7, 8 221:21	thermal 69:8	260:7 262:2, 3
99:21 100:1, 2,	223:4, 5, 6	thermometer	266:12 271:19
4 101:10 102:9	224:19 225:8,	85:2	279:10 283:9
103:9 104:2, 19	11 230:16	thermometers	293:13 295:3, 4
105:15, 16, 19	231:3 232:2	164:2	think 11:1 19:3
106:16, 18, 19,	236:18, 20	thick 217:22	22:7, 17, 19, 20
21 107:6, 17	240:21 243:1, 4	thing 41:9	23:4 32:2, 8
108:8, 14, 17, 19	246:4 249:21	44:20 46:1	45:5 49: <i>1</i>
109:8, 14	252:19 255:7	75:21 83:15	52:14 56:2, 11
114:18, 20	256:19, 21	88:5 101:16	57:3, 16, 22
115:1, 10, 22	258:18, 21	124:22 134:15	67:1, 18 71:7
123:10, 14	259:1 263:17	143:9 144:17	75:17 84:10
124:5 126:8, 9,	265:13 266:16,	158:20 163:10	86:16 88:7, 22
13, 17, 19, 20	18, 21 269:17	172: <i>4</i> 198: <i>11</i>	91:13 100:14
127:9, 17	270:4 271:21	222:12 229:17	106: <i>16</i> 117: <i>1</i>
129:18, 22	272:3, 5, 11	230:11, 12	118:6 119: <i>18</i>
133:8, 11 135:9	277:6, 12 278:4,	234:7 243:5	120:9 121:10
136:17 137:10	7 279:8 280:3,	260:16 261:12	123:1 124:18
138:13 139:13	4 281:22	262:15 264:22	125:21 135:21
140:17, 20, 22	283:11 284:3, 7,	265:6 268:10	136:4, 7 145:6
141:2, 9 142:1	8, 10, 12, 18, 20,	271:17 281:9	151:3 156:10
145:10, 12, 17,	21 285:9 286:2,	things 10:9	163:3 172:7
<i>19</i> 146:8	21, 22 292:18,	43:21 52:12	194:17 198:2
150:10, 12, 17	19, 21 293:20	56:4 70:22	214:22 215:6,
151:21 153:5,	295:1, 18, 21	73:13 81:16	17 217:5, 9

222:19 223:20	threatening	28:15 34:3, 6,	155:10 156:22
229:12 239:10	152:15	18 39:16 48:7	159:2 177: <i>11</i>
242:10 247:18	three 9:3 12:2	51:19 54:21	178: <i>4</i> 179: <i>14</i>
249:5 257:11,	56:16 59:4, 18	56:16 59:8	182:20 185:4
12 258:12	60:4 69:15	72:9 73:7	188: <i>19</i> 191:7
259:16 260:1	70:10, 19 72:6	77:10 78:5	193:8 200:9
262:12 263:18	76:7 86:22	87:11, 16 88:12	235:21 241:9
265:1, 7 270:17	87:7 115:18	90:21 92:4, 6	246:19 266:7
278:8, 14, 17, 20	118:1 120:2, 3	98:5 100:19	267:7
280:10, 18	157:4 158:1, 6	106:4, 5, 15	time-sensitive
282:1 283:6, 9	166: <i>14</i> 184: <i>12</i>	112:2 123:8	255:16 256:3
284:4 294:4, 10	185:16 209:11,	124:12 126:1, 5,	timing 128:20
thinking 236: <i>3</i>	17 259:5 266:8	22 127:3, 20	260:19
251:9	295:7	128:5 129:2	title 27:8 71:14
thinks 100:9	three-and-a-half	133:3 134:21,	titles 241:3
Third 13:18	205:11	22 141:3	tobacco 234:14
22:21 76:11	threshold 12:13	142:22 143:12	today 6:11
111:2 209:16	61:12 111:15,	153:12 157:19	8:12 9:10
275:14	<i>16</i> 116: <i>16</i>	158:3 167:3	17:14 29:22
Thirdly 98:18	119:2 <i>1</i> 120: <i>11</i>	179:2 <i>1</i> 181: <i>3</i>	58:16 63:3
thorough 124:3	149: <i>1</i> 197: <i>15</i> ,	182:6 184: <i>1</i>	66:7, 11 75:7,
thoroughly	16, 19 283:4	185:10, 22	16, 21 76:2, 3
133:1	thresholds 62:8,	186:5 187:9	81:11 90:15
thought 37:9	9, 15, 20 69:11	191:5 192:10,	94:1 114:17, 18
55:21 122:4	120:9 164:22	<i>18</i> , <i>21</i> 193:2 <i>1</i>	115:2 127:8
162:2 234:3	246:17	199:2 <i>1</i> 200:5	128: <i>3</i> 130: <i>1</i>
281:9	threw 118: <i>12</i>	209:15 216:4,	141:2 153:12
thoughtful 270:5	thrive 97:2	19 226:17	160:2 <i>1</i> 161:7
thoughts 88:16	throw 238:6	231:5, 6 239:22	173:1 175:20
91:2, 17 92:11	thunderstorms	241:22 251:2, 5,	217:2 224:8, 14
115:6 117:5	152:16	21 255:6	225:11 226:8
122:13 161:19	tier 218: <i>18</i>	260:15 266:10	232:9 233:7
162:6 190:2 <i>1</i>	tiered 83:20	267:17, 22	240:22 243:5
191:8 217: <i>11</i>	TIFFANY 2:11	294:10, 11, 12	246:11 251:9
236:22 247:13	32:20, 21 66:2,	296:1, 22	259:1 260:15
249:16, 20 294:3	4 105:17	timely 7:4	262:20 272:13,
thousands 32:9	tight 172:8	times 28:14	16 274:6
178:7 182:18	tighter 172:8	32:14 37:19	286:19 296:6,
183:3 232:12	time 7:14 8:17	72:11 84:9	15 297:1
273:10 286:6	9:8, 10 10:2	90:5 132:21	
threat 168: <i>14</i>	20:15 22:17	144:1 147:4	

today's 9:14	tracking 27:9	181:8 187: <i>13</i>	239:20
80:3 90:3 94:5	28:15 53:16	188:2, 8, 11	traveled 182:19
232:16	102:16 132:8	190:9, 20 193:8	traveling 182:16
toes 137:20	178: <i>3</i> 179: <i>1</i>	216:6 234:10	traverse 159:8
told 253:11	183:19 184:9	242:7 245:19	Travis 269:22
tomorrow 297:5,	tracks 219:20	264:1 269:12	270:3, 22
6	trade 11: <i>11</i>	278:15 289:9	treat 132:13
tons 220:3	105:4 114:4	trains 154:9	134:7
tool 193:19	259:13 273:1	156:13 157:2, 4	treated 181: <i>13</i>
toolbox 246:2	trades 43:19	158:17 159:11,	209:10
tools 275:1	103:18	18 174:9	treatment 178:5
top 69:6 96:21	traffic 238:3	train's 158:7	181:3 187:9
158:20	252:6, 7 261:17	transcribed 9:19	treatments
topic 210:22	263:5	transcript 10:11	149: <i>15</i>
211: <i>1</i>	tragic 237:19	transcriptionist	trees 166:19
tops 90:16	trailer 58: <i>16</i>	219:15, 16	295:13
tornadoes	59:8, 10 250:15	230:13	tricky 166: <i>15</i>
152:16	252:1 271:8	transforming	168:9
total 11:20	trailers 68:11	273:5	Tried 233:18
73:6 269:5	train 95:15	transient 281:5,	trigger 18:22
totally 269:4	105:5 137:3	6	26:17 39:1
touch 224:5	147:16 149:6	transit 154:11	41:18 55:10, 11,
226:5 260:15, 16	151: <i>10</i> 156: <i>15</i>	Transitioning	16 56:20 65:1
touched 260:13	157:9, 11 158:2	184:22	69:9 86:8
touching 83:15	168: <i>11</i> 169: <i>15</i> ,	translate 82:22	139:1 187:18
tough 37:9	<i>16</i> 170: <i>4</i> 173: <i>4</i> ,	83:1, 18	247:16, 17
43:18 73:11, 15	5, 9, 10, 16	translated	279:12 288:6
78:6 83:18	174:15 264:3	128:14	289:13
294:10, 11, 12	trained 95:15	translates	triggered
towels 206:15	110:20 215:10	273:20	247:17 254:5
207:1	249: <i>14</i>	translation	triggering 52:7
town 130:7	training 38:19,	128:17, 19	119:20 149: <i>1</i>
track 28:8, 10,	21 44:3, 5 56:7	TRANSPORTAT	triggers 19:6
12 100:17	57:10 83:8	ION 4:2, 3, 12	21:20 22:15, 19
103:22 134:16	92:6 94:17, 20	153:2 <i>1</i> 154:6	40:7, 8 41:5
137:4 142:9	102:1, 4 105:8	231:13, 21	62:10 63:13
144:3 245:10	113:19 124:11,	232:4, 7, 11, 13	97: <i>17</i> 116:9
tracked 182:19,	<i>13</i> 151: <i>18</i>	236:21	117:6 121:20,
21	160:3 161:5	trapped 72:19	21 132:14
trackers 160:6	171: <i>14</i> 175: <i>19</i>	travel 61:16	245:15, 16
	177:5 178:12		

249:1 274:18,	242:9 260:22	267:19, 21	171:14 172:10,
21 275:4, 6	270:17	276:9 279:6	14 173:19
trillion 273:9	tube 85:15	287:22 290:6	174:12 183:22
trim 11:19	Tucson 98:10	291:13, 15	198:7 250:10
trip 174:22	Tuesday 1:14	two-man 290:6	255:22 270:20
truck 16:5	134:16 265:18	two-person	271:1 288:17
106:12 138:10	tugs 37:3	290:4	289:3
186:2 222:16	tunnels 239:2, 3	tying 289:11	Tyvek 43:20
258:5	turn 10:18	type 27:18	
trucks 184:2	17:4 99:9	28:18 44:14	< U >
202:10 250:21	128:8, 9 129:8	47:8 89:18	U.S 6:10 26:7
271:2	141:18 227:3	101:19 107:12	101:8 141:11
true 104:15	232:17	142:10 157:5,	176:7 212:18
162:8, 15	turned 233:17	19 177:7 178:2	259:12 273:1,
177:15 224:12	268:13	202:18 206:8	16, 19 287:9
truly 26:3	turning 32:13	208:6, 19	291:8
201:15 226:11,	turnover 195:8	218:11 234:21	U.S.C 130:20
20	turns 236:1	283:2	Uh-huh 89:13
Trump's 99:13	TV 293:1	types 26:11	140:5 197:21
trust 74:14	twelve 237:18	43:21 47:6	ultimately
234:19 282:6	two 10:12	85:10 89:14	221:17 234:13
try 7:16 9:22	22:13 59:19	90:1 103:16	240:4 277:2
10:4 20:3, 15,	60:21 61:14	107:18, 21	umbrella
19, 20 30:16	68:5 69:13	134:2 136:4	167:16 222:16
45:2 61: <i>1</i>	71:5 72:2 76:9	137:1 142:10	Umm 19: <i>11</i>
67:19 69:16	78:1 86:1 87:7	177:13 179:2	unable 9:13
70:10, 18 71:4	89:6 107:3	183:9 194:5, 17	uncertainty
72:12 76:17	110:19 112:11	206:3, 4, 13	160:3
87:8 151:10	115:18 118:2	210:15 225:21	unclear 183:9
173:22 246:19	120:3, 17	252:22 254:8	uncommon
294:18	121:20 122:10,	281:12 283:9	156:15 157:3
trying 46:8	18 139:2 158:9	typical 123:21	172:13
59:11, 14 61:6	166:10, 15, 16	173:12, 14	underestimated
69:14 70:21	167:4 173:16	Typically 31:12	68:7
82:5 93:1	183:10, 13	59:17 60:2	underlie 102:7
120:12 121:10	184:12, 19	63:15 77:21	underlying
150:21 160:18	186:11 206:3	85:7 90:2, 6	239:19
164:6 166: <i>14</i> ,	207:15 211:14	103:1 136:22	underneath
20 196:17	222:14 229:16	137:5 143:8	69:10
218:1 241:22	245:7 255:14	144:16 152:7	underreporting
	261:9 266:8	154:12 166:11	163:14

underscore	union 143: <i>16</i>	290:12	104:17 109:6
98:16	154:7 209:2 <i>1</i>	unsafe 276:5	111:2, <i>6</i> , <i>21</i>
underscores	263:22	unworkable	118:2 <i>1</i> 119: <i>11</i> ,
111: <i>16</i>	unique 12:4	95:13, 22	14, 15, 16
understand	89:7, 15 105:5	unwritten	121:11 139:10
33:10 60:11	112:7 188:17	245:18	143:19 152:17
61:21 88:20, 21	275:16 286:13	Upano 3:14	167:18 168:7
121: <i>1</i> 150:2 <i>1</i>	287:13	93:18, 20, 21	179:1 192:19
156:11 164:19	uniquely 25:18	100:5, 13	194:4 197:22
189:18 192:9	26:3	101:22 102:16,	198:2, 7 206:5,
193:8 200:2	unit 91: <i>14</i>	22 103:14	21 210:12
218:1 229:6	United 2:5	104:19 105:15	219:7 220:2
248:8 269:10	25:21, 22 26:1	106:6 107:6, 2 <i>1</i>	222:11 223:15
understanding	27:5 76:12	108:3, 19	224:1, 3 226:18
95:14 192:9	78:2 <i>1</i> 113: <i>1</i>	updated 205:9	228:4, 18 229:8
193:10 198:11	140:13 176:12	updating 124: <i>13</i>	244:2 250:8
201:13 215:21	286:8	upkeep 202:6,	251:4 252:7, 22
216:2, 7, 9	units 29:15	13	255:4 258:10,
239:12 240:16	31:10 46:6, 7	uploaded 10: <i>11</i>	11 265:16
242:21 247:19	202:4	UPS 209:20, 22	279:12 281:4
248:11 263:9	universal 26:17	210:9	useful 180: <i>1</i>
understands	216:22	upstate 146:22	229:9
58:18	unmute 128:8, 9,	urge 99:17	USTelecom
undersupply	12 129:3	112:19 113:11	177:19
99:11	unnecessarily	150:7 275:15	usually 40:14
underwater	14:10 150:3	286:14	72:22 143:14, 18
215:12	unnecessary	urged 164:2	Utility 177:20
undue 7:15	27:15 95:22	urges 177:3	182:5 201:10,
115:5, 9	97:19 107:1	190:6 276:19	16 202:7, 15
unduly 98:19	135:17 185:2	urging 177:21	utilization 111:3
151:2	274:14 277:1	use 9:16 11:16	utilize 62:8
unexpected	290:18	29:14 40:8, 12,	74:18 115:12
156:18	unpaid 106:5	16 41:6 43:20	223:13
unfortunately	291:21 292:9	49:14 50:2, 21	utilized 110: <i>12</i>
155:10 156:20	unplanned	52:1 53:14	utilizing 98:20
159:12 168:3	156:16 182:7	56:6 57:3 58:1	
235:16	unquote 209:6	60:5, 17 67:10,	< V >
Uniform 261:17	210:9 211:19	11 70:20 74:4	vacation 27:21
263:5 290:11, 21	unrealistic 28:19	78:17 79:7	28:3, 7 57:13
unintended	unreasonable	80:19, 20 85:2,	78:4 88:4 97:19
112:17		14 96:17	

	1		
vague 61:18	vast 132:15	VIRGINIA 3:6	80:19, 20 81:10,
196:22	140:11 291:11	36:14, 16, 19	21 82:6 83:5, 6
vaguely 100:17	vehicle 149:5	37:13 40:8 41:6	105:19 107:7
valuable 14: <i>15</i>	159: <i>13</i> 186: <i>5</i>	visible 221:6	120:18, 22
value-added	202:2	227:16	135:8 151:16
11:17	vehicles 149: <i>18</i>	voice 16:20	155:7 164: <i>4</i>
valued 98:20	185:11	30:16	170:20 180:3
vapor 43:12, 15	ventilation 27:7	volume 6:7	200:2 213:22
220:15	46:2, 6, 7, 9	17:5 20:2	221:15 226:5
variability	50:12, 15 69:5	211:19 252:6	231:18 237:1
59:13 69:1	79:3 85:12, 16	voluntary 60:2	242:12 244:16,
177:14	91:11 276:2	292:6	17 246:4 256:4
variable 60:2	295:2	vomits 187:13	261:12 274:5
96:13 249:11	vents 72:20	vortex 64:20	277:2 278:3
variables	venture 146:22	74:10	280:8 282:8, 13
167:10 270:22	verbal 10: <i>1</i>	vulnerable	283:2 286:22
variation 16:10	43:7 103:5	189:21 264:12	wanted 22: <i>1</i>
69:4 116:6	109:5		45:11 56:3
260:2	version 219: <i>1</i>	< W >	67:3 92:10
variations 31:3,	263:8	Wadsworth	118: <i>13</i> 162: <i>5</i>
16 69:12 98:15	versus 76:19	292:2, 4	168:18 173:2
113:12 145:3	100:22 122:5	Wage 291:17	270:7
varied 49:19	192:20	wait 255:2	wanting 283:5
53:4 132:13	vessels 61:4	waiting 254:12	wants 27:22
varies 72:17	Vest 208:3, 4	walk 96:11	28:2 76:5
295:6	209:1 215:11	157:9, 2 <i>1</i> 158: <i>1</i> ,	98:22 253:21
variety 26:8	228:1	6 159:1 166:20	warehouse
41:14 62:13	vests 206:15, 19,	251:15 256:9	279:19
98:12 154:7	21 207:2, 4	walked 159:6	warehouses
177:8 182:6	208:9, 12 209:14	walking 155: <i>3</i>	49:20 53:2
207:6, 7 274:9	Vice 93:21	157:11, 18	276:4 280:18
various 79:10	175:19 272:14	158:8 159: <i>11</i>	warehousing
82:19 83:2	video 109:4	164:15 167:13	188:20
206:2 266:1	videos 171: <i>14</i>	174:16 256:13	warm 153:2
vary 53:1 59:7	view 156:3	walkway 166:2 <i>1</i>	234:17 250:14
73:1 112:1	239:10	walkways	warmer 30:22
116:10 148:18	viewed 187:10	157:14	295:10
185:13 197:20	viewers 128:18	want 11:8	warning 102: <i>12</i> ,
247:3 290:13	views 14:18	16:2 <i>1</i> 61:4	20
varying 159:22	259:16	70:20 72:2	warship 46: <i>15</i>
254:21	violations 112:9	75:15 77:1	warships 37:2

Washington	234:11	52:6 53:15, 16	welding 54:6
212:5, 7 285:10	watertight 53:8	70:14 71:10, 22	59:9 60:18
watch 50:20	WATSON 2:14	95:21 98:10, 13	62:12 64:19
water 33:19	42:20, 21 43:9	104:10 107:3	74:4
38:13 44:8	44:19 101:11,	111:22 132:15,	welds 61:2, 3
50:4, 9 53:6	12 102:9, 19	22 149:11	well 6:13 16:8
56:21 60:14	103:9 104:2	152:14, 17	18:8, 9 19:15
62:1 64:6	123:16, 17 124:5	235:4 256:17	21:9 23:19
73:13 77:5	wave 37:12	290:19	24:2 25:8
79:9, 12 80:19,	63:18	web 70:17, 22	44:15 58:21
21 92:7 95:18	way 11:1 39:9	285:18	59:10, 21 61:1
109:21 110:15	67:18 77:2, 21	web-based	64:21 65:2, 9
123:19, 22	78:3 79:18	59:15 171:13	68:16 74:21
134:13 149:16	87:8 88:5	Webex 9:2	76:3, 5 77:8
155:5 158:9	90:19 98:7, 8	128:13 129:1	79:13, 19 80:18
160:4, 12	101:7 116: <i>14</i>	website 7:1	82:4 86:16, 22
166:10 167:16,	119:16 124:18	Wednesday	87:20 95:2
20 173:19	134:4 138:6	134:17	100:13 102:2
174:11, 13, 17,	147:17 159:16	week 22:9	107:14 112:13
<i>18</i> 175: <i>1</i> 177: <i>4</i>	192:18 200:1	33:19 37:9, 20	117:19 124:18
178:12 181:7	216:17 226:9	57:14 77:22	135:2 <i>1</i> 141: <i>14</i> ,
188:8, 10 190:8,	239:21 245:11	78:4 87:15	<i>16</i> 142:8
<i>19</i> 196:9, <i>18</i>	247:1 252:3, 9	88:9 114:15	149:2 <i>1</i> 151: <i>3</i>
206:10 211:9	258:8, 10, 17	144:1 247:15,	152:9, <i>14</i> 176:2
212:6, 7, 8, 9, 11	259:20 262:9	20 248:4 249:2	177:2 185:17
227:22 228:5, 6,	270:21 271:18	weekend 265:17	194: <i>4</i> 195: <i>16</i>
13 234:11	282:1 290:5	266:4	206:11 207:2
235:22 237:2	ways 70:22	weeks 10:13	215:5 219:4
241:18 242:16	97:12 142:11	266:8	220:17 221:12
253:6 254:1, 2,	149:9 215: <i>1</i>	week's 52:10	223:1 229:13
7 255:3 256:15	217:4 248:21	weighing 237:12	242:4 243:10
257:13, 19	253:5	weighs 237:6	244:8, 19
270:11, 14	wear 77:11	weight 157:6	245:14 246:9
271:1, 7, 15, 18	132:12	welcome 9: <i>16</i>	247:18 251:5
278:15 281:14,	wearing 44:9,	88:16 106:15	257:11 261:12
16 282:17	14 79:19	139:14 198:22	270:8 275:4
283:3 288:19	205:22 208:9	242:10 253:21	280:1 285:14
292:3 295:9	213:2 217:13, 14	285:21 286:1	289:1
watercraft 26:10	weather 38:8	292:14 293:5	wellbeing 183:7
watermelon	49:21 51:6, 7,	weld 64:19	well-being 26:6
	12, 14, 17, 21	74:12	29:2

well-intentioned	Western 146:17	widely 97:6	55:1 108:15
130:9 147:7	149:3 152:15	101:2 218:22	126:15 153:13
well-known	wet 28:13	219:6	witnesses 7:14,
171: <i>16</i>	111: <i>3</i> 116:2 <i>1</i>	widespread	19 9:13 116:8
well-paying	119:11 120:22	182:13 189:14	196:21 296:15
11:22	121:5, 13, 22	wife 28:2	witness's 9:12
went 69:15	122:5, 7, 17, 19	233:20	17:3 54:21
214:13	229:18 230:2	WILES 2:21	Wolford 204:12
we're 11: <i>1</i>	293:11	140:22 153:10	women 159:17
15:2 <i>1</i> 18: <i>3</i>	we've 25:16	172:21 175:5, 6	232:12
19:9, 16, 17	34:16 39:7	203:18 225:8	wonder 33:7
24:6 30:13	42:15 48:6, 11	258:21 284:12	238:12 260:5
31:9 32:13	50:17 73:12	295:21	wondered
40:18 46:1, 4, 7,	74:16 82:6	willing 48:20	169: <i>14</i>
10, 12, 13 47:22	116:7 118: <i>15</i>	122:9, 15	wonderful 67:1
52:5 53:2, 3, 15,	125:8 142:22	194:15 243:18	244:8
16, 18, 20 54:1,	190:18 196:20	willingness 94:7	wondering 43:3,
4, 5 56:1, 4, 11	229:18 232:14,	wind 50:14	13 66:13 67:12
57:22 60:3	16 238:22	52:1, 3, 5 73:2	86:11 164:3
64:20 70:9, 21	251:10 260:1	111:5, 9 229:20	219:14 224:1
71:1 74:9, 20	277:15 281:17	235:2	264:7, 15
77:1 80:17, 18	283:16	window 56:16	wood 11:13
88:7 93:1	wharfs 37:6	64:2 164:14	12:6 38:1
114:7 117:13	whereabouts	windshield	word 57:3
121:13 124:22	97:8	262:1	245:14 287:16
125:5 127:2, 15	whip 277:22	winter 38:8	words 7:6
132:11 139:19	whipping 278:20	90:21	WORK 1:11
142:11, 13	White 25:13	Wireless 177:19	6:5 13:19, 22
194:13 198:8,	50:5	wirelessly 60:6	14:1 17:18
10 201:13	wholeheartedly	Wisconsin	20:22 21:12
221:16 226:9	94:11	243:8 244:6	26:14 27:17, 18
239:5 240:14,	who've 9:4	wisdom 98: <i>16</i>	29:4, 9 33:4
17 242:9, 20	265:19	wish 7:19 8:22	34:11 35:9, 11
244:8 246:2	wick 210:5	9:6 81:3 88:15	37:2, 7, 8, 17, 22
249:16 250:13	wide 31: <i>3</i>	172:11 296:21	38:3, 10, 11, 12,
253:14 257:17	41:14 69:15	withdraw 99: <i>17</i>	16 39:5, 14, 17
260:18 261:15	177:8 204:20	witness 7:22	44:16 45:16
263:14 274:7	207:6, 7 208:18	8:19, 21 9:1, 8	46:5 47:13
279:7 280:10	281:10 292:15	24:11 30:5	48:14, 17 49:15,
281:1 282:14	Wide-brimmed	35:21 36:1	19, 22 51:14, 15,
296:12, 14 297:3	253:8		16 54:4, 7, 11

56:10 57:7, 8,	13, 14 242:4	WORKERS 4:2	257:9 258:1, 2,
11 59:19 64:12	243:16 245:3	13:19, 21 14:1,	14 260:18
68:20, 22 70:6	246:13, 15	4, 7, 8 15:10	261:8, 19 262:5
76:5 78:15	247:1, 5 250:10,	19:7 21:21	263:12, 15
81:6 83:1 86:5	11 253:16	22:22 26:6	267:20 274:1, 2
87:4 94:9 97:3,	255:21 256:7	29:7, 17 33:2,	277:20 279:14
12 100:17	259:17 262:14,	11, 13 45:7	281:6, 18 284:1
101:19 102:3	17 263:19	49:11 55:6	286:6, 12
103:4, 12, 18	264:5 265:11	57:12 58:18	288:14, 18
106:12 109:17	271:6 272:20	62:7 94:10	292:2, 17 293:10
113:2 <i>1</i> 128: <i>1</i>	276:3, 4 283:15	98:9, 12, 20, 22	worker's 35:2
133:21 134:19,	288:10 289:4	99:4, 7 100:8,	180:10 206:20
20 135:16, 19	291:2	11 104:10	workflow 184: <i>15</i>
136:4, 20 137:1,	work/rest 38:15	106:2, 7 107:3	workforce
4 143:17 144:1,	41:4, 6, 16 42:4,	115:8 130:10	23:13 37:16, 19
3, 15 148:9, 13	14 44:5	133:18 134:5	38:5, 19 48:16
149:4, 12, 19	workable	136:6 137:22	52:10 94:16
150:5 152:11,	179:11 280:12	138:3 147:7	97:20 100:7, 11
<i>13</i> 154:9 155: <i>1</i>	289:20 292:16	159:10 165:20	133:20 147:3
159:3 165:6, 10	workday 90:1	176:13 178:8	201:11 210:6
172:3 173:4, 10,	workdays 73:9	181:8 182: <i>16</i> ,	273:15, 21
<i>12</i> 174:2 176:6	96:10	17, 18 183:3, 14,	275:7 283:20
177:13, 14, 16,	worked 50:5	17, 21 184:22	workforces
22 178:6, 7	131:22 148:2	185:9 187:19	201:5
181: <i>14</i> , <i>17</i>	153:1 154:15	189:6, 15, 21	working 16:4
182:4 183:2, 9,	166:5 179:18	193:2 199:6, 9	23:3 24:18
11, 22 184:1, 5,	184: <i>19</i> 188: <i>4</i>	200:5 201:21	25:10 41:13
16, 18, 22 185:7,	233:12	205:1, 4, 22	42:6, 7, 9 44:1
9, 10, 11, 14	worker 42:5	207:21, 22	46:2 48:17
186:2, 9, 10, 13	44:21 57:20	208:1, 9, 12	50:1 53:2, 3
187:9, <i>16</i>	59:12 65:8	209:7, 13	65:7 74:14, 18
188: <i>14</i> 191: <i>7</i>	134:16 137:5	210:11 211:20	77:12 83:18
192:8, 11, 14, 22	158:8 159:6	212:18, 19	90:16 94:10, 14
193:4, 11, 13	160:1, 17	213:2 215:6, 14	96:2 100:9, 12,
199:6, <i>20</i> , <i>21</i>	180:22 181:10	237:1, 3, 5, 7, 10,	20 101:15, 18
205:14 208:7	207:8 212:22	15 238:1, 6, 9	103:19 120:6
209:7 222:2, 4,	214:5 257:6	240:3 241:4, 10,	132:11, 21
15 227:10	267:22 275:10	14, 18 242:12	134:9 145:9
229:1 234:17,	276:22 286:18	246:10, 21	154:12 155:3
21 235:21	288:9 290:2, 5	247:4 251:1, 4,	159: <i>17</i> 170: <i>7</i> ,
239:9 241:10,	291:14	15 254:18	14 174:20

177:2 178:8	workspace 57:4,	265:8	270:4, 17
182:21 183:15	11	wrote 282:3	279:15 285:7
185:15 186:21	world 115:14	wrotes 208:22	year 27:12
187:12 189:15	170:5 227:8	www.osha.gov/	38:9 40: <i>17</i>
192:15 200:6	worn 293:13	heat-	59:19 74:19
202:12 206:22	worried 77:4	exposure/rulema	110:10 111:16
217:12 229:3	worries 24:4	king 7:2	112:14 115:18,
233:13 236:1	258:15	,	20 120:1, 2, 3
238:21 239:2	worry 10:8	< X >	149:3 200:17
241:21 244:3	57:15 77:3	xi 264:3	209:10 212:20
246:22 247:20,	worse 48:7		237:7, 20 273:17
22 248:3 249:2	218:4	< Y >	years 58:20
259:19 261:10	worsen 233:16	yard 41:12	62:15 70:19
265:20 271:5	worst 159:9	yards 155:21	131:15 132:1
284:1	wow 164:14	235:3, 6	136:2 142:3
workload 122:2	wrapped 50:5, 6	yarn 226:13	147:22 150:7
293:13, 18	wraps 255:4	Yeah 20:20	200:4 205:11
workplace	wrinkle 145: <i>1</i>	21:19 22:6	216:17 229:16
41:16 94:17	write 190:21	23:17 30:15	233:1, 12
98:6 105:6	257:7 277:17	43:18 45:10	237:18 243:22
112:8 114:1, 4,	writes 208:15,	52:21 55:4, 21	245:2 267:3
<i>8</i> 149: <i>8</i> 176: <i>17</i>	22	65:22 67:1	yellow 40:13
180: <i>16</i> 190: <i>1</i>	writing 115: <i>16</i>	68:17 88:14	Yep 58:4
205:9 208:18	124:4	91:21 102:22	yesterday 37:13
259:18 264:20	written 7:17	105:11 108:1	127:12 263:21
274:9 286:9	8:6 15:17 33:1	109:3 115:10	York 146:13, 22
287:14 291:20	58:20, 22 61:9,	116:13, 21	YouTube 128:18
295:3, 5	15 62:20 68:6	117: <i>11</i> 118: <i>16</i> ,	
workplaces	102:11 148:4	20 119:2, 18	< Z >
41:10 61:21	160:8 161: <i>13</i>	121:2 122:14	Z308.1 205:8
84:19 95:6	163:22 164:1	124:1 127:14	Z87.1 205:7
133:22 259:17,	180:2 191:18	138:6 141:21	Z89.1 205:8
20 260:2 274:1	193:17 195:4	162:1, 7 171:9	zero 64:11
276:8 277:3	197:12 223:10	194:19 214:18	ZOE 2:16
works 27:22	240:22 243:6	217:16 219:18	18:17, 19 84:15,
31:11 185:20	245:18 246:2, 6	221:14, 15	16 104:5, 7
216:10 227:9	247:10 249:16	230:20 244:2	116:1, 3 151:22
242:22 248:12	250:4 260:3, 14	251:22 253:12	152:2 163:18,
264:5	293:2	261:12 263:6	20 255:9, 11
workshop 103:2	wrong 84:11	265:12 266:21,	zone 53:20
worksites 65:14		22 267:4 269:2	

229:11 Zoom 79:10 zoos 109:22		