1. NSR's petition to permit expiration of the trackage rights in Docket No. FD 36790 per the agreement of the parties

is granted.

- 2. As discussed above, the trackage rights in Docket No. FD 36790 are permitted to expire, subject to the employee protective conditions set forth in *Oregon Short Line*, upon completion of the HST project, reopening of the HST, and resumption of NSR's movement of rail traffic through the HST.
- 3. Within five days of NSR's resumption of service through the HST following completion of the project, NSR shall file with the Board a notice to that effect.
- 4. Notice of this decision will be published in the **Federal Register**.
- 5. This decision is effective on May 30, 2025. Petitions for stay must be filed by May 12, 2025. Petitions for reconsideration must be filed by May 20, 2025.

Decided: April 29, 2025.

By the Board, Board Members Fuchs, Hedlund, Primus, and Schultz.

Zantori Dickerson,

Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2025-07751 Filed 5-2-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-01-P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

[Docket No. FD 36377 (Sub-No. 11)]

BNSF Railway Company—Trackage Rights Exemption—Union Pacific Railroad Company

By petition filed on December 26, 2024, BNSF Railway Company (BNSF) requests that the Board permit the trackage rights granted to it under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7) in Docket No. FD 36377 (Sub-No. 10) to expire under the terms agreed to by BNSF and the grantor of the rights, Union Pacific Railroad (UP).

As explained by BNSF in its verified notice of exemption in Docket No. FD 36377 (Sub-No. 10), BNSF and UP entered into an agreement granting BNSF restricted, local trackage rights over two rail lines owned by UP between (1) UP milepost 93.2 at Stockton, Cal., on UP's Oakland Subdivision, and UP milepost 219.4 at Elsey, Cal., on UP's Canyon Subdivision, a distance of 126.2 miles; and (2) UP milepost 219.4 at Elsey, and UP milepost 280.7 at Keddie, Cal., on UP's Canyon Subdivision, a distance of 61.3 miles (collectively, the Lines). BNSF Verified Notice of Exemption 2, BNSF Ry.—Trackage Rts. Exemption-Union Pac. R.R., FD 36377 (Sub-No. 10).

BNSF further stated that the trackage rights arrangement is intended to permit BNSF to move empty and loaded unit ballast trains to and from the ballast pit located at Elsey. Id. According to BNSF, it filed its verified notice of exemption under the Board's trackage rights class exemption at 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7), instead of the temporary trackage rights exemption at 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(8), because the trackage rights covered by the notice are local rather than overhead. BNSF Verified Notice of Exemption 1 n.1, 2, BNSF Rv.-Trackage Rts. Exemption—Union Pac. R.R., FD 36377 (Sub-No. 10).

In its petition, BNSF asks the Board to partially revoke the exemption as necessary to permit the trackage rights to expire at midnight on December 31, 2025, pursuant to the parties' agreement. (See BNSF Pet. 1, 3); see also BNSF Verified Notice of Exemption, Ex. B at 2, BNSF Ry.—Trackage Rts. Exemption—Union Pac. R.R., FD 36377 (Sub-No. 10.) BNSF argues that granting this petition will promote the rail transportation policy at 49 U.S.C. 10101 and that the partial revocation would be consistent with the limited scope of the transaction and would not have an adverse effect on shippers. (BNSF Pet. 3-4.) In addition, BNSF asserts that the Board has granted similar petitions for partial revocation to permit temporary trackage rights to expire, including petitions involving prior iterations of the trackage rights agreement at issue here. (Id. at 4.)

Discussion and Conclusions

Although BNSF and UP have expressly agreed on the duration of the proposed trackage rights, trackage rights approved under the class exemption at 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7) typically remain effective indefinitely, regardless of any contract provisions. At times, however, the Board has taken action to allow such rights to expire after a limited time rather than lasting in perpetuity, based on the parties' agreement. See, e.g., BNSF Ry.—Trackage Rts. Exemption— Union Pac. R.R., FD 36377 (Sub-No. 9) (STB served Oct. 8, 2024) (allowing trackage rights under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7) to expire).

Permitting the trackage rights to expire at the end of 2025 would eliminate the need for BNSF to separately seek discontinuance authority at a later date, thereby minimizing the need for federal regulatory control (49 U.S.C. 10101(2)), reducing regulatory barriers to entry into and exit from the rail industry (49 U.S.C. 10101(7)), and allowing for the expeditious handling and resolution of this transaction (49 U.S.C. 10101(15)).

Moreover, doing so would not result in an abuse of market power because the trackage rights at issue are solely to allow BNSF to move empty and loaded unit ballast trains to and from the ballast pit in Elsey for use in BNSF's maintenance-of-way projects. (See BNSF Pet. 2.)¹ Therefore, the Board will grant the petition and permit the trackage rights exempted in Docket No. FD 36377 (Sub-No. 10) to expire at midnight on December 31, 2025.

To provide the statutorily mandated protection to any employee adversely affected by the discontinuance of trackage rights, the Board will impose the employee protective conditions set forth in Oregon Short Line Railroad—Abandonment Portion Goshen Branch Between Firth & Ammon, in Bingham & Bonneville Counties, Idaho, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

This action is categorically excluded from environmental review under 49 CFR 1105.6(c).

It is ordered:

- 1. BNSF's petition to permit expiration of the trackage rights in Docket No. FD 36377 (Sub-No. 10) per the agreement of the parties is granted.
- 2. As discussed above, the trackage rights in Docket No. FD 36377 (Sub-No. 10) are permitted to expire at midnight on December 31, 2025, subject to the employee protective conditions set forth in *Oregon Short Line*.
- 3. Notice of this decision will be published in the **Federal Register**.
- 4. This decision is effective on May 30, 2025. Petitions for stay must be filed by May 12, 2025. Petitions for reconsideration must be filed by May 20, 2025.

Decided: April 29, 2025.

By the Board, Board Members Fuchs, Hedlund, Primus, and Schultz.

Aretha Laws-Byrum,

Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2025–07726 Filed 5–2–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Noise Compatibility Program for Oxnard Airport, Ventura County, California

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Acceptance of Oxnard Airport noise exposure map.

¹Because the proposed transaction is of limited scope, the Board need not make a market power finding. See 49 U.S.C. 10502(a).

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces its determination that the noise exposure map submitted by County of Ventura for Oxnard Airport is compliant with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements.

DATES: The effective date of the FAA's determination on the noise exposure map is April 29, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gail M. Campos, Environmental Protection Specialist, Federal Aviation Administration, 777 South Aviation Boulevard, Suite 150, El Segundo, California 90045. Telephone 424–405–7260

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA determined the noise exposure map submitted by the County of Ventura for Oxnard Airport, is in compliance with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, effective April 29, 2025. Under title 49, United States Code (U.S.C.) section 47503, an airport operator may submit to the FAA, noise exposure maps depicting noncompatible uses as of the date such map is submitted, a description of estimated aircraft operations during a forecast period that is at least five years in the future and how those operations will affect the map. A noise exposure map must be prepared in accordance with title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 150, the regulations promulgated pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 47502 and developed in consultation with public agencies and planning authorities in the area surrounding the airport, state and Federal agencies, interested and affected parties in the local community, and aeronautical users of the airport. In addition, an airport operator that submitted a noise exposure map, which the FAA determined is compliant with statutory and regulatory requirements, may submit a noise compatibility program for FAA approval that sets forth measures the operator has taken or proposes to take to reduce existing noncompatible uses and prevent the introduction of additional noncompatible uses.

The FAA completed its review of the noise exposure map and supporting documentation submitted by the County of Ventura and determined the noise exposure map and accompanying documentation are compliant with applicable requirements. The documentation that constitutes the Noise Exposure Map includes: "Exhibit 1—2022 Existing Noise Exposure Map" and "Exhibit 2—2027 Future Noise Exposure Map" which addresses the current and forecast NEM graphics. The

"Oxnard Airport 14 CFR part 150 Noise Exposure Map Update," dated September 2024, complies all other applicable narrative, graphic, and tabular representations of the data including airport description, flight track data, aircraft operations data, aviation forecast data, on-airport and off-airport land use information as required by 14 CFR 150.101 and 49 U.S.C. sections 47503 and 47506. This determination is effective on April 29, 2025. FAA's determination on an airport's noise exposure map is limited to a finding that the noise exposure map was developed in accordance with the 49 U.S.C. sections 47503 and 47506 and procedures contained in 14 CFR part 150, Appendix A. FAA's acceptance of an NEM does not constitute approval of the applicant's data, information or plans, or a commitment to approve a noise compatibility program or to fund the implementation of that program. If questions arise concerning the precise relationship of specific properties within noise exposure contours depicted on a noise exposure map, it should be noted that the FAA is not involved in any way in determining the relative locations of specific properties with regard to the depicted noise contours or in interpreting the noise exposure maps to resolve questions concerning, for example, which properties should be covered by the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 47506. These functions are inseparable from the ultimate land use control and planning responsibilities of local government. These local responsibilities are not changed in any way under 14 CFR part 150 or through FAA review and acceptance of a noise exposure map. Therefore, the responsibility for the detailed overlaying of noise exposure contours onto the map depicting properties on the surface rests exclusively with the airport operator that submitted a noise exposure map or with those public and planning agencies with which consultation is required under 49 U.S.C. section 47503. The FAA relied on the certification by the airport operator, under of 14 CFR 150.21 that the required consultations and opportunity for public review has been accomplished during the development of the noise exposure maps. Copies of the noise exposure map and supporting documentation and the FAA's evaluation of the noise exposure maps are available for examination at the following locations:

1. Federal Aviation Administration, Los Angeles Airports District Office, 777 South Aviation Boulevard, Suite 150, El Segundo, California 90045. 2. Oxnard Airport, County of Ventura, 555 Airport Way, Suite B, Camarillo, California 93010.

Questions may be directed to the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this notice.

Issued in El Segundo, California, on April 29, 2025.

Mark A. McClardy,

Director, Airports Division, AWP-600, Western—Pacific Region. [FR Doc. 2025-07722 Filed 5-2-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA-2013-0124; FMCSA-2014-0103; FMCSA-2014-0106; FMCSA-2014-0385; FMCSA-2015-0329; FMCSA-2016-0002; FMCSA-2017-0058; FMCSA-2018-0135; FMCSA-2018-0136; FMCSA-2018-0137; FMCSA-2020-0027; FMCSA-2022-0036; FMCSA-2022-0037; FMCSA-2023-0018]

Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Hearing

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of renewal of exemptions; request for comments.

SUMMARY: FMCSA announces its decision to renew exemptions for 17 individuals from the hearing requirement in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) for interstate commercial motor vehicle (CMV) drivers. The exemptions enable these hard of hearing and deaf individuals to continue to operate CMVs in interstate commerce.

DATES: Each group of renewed exemptions were applicable on the dates stated in the discussions below and will expire on the dates provided below. Comments must be received on or before June 4, 2025.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by the Federal Docket Management System Docket No. FMCSA-2013-0124, Docket No. FMCSA-2014-0103, Docket No. FMCSA-2014-0106, Docket No. FMCSA-2014-0385, Docket No. FMCSA-2015-0329, Docket No. FMCSA-2016-0002, Docket No. FMCSA-2017-0058, Docket No. FMCSA-2018-0135, Docket No. FMCSA-2018-0136, Docket No. FMCSA-2018-0137, Docket No. FMCSA-2020-0027, Docket No. FMCSA-2020-0027, Docket No. FMCSA-2022-0036, Docket No.