EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT IMPLEMENTING REGULATIONS

BIPARTISAN PERMITTING REFORM IMPLEMENTATION NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

+ + + + +

VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING

SATURDAY AUGUST 26, 2023

+ + + + +

The Meeting was convened via Videoconference, at 1:00 p.m. EDT, Jomar Maldonado, Director for NEPA at the White House Council on Environmental Quality, facilitating.

PRESENT

JOMAR MALDONADO, Director for NEPA, White House Council on Environmental Quality

AMY COYLE, Deputy General Counsel, White House Council on Environmental Quality

KATRY HARRIS, White House Council on Environmental Quality

MEGAN HEALY, Deputy Director for NEPA, White House Council on Environmental Quality

JENNIFER MOYER, Deputy Director for NEPA and Infrastructure, White House Council on Environmental Quality

SAM ROTH, Associate General Counsel, White House Council on Environmental Quality

EMMA SU, Policy Analyst, White House Council on Environmental Quality

COMMENTERS PRESENT

MARIANNE AMBROSE
JULIA BROOKS-GOODEIN
STEPHEN BUCKLEY
SHARON FRIEDMAN
JASON HILL
RON LAMB
SHAINA OLIVER
MADHAVAN PALLAN
MINDY SEAL
BOB STERN, Save Long Beach Island, Inc.
LYMAN WELCH

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1:04 p.m.

MR. MALDONADO: Good afternoon, everyone, and thank you all for joining today's public meeting on CEQ's proposed rule revising the implementation regulations on the National Environmental Policy Act.

My name is Jomar Maldonado. I am the Director for NEPA over at the White House Council on Environmental Quality. On behalf of the Council on Environmental Quality, CEQ, I want to thank you for taking some of your time today to join us and share your input on this rule.

This is the first of our four public listening sessions on the Bipartisan Permitting Reform Implementation proposed rulemaking, which will happen all during the public comment period. Today's public listening session is an opportunity for us to receive feedback on this proposed rule.

I'm joined today by several of my colleagues at CEQ, who I would like to introduce.

1 We have Megan Healy, Amy Coyle, Sam Roth, Emma 2 Su, Jennifer Moyer, and Katry Harris. 3 To ensure that we fully capture 4 comments, today's session is being recorded, and 5 it will be transcribed as well. A few quick notes about Zoom. We do not have a chat function 6 7 here in this Zoom environment. It is going to be 8 recorded, and the transcript will be posted 9 online publicly. 10 Please change your Zoom name to the 11 first and last names that you've registered with if it has not been automatically populated. 12 And 13 I see that a lot of you have done that. 14 turn on live closed captioning by clicking on 15 Show Captions. It's a button labeled CC in the 16 Meeting Controls toolbar. 17 Now I'm going to hand it over to our 18 presenters, Megan Healy and Amy Coyle. 19 And you're on mute. 20 MS. HEALY: Too many mute buttons. 21 Apologies.

Thank you, everyone.

1 Jomar, can you hear me now? 2 MR. MALDONADO: I can, loud and clear. 3 MS. HEALY: Great. Thank you. Thank you, everyone, for joining us 4 this afternoon. My name is Megan Healy. 5 I'm the Deputy Director for the NEPA team here at CEQ, 6 7 and I'm joined by Amy. 8 MS. COYLE: Good afternoon. I'm Amy 9 I'm the Deputy General Counsel at CEQ. Coyle. 10 MS. HEALY: And we will be walking you 11 through an overview of our proposed rulemaking. 12 And then, at the end of this session, we will 13 focus on hearing from you and look forward to 14 hearing your verbal comments on our rule today. 15 As a reminder, we are recording and 16 transcribing. And the docket number is up here 17 on the screen for you, should you want to access 18 the transcripts once they're posted. 19 And I also wanted to note that if you 20 are looking to speak this afternoon, you will not 21 be able to turn on your controls until we get to

the open comment portion of this session.

some folks do have specific speaker orders that they've been assigned, and we will be running through that list.

And then, if you did not register to speak ahead of time, you will be given an opportunity to raise your hand and jump in at the end of the session as well.

So, with that, I am going to move us into the main part of our presentation, starting with just a little bit of background. I'm sure most of you are already aware of this if you're here and interested in NEPA, but the National Environmental Policy Act was signed into law in 1970. And it was only amended most recently on June 3rd, 2023, by the Fiscal Responsibility Act.

NEPA also established the Council on Environmental Quality, which issued regulations to help federal agencies implement NEPA across the federal government. Federal agencies then also issue their own individual NEPA procedures that are consistent with our regulations at CEQ.

And on the CEQ's regulations

themselves, CEQ issued implementing regulations first in 1978, which largely went unchanged for over 40 years. In July of 2020, CEQ issued a rule that we refer to as the 2020 rule, which provides many of the provisions from 1978.

Back in April of 2022, we issued a final rule that we refer to as the Phase 1 rule that amended a narrow set of provisions of the 2020 rule. And then, on July 31st, we issued the rulemaking we're here to discuss today, which is our Phase 2 for our Bipartisan Permitting Reform Implementation proposed rule.

Also just wanted to make a quick note about some terms you might hear while we're chatting today. There are three different levels of NEPA review. One is a categorical exclusion, which we also refer to as a CE; environmental assessment, which we refer to as an EA; an environmental impact statement, which you might hear us refer to as an EIS, just for awareness for folks as we work through this conversation.

And I am now going to turn it over to

Amy.

MS. COYLE: Thanks, Megan.

So I want to start just by giving you a little overview of the goals of our proposed rule. So, first and foremost, we are looking to implement the amendment to NEPA and the Fiscal Responsibility Act.

And then the other proposed changes fall under these particular themes: so, one, meaningful public engagement and transparency; two, improvement to environmental and climate change outcomes; three, improvement to environmental justice outcomes and respecting tribal sovereignty; four, informed and science-based decision-making; and five, efficient process and regulatory certainty.

So, as we move into the overview of the proposed rule, I just want to note that we are just providing highlights of what's in the proposed rule. The notice of proposed rulemaking that was published in the Federal Register provides a comprehensive summary of the changes,

the proposed changes. And today we're just going to highlight some of the key changes that fall under these six categories.

So, first, I want to talk a little bit about implementation of the Fiscal Responsibility Act. So, to implement these statutory changes, we have incorporated the process that allows agencies to adopt and use categorical exclusions established in other agencies' NEPA procedures.

We are proposing to clarify the roles and responsibilities for lead, joint lead, and cooperating agencies to align with the amendments to NEPA. We are proposing to retain the requirements for preparing joint environmental documents, including considerations for practicality and efficiency. And in that case, that happens when there are multiple agencies involved in a project or multiple decisions under NEPA need to be made.

The proposed rule includes the oneyear deadline for completion of environmental
assessment and a two-year deadline for completion

of environmental impact statements, along with page limits for environmental assessments and environmental impact statements.

The amendment to NEPA now includes an explicit requirement to include consideration of adverse effects of the no-action alternative. So the proposed rule includes this in the discussion of alternatives in the Environmental Consequences section of the Environmental Impact Statement.

The NEPA amendment also codified the requirement for agencies to ensure professional integrity, including scientific integrity, and use reliable data and resources. These concepts are incorporated in multiple places throughout the proposal.

In Section 1501.3, which is the determination of level of NEPA review, we're proposing to consolidate in the regulations the requirements related to the threshold determination of assessing whether NEPA applies to a proposed action, and then what level of NEPA review is appropriate -- EA, EIS, or CE, as Megan

noted -- then what is the appropriate scope of that NEPA review, and what context and intensity factors agencies should consider to inform the determination of whether effects are significant and therefore require preparation of an EIS.

So this approach incorporates the amendment to NEPA. It restores certain aspects of the 1978 regulations. And it maintains some of the 2020 concepts on threshold consideration. We have also added additional clarity on the roles and responsibilities of federal agencies, applicants, and contractors in the preparation of NEPA documents, specifically EAs or EISes.

I'll turn it back Megan.

MS. HEALY: I'm going to move us into some changes that fall under the theme Amy highlighted earlier of meaningful public engagement and transparency.

In several areas of the rule, we're proposing changes that support meaningful public engagement and transparency in the entire environmental review process, including adding

purpose statements to better describe the intent and goal of public and governmental engagement.

And when agencies develop public engagement and outreach strategies, several changes encourage agencies to tailor those strategies to consider the needs of the affected communities they're working with on these projects, including consideration of the primary language of affected persons and what the best format might be for a public hearing or meeting while also considering the scope, scale, and complexity of the proposed action.

We've also, in support of transparency, codified a long-standing practice for agencies to invite public comment when they publish a draft environmental assessment. And we're also proposing to require that agencies add a unique identification number for EAs and EISes to help the public track the progress of these documents from start to finish, including any related documents.

And the goal here is to help both the

public and other agencies better understand how to find related documents and how to land on the ROD, the record of decision, that was associated with the draft EIS that you might not be able to find easily through searching, so trying to help folks locate the documents so they can be well informed for the process.

Further changes that we're proposing would require agencies to identify what we call the environmentally preferable alternative at the environmental impact statement stage. And the goal is to have it be available and identified in the draft EIS so that the public has an opportunity to comment on it rather than it just appearing in the record of decision, which occurs toward the end of the review process, and the public does not have an opportunity to provide input on it.

We've also changed some requirements to reduce the burden on how specific public comments need to be. And we're also requiring agencies to designate a Chief Public Engagement

Officer to help coordinate and facilitate community engagement across the agency.

Next, Amy's going to take over.

MS. COYLE: Under the goal of improving environmental and planet change outcomes, in the introduction, Part 1500 of the regulation, we are proposing to restore separate sections on the purpose and policy of the regulation, including consideration of reasonable alternative that will avoid or minimize adverse effects, including adding an example of a reasonable alternative as one that will reduce climate change related effects.

The proposal also encourages agencies to consider the duration of effects when considering significance and discuss relevant risk reduction, resilience, or adaptation measures in the environmental impact statement.

In an environmental impact statement, the discussion of the environmental consequences would be required to consider disproportionate effects on communities with environmental justice

concerns as well as resiliency, adaptation, and climate-related effects.

Where an agency relies on mitigation as a component of the proposed action, the rule would require agencies to prepare a monitoring and compliance plan to ensure that agencies are appropriately relying on mitigation for their decision and to address concerns that mitigation measures included in agency decisions are not always carried out or monitored for effectiveness.

CEQ is also proposing to update definitions to include references to climate change.

Now I'm going to walk us through some changes focused on improving environmental justice outcomes and respecting tribal sovereignty. In multiple places throughout the proposed rule, we've added language to ensure consideration of disproportionate and adverse effects on communities with environmental justice concerns and adverse effects on reserved rights

of tribal nations in environmental reviews.

In particular, one area of note where these changes have been proposed are within a list of context and intensity factors that Amy referenced earlier that agencies use to assess the significance of effects.

We've also clarified that when agencies are considering the role of a cooperating agency, that special expertise, which can be used to have a federal agency become a cooperating agency, includes indigenous knowledge. We also identify in other areas of the rule that indigenous knowledge is a source of high-quality information.

And we've added references to environmental justice in multiple definitions, and we've added a definition for environmental justice which is in alignment with the recent Executive Order 14096. And as Amy touched on already briefly, the proposed role encourages agencies to adopt mitigation measures that are directed at effects that disproportionately and

adversely affect communities with environmental justice concerns.

Next, I'm going to talk a little bit about the goal of informed and science-based decision-making. To support informed and science-based decision-making, we are restoring direction for agencies to rigorously explore and objectively evaluate reasonable alternatives.

Where the regulations discuss the affected environments and methodology and scientific accuracy as a component of an EIS, changes emphasize high-quality information, including to describe reasonably foreseeable environmental trends such as anticipated climate-related changes.

Other changes in the rule are intended to ensure that agency decision makers are well informed and tie back the emphasis of professional and scientific integrity that was mentioned earlier in this presentation on statutory changes we've incorporated into the rule.

Back to you, Amy.

MS. COYLE: The last set of changes we want to highlight include those focused on creating a more efficient process and promoting regulatory certainty.

CEQ is proposing to remove provisions that create a litigation risk and jeopardize community input, including a provision that attempted to limit the ability of court to provide injunctive relief when there are violations of NEPA, even if a proposed action could threaten public health or safety.

As I mentioned at the top, we have revised Section 1501.3 on the levels of NEPA review to consolidate and provide clarity on the entirety of the process for assessing NEPA applicability and the scope and level of review, restoring the well understood approach of the context and intensity factors when assessing the significance of effects.

We are requiring schedules for EAs and EISes and encouraging coordination between

agencies, project sponsors, and applicants that will facilitate meeting the statutory deadlines. We are placing an emphasis on consultation and concurrence on schedules as well as early engagement with government agencies at all levels — federal, tribal, state, and local — where they have a hole in the action or project. And we have combined discussions and programmatic documents codifying long-standing agency practice for using these tools to promote efficiency.

For categorical exclusions, we are proposing to clarify the definition of categorical exclusion to consider both individual applications and applications in the aggregate for the category of actions covered by the CE and added clarity around consideration of extraordinary circumstances.

We're proposing to clarify that agencies can jointly establish categorical exclusions with other agencies, and we are proposing a new mechanism to establish categorical exclusions through a programmatic

environmental document or other planning process.

We are adding additional clarity on when and how an agency can adopt another agency's environmental impact statement, environmental assessment, or categorical exclusion determination with a focus on ensuring agencies are independently reviewing the documents that they are adopting and relying upon. We've also added a new section on innovative approaches to provide a new tool to encourage agencies to innovate in the NEPA process to address extreme environmental challenges.

And lastly, we have made additional updates to the section of the regulations addressing federal agency NEPA procedures, adding specificity on how agencies establish new categorical exclusions or revise their existing categorical exclusions and codify elements of CEQ's 2010 guidance on establishing CEs, such as requiring agencies to include procedures for reviewing their CEs every ten years.

And now I'll turn it back to Megan to

move up into the public comment portion of our meeting.

MS. HEALY: Thank you, Amy.

So, first, I wanted to make sure everyone is aware of how they can submit a written comment, and we will put this screen up again at the end of this session. A 60-day comment period is currently open and ends on September 29th, 2023. To submit comments, you can visit regulations.gov and search for CEQ-2023-0003. And you can also find all of this information at the simpler website of nepa.gov.

We'll now be moving into the portion of this meeting where we get to hear from you and your feedback on our proposal. Folks, when you registered, some of you indicated that you wanted to speak during this session, and so you should have received an email with a speaker order number letting you know at which point we will be calling on you.

When it is your turn, we will be elevating you to a panelist so that you have

access to turn on your microphone and your camera should you choose to. You will see a box on your screen that says that we're trying to elevate you, and you'll need to click Accept, and then you'll be moved over to open up your comment.

After you're done speaking, you will be returned to attendee mode. And after we move through those who signed up and are on, we will allow additional speakers to raise their hands to join in to be added as a panelist as well.

So a few technical questions. If we are elevating you to speak, there might be a brief delay between being promoted to panelist or being returned back to attendee status. Please don't disconnect. If we call on you and you want to divert your time to someone else, please state that person's name, and they should raise their hand so that we can enable them to just turn on their microphone and speak during that time slot.

If you have any technical questions, since we don't have access to the chat, please reply to your Zoom registration confirmation

email, and someone is monitoring that inbox and will try to assist you as quickly as possible.

And if you do encounter technical difficulties when we get to you, we will move past you to the next person, but we will circle back at the end of the list to see if we've been able to help you resolve your technical issue.

And now I am going to turn it over to Sam Roth to introduce himself and move into our dialogue portion.

Sam, over to you.

SAM ROTH: Thanks, Megan.

I'm Sam Roth, Associate General
Counsel here at CEQ. I'll be calling speakers by
name and by number.

Our first speaker is Kaitlynn Glover.

And, Kaitlynn, we don't see you in the list of attendees. If you're on the phone, would you press star-nine to raise your hand? And we will promote you to speaker.

Okay. Seeing no hands were raised, we're going to move on. But there will be time

at the end when we'll call on anyone who wasn't able to speak during their slot.

So, next, I'll invite speaker number 2, Bob Stern, to make a comment.

MR. STERN: Can you hear me?

SAM ROTH: Yes.

MR. STERN: I can't see you on the screen, but I'll just talk. Thanks for the opportunity to speak to you all. My name is Bob Stern. I'm leading the organization called Save Long Beach Island, Incorporated, in New Jersey. In a former life, I managed the NEPA affairs for the Department of Energy in Washington.

Our supporters are very concerned about what we perceive here as a very late-stage process for NEPA with regard to the offshore wind projects. And we do deeply care about the NEPA process and making it work. But in that regard, we're very concerned with the provisions in the rule regarding the timing of NEPA document preparation, which essentially is pushing that preparation towards the very end of an agency's

decision-making process.

We believe that that serves no purpose for anyone involved. However, we do recognize that such language was in the recent Fiscal Responsibility Act. But we do not believe that is the only language that the CEQ should be relying on now regarding timing.

For example, in the Fiscal
Responsibility Act itself, there was a new
definition of proposal which spoke to the agency
achieving its goals, considering alternative
means of doing that, and that would argue for
earlier NEPA document preparation.

So we believe the recent changes to the Act have created a conflict, really. And that conflict is reflected in the proposed rule because you have language regarding, frankly, preparing documents late versus preparing them early.

To resolve this, we would suggest that you consider more language than just what the final action language was in the FRA, and take

the plunge and define what final action means for purposes of NEPA document preparation.

I took a stab at it myself, and it could say something like for purposes of NEPA document preparation, a final agency action includes any decision by a federal agency that forecloses alternative means of achieving its goal and that has a significant impact on the human environment.

So that would rely on additional language besides that specific final action language in the FRA. And such a definition, we believe, would marry the NEPA document preparation to the actual decision-making process that the agency undertakes as opposed to pushing everything towards the very end of that process and creating, really, an artificial document.

And we also believe that --

SAM ROTH: Bob, thank you so much for your comments. We are at time, so if you could just wrap up.

MR. STERN: Well, that we would hope

you revisit this issue because as it stands, we believe that late document preparation effectively is gutting much of the National Environmental Policy Act. And this is a very serious issue. We hope you'll consider it in a different vein. Thanks a lot.

SAM ROTH: Thank you.

Now I'll invite speaker number 3, Stephen Buckley.

MR. BUCKLEY: Yes. Hi. Thank you very much.

Like Mr. Stern, I used to work on NEPA at the Department of Energy as well, although it had to do with the cleanup of nuclear weapons facilities, which people are more familiar with because of the Oppenheimer movie.

Anyway, what I want to -- and that was the fifth federal agency that I worked for as a NEPA specialist. So I do have some depth and breadth, the depth part being that I was actually -- my first job, the NEPA regulations didn't work -- didn't exist.

And a few months after I got that job in that NEPA office, my boss came in and gave me — hey, there's these proposed regulations. And I'm like, well, what's the difference between guidance and regulations? And I could see the difference was they were using shall in the regulations, and should was what was in the guidance. So they were going from should to shall, not suggesting it, but dictating, this is how you're going to do it.

Unfortunately, in the next 40 years, they didn't change anything in the regulations, and it was just a lot of should stuff, a lot of guidance. Well, you really should do this, but when your boss tells you to do it something else, and CEQ, some far-off bureaucrat, is telling you to do it another way, then you're going to do what your boss says. And it's not always consistent with CEQ. I say that as someone who's worked at five different agencies and familiar with other ones, too.

So just because it's on paper doesn't

mean it's happening. And the only way that something like that can happen is if there is something where you're not just listening to each -- or one person versus a NEPA compliance officer for one agency because they're going to tell you everything's going great, apparently. And now we know from 40 years of experience it hasn't been going great.

So I'm glad that what you're doing -I applaud everything that's going on. But what I
want to point out, too, is that there's lots of
people who are still working and can't tell you
anything because they might lose their jobs like
I did. After 15 years, I was fired for pointing
out problems at the Department of Energy's
environmental cleanup program.

And one year after I wrote the memo that my boss told me not to write, guards appeared at my desk, and I was escorted out of the building. So 99.9 percent of NEPA specialists are keeping their heads down and are not telling this stuff, and you're only hearing

it from the top people.

So, if there is a way like the public engagement group for each agency -- maybe there's going to be some interagency working group, but there has to be a place where people who are not yet fired and are newly retired or whatever -- they can tell you stuff that you're not going to hear through the traditional channels.

And if you keep doing things the same way, you keep -- you know, unless something changes majorly in the way the system is designed, it's going to keep going that direction. Thank you very much.

SAM ROTH: Thank you.

Next, we'll have speaker number 4, Mindy Seal.

Mindy, if you click the Accept button, we can get you speaking. If you're having trouble, you can just raise your hand, and we'll figure out how to deal with those technical difficulties.

MS. HEALY: Sam, it looks like she is

1 over in the panelist queue now. 2 SAM ROTH: Okay. 3 Mindy, whenever you're ready. Mindy, we can't hear if you're speaking. 4 5 MS. SEAL: Can you hear me now? SAM ROTH: 6 Yes. 7 MS. SEAL: Can you hear me now? Okay. Okay. 8 Good. I'm a Nevada rancher, and I wanted to 9 10 address you today. We're aware of the challenges 11 of climate change. Today I'm out stacking hay due to the delayed winter, so I do understand 12 I also worked for federal agencies for 20 13 that. 14 years, both in NEPA analysis and as a decision 15 maker. And I believe in the Act and its 16 importance. 17 Yet I must express my concerns about 18 this NEPA rule's approach. It appears to lean 19 towards a not-in-my-backyard stance. And I'll 20 take, for instance, the inclusion of the 21 reasonably foreseeable climate change related

effects in the proposed action's environmental

consequences.

2.1

This begs the question, how extensive will the analysis go? Will it compare domestic oil and gas development to countries with laxer environmental regulations? Or for livestock grazing permits, will the agency be required to analyze methane gas production? And if so, will this be compared to meat imports from other areas such as South America?

While such assessments may shift production elsewhere, the consumption won't decrease. The American consumer will still consume these products. It will just become cost prohibitive to develop them in this country, where we have reasonable regulations.

This rule proliferates the continued practice of not-in-my-backyard and doesn't address the climate change crisis as a whole.

It's segmented into a project-level outcome with no significant change to the environment.

Furthermore, the addition of the regulation rigorously explore for alternative

development is arbitrary. We already have the definition in place for reasonable, and that should continue to be the case. I worry that if this continues, it will allow for environmental groups, judges, agency folks to have to determine what rigorously means.

Finally, I urge the rewrite of this rule to align with the laws, not a particular agenda. Extending the time for public comment is essential to allow diverse voices to contribute. Additionally, beyond the Federal Register notice, I am curious what you did to elaborate on outreach for environmental justice communities to participate for input into this rule. I've seen stuff for the industries. I've seen stuff for environmental groups online. But I have not seen where we were inclusive to the environmental justice groups.

In conclusion, let's ensure that our environmental policies are well considered, balanced, and grounded in law. I'd also like to commend the group on a lot of the improvements

1 you've made, such as the CXs and the timelines 2 that were passed by Congress this past year. 3 look forward to a rewrite that can be implemented at the ground level for the multiple agencies 4 5 that are affected by this, as well as the American people. Thank you for your time. 6 7 SAM ROTH: Thank you. And now I'll have speaker number 5, 8 9 Julia Brooks-Goodein. Julia, if you will click 10 Accept, we'll get you set up to speak. 11 MS. BROOKS-GOODEIN: Hello. Can you hear me? 12 13 SAM ROTH: Yes. We can hear you. 14 MS. BROOKS-GOODEIN: Great. I'm an 15 advocate, and I'm --SAM ROTH: Julia, we can't hear you 16 17 anymore. 18 MS. BROOKS-GOODEIN: -- advocate for 19 climate change. I believe that it is very 20 essential for us to continue to fight for climate I believe a lot of initiatives and bills 21 change. 22 has been signed, and that all has been signed.

And I believe that the best thing for us to address this issue is to continue to let our voice be heard.

A lot of issues going on in my area, such as the power plants here where I am, they need to be, they need to be slowly be shut down. There's a lot of issue creation about clean air and our water based on some of those issues that we're facing in climate. Recently, we had code red and code yellow in my area.

And so, as an advocate, I believe very much that it's essential for us to continue to -- how can I say this -- continue to let our voice be heard to help our communities. Thank you for your time. Thank you.

SAM ROTH: Thank you.

Next, we'll have speaker number 6,

Andika Aditya. Andika, we don't see you in the
list of participants. If you're on the phone, if
you would hit star-nine to raise your hand.

Okay. We will move on. If Andika joins us later, we'll have opportunity and we'll

circle back.

Speaker number 7, Urvishkumar Mehta, are you here? Again, if you're on the phone, feel free to press star-nine to raise your hand, and we will get you set up to speak.

Okay. Seeing nothing, we will move on to speaker number 8, Lyman Welch.

MR. WELCH: Hello. Can you hear me?

SAM ROTH: Yes.

MR. WELCH: Thank you. My name is

Lyman Welch, and I live in Sanibel, Florida. And

I appreciate the chance to speak and comment on
this regulation.

I am here in support of the NEPA process and the regulations that you're proposing, and in particular the looking at climate change as part of the NEPA process and considering environmental justice issues as part of the NEPA process. I think those are both very important inclusions to this regulatory proposal.

It's very important that the public has the chance to participate. I believe that

the NEPA process and public participation leads to better results in federal actions, and I am glad to see the improvements to transparency and public participation that are included in this regulatory proposal.

I should also mention I'm a member of the Sierra Club, and they -- was the group that let me know about this opportunity to comment.

So I thank them for that.

I do want to focus on those two elements, though, the environmental justice and climate change components of the regulation. I think it's important that you legally support what you're proposing in these rules, as those two elements might be subject to challenge by other groups or people.

I took a look at the statute, the NEPA statute, and I found in Section 101 Congress seemed very concerned about protecting the environment for all, for everyone, for all Americans. And so I think the environmental justice component of this rule is right in line

with that statutory intent. By looking at environmental justice issues, you're ensuring that the community is not disproportionately impacted.

I used to live in Chicago, and there was a real division between the north and south side of the city. Environmental issues were prevalent among the south side of the city, whereas a lot of industry was moved away from the north side of the city. And it was very divided on racial lines.

And I think those types of environmental justice issues are important to be looking at when you're making decisions. And so I'm glad to see that in there, and I think it's well supported.

The climate change is a little more challenging, but looking at Section 102, I see that Congress is very concerned at looking at the science when you're looking at environmental issues. And climate change is well supported by science and should be looked at in all the

1 decisions. And so I support that part of the 2 regulation. 3 Sanibel was recently hit by Hurricane 4 Ian and devastated our community. And these 5 types of more frequent storms that are coming from climate change need to be considered in all 6 the decisions. 7 8 So thank you for the opportunity to 9 comment. 10 SAM ROTH: Thank you. 11 Next we'll have speaker number 9, 12 Brandi Crawford. Brandi, we don't see you in the 13 list, so if you're on the phone, press star-nine 14 to raise your hand. Seeing nothing, we'll move on 15 Okay. 16 to speaker number 10, Abdeeljalil Mekkaoui. Ιf 17 you are on the phone, please press star-nine to 18 raise your hand. 19 We'll move on to speaker number Okay. 20 11, H.E. Wilberforce Andrews. If you are on the 21 phone, press star-nine to raise your hand because

we don't see you in the list of participants.

Okay. Seeing nothing, we'll move on to speaker number 12, Elvis Zornic. Again, if you're on the phone, press star-nine to raise your hand, and we will get you set up to speak.

Okay. In that case, we'll move on to speaker number 13, Carolyn White.

Seeing nothing, we will move on to speaker number 14, Jill Young. If you're on the phone, please press star-nine to raise your hand, and we will get you set up to speak.

Okay. Those are all the people who registered to speak. If you haven't registered or if we had to skip you earlier and you'd like to make a comment, please raise your hand, and we will call on you.

And before we get to people who have not registered to speak, I'm just going to read again the list of names of people who were registered who we had to skip, just in case any of you are here. If you are here and you're on this list, please raise your hand, or if you're on the phone, press star-nine. So that's

1 Kaitlynn Glover, Andika Aditya, Urvishkumar 2 Mehta, Brandi Crawford, Abdeeljalil Mekkaoui, H.E. Wilberforce Andrews, Elvis Zornic, Carolyn 3 White, and Jill Young. 4 5 And I see that Jill is on, so Jill, please go ahead when you're ready. 6 7 Looks like Jill is having technical 8 difficulties. So, Marianna Ambrose, please go 9 ahead. 10 MS. AMBROSE: Aloha and hola. Can you 11 hear me? 12 SAM ROTH: Yes, we can hear you. 13 MS. AMBROSE: Okay. I got an 14 invitation from the Sierra Club. I wanted to 15 join because I am the author of the Acts of 16 Kindness series, and my books and movie's with 17 sign language characters and soundtrack by 18 Hawaiian artist Lucy and the Perfect Wave. 19 And I'm working with Susanne Sims, my 20 cowriter, and on a third book for climate change. 21 Our first book is for ocean cleanup, anti-22 bullying, and now we're working on the climate

change book. So I just wanted to introduce myself. We're also partnering with Leon McLaughlin with Clean Water Foundation to bring filters to 35 countries and worldwide schools, especially through this climate change crisis.

So I just wanted to thank you for having this. I wanted to introduce what we are doing behind the scenes and podcasts and educating our youth about climate change. So thank you very much. Again, my name is Marianne Ambrose. You can visit my website at actsofkindnesseries.com. And all USA classroom teachers can get copies of our books from donorschoose.org. And it's back-to-school season. So, again, thank you so much.

SAM ROTH: Thank you.

And I see that Jill Young is back with us. Jill, if you click Accept and Unmute, you are welcome to make your comment.

It seems like Jill is still having technical difficulty. Jill, we cannot hear you if you're speaking.

Okay. For now, we will move on. If anyone else would like to make any comment, raise your hand, and we will get you set up to speak.

Shaina Oliver, go ahead.

MS. OLIVER: Hi. Can you hear me?

SAM ROTH: Yes.

MS. OLIVER: All right. I'm Shaina
Oliver. I do work with Moms Clean Air Force, but
I heard about this event through the EPA email
contact list. And I'm not speaking as Moms Clean
Air Force today. I'm speaking as an individual
community member as well as a tribal affiliate of
the Navajo Nation.

I think there's a lot of good things going on in this revision and revisiting a lot of the things that were changed in NEPA. And seeing the historic environmental impacts on the Navajo reservation from the extraction of uranium, coal mining, and oil and gas that continues to perpetuate the climate crisis that we're seeing now where -- you know, on the reservation of Shiprock, New Mexico, my family members have been

suffering from extreme heat as well as concerned over water quality over the years since the EPA mining spill cleanup, and that led to the contamination in the Animas River and San Juan River.

And I think it's very important to have these inclusions of the climate action/climate change provisions and the environmental justice as well as, importantly, tribal sovereignty. And I think tribal sovereignty shouldn't mean just tribal governments speaking for our communities, but as well, tribal members being heard through the process.

We have many tribal member leaders in our communities, even on the tribal reservation, that are never heard. And when things are only heard from political governments, a lot of voices are not heard through the community. And one of the speakers had mentioned being escorted for bringing up concerns within the Department.

Our communities have been experiencing

that for decades, especially with the Bureau of
Land Management and the Bureau of Indian Affairs
that continue to undermine our communities. So I
think it's really important to center community
voices and tribal member voices under
sovereignty, tribal sovereignty, as well as -and having those inclusions for environmental
justice and climate change.

Thank you for letting me speak.

SAM ROTH: Thank you.

Next, we'll have Madhavan Pallan.

MR. PALLAN: Sorry. Hi. Good evening, you all.

I was wondering how to use the technology aspect of, you know? Like growing technologies like artificial intelligence and machine learning, you know? But all the environmental aspects of that, you know? We can have all those tools handy whenever there is a disaster happening.

So this is one of my biggest concerns and something we can have a set of tools for our

Ready.gov initiatives via our CEQ initiatives actually. So this is my giveaway, and this is my learning, actually, from throughout all these events going around. Thank you. Anything particular the community wants to ask for with the environmental efficiency community for the UN, I'm happy to respond to that as well. Thank you.

SAM ROTH: Thank you.

Next, I see Stephen Buckley has his hand raised.

Stephen, if you will unmute, you're welcome to speak.

MR. BUCKLEY: Okay. Here I am. Took a little while to unmute.

Yeah. I was just wondering, looks like you might have some extra time here. I know you scheduled three hours, and if there -- I don't -- it would be good if there was a Q&A for some clarification that I'm wondering. I mean, even I as a NEPA nerd have questions about some of the proposals that were just -- not to debate

1 them, just to understand clarification, which 2 would be more meaningful than just comment on our 3 draft. I appreciate that, but we 4 SAM ROTH: 5 are only accepting comments. We're not doing Q&A for this particular session. 6 7 MR. BUCKLEY: Okay. Well, maybe in 8 the future sometime. Thank you. 9 SAM ROTH: Thank you. 10 Next, Sharon Friedman. Go ahead when 11 you're ready. MS. FRIEDMAN: Hello. Can you hear 12 13 me? 14 SAM ROTH: Yes. 15 MS. FRIEDMAN: Yeah. Okay. Yeah. Му 16 question is I am a former practitioner, again, 17 like many people that are on this call. And it 18 seems like -- and I follow this. I'm with the 19 National Association of Forest Service Retirees. 20 And it seems like the Forest Service is actually 21 -- and BLM are always embroiled in you didn't 22 consider climate change appropriately in your

documents.

So I can think of maybe five off the top of my head. Some of them are veg projects. Some of them are energy projects. And it seems to me that it would be really helpful for CEQ to look at these cases and maybe think about how different they are and if there's somewhat baseline stuff you would like to put in your regulations so that the judges won't apparently totally -- it looks like, to us, totally random and all over the map about what is enough.

Yeah. So I think that would be very useful because obviously the agencies are already considering climate change, and for the last like 20 years, they've been writing volumes about possible impacts of climate change. And it seems like they're just left adrift, in some sense, to deal with the judges.

And I think you guys could help by, like I said, going back and looking at some of the cases and trying to give the agency some quidance of especially how much is enough. So

1 thank you. 2 SAM ROTH: Thank you. And I will do one last call for hands. 3 4 If anyone else would like to make a comment, 5 please raise your hand, and we will get you set 6 up. 7 And, Jill, I see that you are back 8 with us. If you're able and if you want to 9 speak, please raise your hand and we will get you 10 set up. 11 Okay. Seeing nothing, in that case, 12 we will move on to Jason Hill. Jason, go ahead. 13 Can you hear me? MR. HILL: 14 SAM ROTH: Yes. 15 The only thing I'd suggest MR. HILL: 16 is that you all extend the comment period on 17 I think a lot of people would like to 18 I think it's a short period of time for comment. 19 the changes that you all propose. 20 SAM ROTH: Thank you. 21 And now we'll move on to Ron Lamb. 22 Ron, when you're ready.

MR. LAMB: Hi. Thank you. I'm a retired NEPA practitioner, about 30 years. Since I have a little bit of time, I just want to quickly -- overall, I don't have any issue with the Phase 2 regulations, but there are still several areas that the CEQ could really, really improve upon to help practitioners.

I'm going to point out one for agencies. I understand what the Fiscal Responsibility Act put their trigger to when to start the NEPA review under Section 107(g). The only one that's really helpful is the start of it, notice of intent. The one initial that kind of says, well, when the agency thinks that it needs to do an EA or an EIS is unhelpful.

I think agencies, agency practitioners, are not going to know what to do with that. So, for example, does that mean when they request funding, which from a practical perspective is months, maybe a year, before they're actually ready to start the document -- so keep that in mind. And the CEQ could help

practitioners by adding some guidance.

The second area that I think really is not as helpful as it could be is the revised definition of significance. Context and intensity is fine, but if you look at the ten factors of intensity, there's some redundancy. There's some conflicts. And I think it could be vastly improved.

And I guess one of the think things
that I'll -- concepts to throw out, if you think
about it, is the media of noise. So let's say we
have a substantial increase in noise. How is a
NEPA practitioner to determine significance?
Again, think about noise, and then go through
your ten factors of significance -- context as
well.

And I think you will look at that and you'll say, hey, you know what? There's really nothing in here that would help a practitioner to determine significance of that type of media.

And I think if you then went through, you could come through with other examples of other

environmental media where you would say, you know, this isn't as helpful as it could be, and the CEQ could really help step up and improve that.

I will be submitting some written comments from myself and through the National Association of Environmental Professionals. But thanks for the time.

SAM ROTH: Thank you.

Now I see -- Madhavan Pallan, I see your hand is raised again. We will get you set up, and feel free to make another comment.

MR. PALLAN: Am I on the Zoom?

SAM ROTH: Yes. We can --

MR. PALLAN: Oh. Great. So I was wondering, is there a standard issue process for -- or is it a voted process in a democratized way so that everyone gets to see on the Act actually which gets revised every now and then? Also, I was wondering, is it a metric or is it another sort of situation there, you know?

We have 11 documents developing a few

kind of standards actually are -- it's some sort of thing like -- where the CO2 or CO containment has been really higher and you have to maintain it otherwise it's going to be an environmental disaster sort of situation. So this is something of a topic which can be of interest to our community, actually, given everyone -- everyone in the community has some sort of sensors aligned to their phones, and you know, handheld devices, actually.

So that's my giveaway. Thank you.

SAM ROTH: Thank you.

If anyone else would like to make a comment, please raise your hand or press starnine if you're on the phone, and we'll get you set up to speak.

Okay. Having spoken to my colleagues, I think we're going to take a five-minute break. We'll reconvene at 2:12. If anyone would like to make a comment, please keep your hand raised, and we'll call on everyone when we return. That's five minutes, 2:12, and then we'll have anyone

1 else who would like to make a comment. 2 you. 3 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 4 went off the record at 2:07 p.m. and resumed at 2:12 p.m.) 5 Hello again. For speakers 6 SAM ROTH: 7 who've already spoken, if you'd like to speak 8 again, we encourage you to join our other 9 sessions, which we've posted online. So you can find all the links there. 10 11 Seeing no new speakers with their 12 hands raised, I will turn it over to Jomar for 13 some closing remarks. 14 Thank you very much, MR. MALDONADO: 15 Sam. 16 And thank you, everybody, for 17 attending today's presentation. As Sam indicated 18 and Megan indicated, their newer or next public 19 meeting is coming up as well. I would like to 20 ask those that have already spoken on this one 21 that are interested in speaking again to also 22 observe and sign up for the next public sessions.

1	Thank you, everybody. Your comments
2	have been recorded, and we look forward to
3	listening to your written material as well and
4	considering these comments as we move through our
5	rulemaking process. I appreciate it, and have a
6	great rest of the day. Thank you.
7	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
8	went off the record at 2:13 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

A
Abdeeljalil 39:16 41:2
ability 18:9
able 5:21 13:4 23:6 24:2
49:8 above-entitled 54:3
55:7
Accept 22:4 30:17
34:10 42:18
accepting 47:5
access 5:17 22:1,21
accuracy 17:11 achieving 25:11 26:7
achieving 25:11 26:7
Act 1:3 3:7 6:13,15 8:7 9:6 25:5,9,15 27:4
31:15 50:10 52:18
action 10:21 12:12 15:4
18:11 19:7 25:22 26:1
26:5,11
action's 31:22
action/climate 44:8
actions 19:15 37:2
Acts 41:15
actsofkindnessserie 42:12
actual 26:14
adaptation 14:17 15:1
add 12:17
added 11:10 15:19
16:15,17 19:16 20:9
22:10
adding 11:22 14:11 20:2,15 51:1
addition 32:21
additional 11:10 20:2
20:13 22:9 26:10
Additionally 33:11
address 15:8 20:11
31:10 32:18 35:2
addressing 20:15
Aditya 35:18 41:1 adopt 9:8 16:21 20:3
adopting 20:8
adrift 48:17
adverse 10:6 14:10
15:20,22
adversely 17:1
advocate 34:15,18
35:11 affairs 24:12 45:2
affect 17:1
affiliate 43:12
afternoon 3:3 5:5,8,20
agencies 6:18,19 9:8
9:12,17 10:11 11:3,11
12:3,5,15,17 13:1,9 13:22 14:14 15:5,6
16:5,8,21 17:7 19:1,5
10.0,0,21 17.7 19.1,0

п

19:19,20 20:6,10,16
20:20 28:20 31:13
24.4 40.42 50.0 40
34:4 48:13 50:9,16
agencies' 9:9
agency 14:2 15:3,9
16:9,10,11 17:17 19:9
20:3,15 25:10 26:5,6
26:15 27:18 29:5 30:3
32:6 33:5 48:21 50:14
50:16
agency's 20:3 24:22
agenda 33:9
ageriaa 55.5
aggregate 19:14
ahead 6:5 41:6,9 43:4
47:10 49:12
air 35:7 43:8,11
align 9:12 33:8
aligned 53:8
alignment 16:18
allow 22:9 33:4,10
allows 9:7
Aloha 41:10
alternative 10:6 13:10
14:10,12 25:11 26:7
32:22
alternatives 10:8 17:8
Ambrose 2:2 41:8
42:11
amended 6:14 7:8
amendment 8:6 10:4,10
11:7
amendments 9:12
America 32:9
American 32:12 34:6
Americans 37:21
Amy 1:16 4:1,18 5:7,8
8:1 11:16 16:4,19
18:1 21:3
Amy's 14:3
analysis 31:14 32:3
Analyst 1:21
analyze 32:7
Andika 35:18,18,21
41:1
T 1. 1
Andrews 39:20 41:3
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14 anymore 34:17
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14 anymore 34:17 Anyway 27:17
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14 anymore 34:17 Anyway 27:17 Apologies 4:21
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14 anymore 34:17 Anyway 27:17 Apologies 4:21
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14 anymore 34:17 Anyway 27:17 Apologies 4:21 apparently 29:6 48:9
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14 anymore 34:17 Anyway 27:17 Apologies 4:21 apparently 29:6 48:9 appeared 29:19
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14 anymore 34:17 Anyway 27:17 Apologies 4:21 apparently 29:6 48:9 appeared 29:19 appearing 13:15
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14 anymore 34:17 Anyway 27:17 Apologies 4:21 apparently 29:6 48:9 appeared 29:19
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14 anymore 34:17 Anyway 27:17 Apologies 4:21 apparently 29:6 48:9 appeared 29:19 appearing 13:15 appears 31:18
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14 anymore 34:17 Anyway 27:17 Apologies 4:21 apparently 29:6 48:9 appeared 29:19 appearing 13:15 appears 31:18 applaud 29:10
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14 anymore 34:17 Anyway 27:17 Apologies 4:21 apparently 29:6 48:9 appeared 29:19 appearing 13:15 appears 31:18 applaud 29:10 applicability 18:17
Andrews 39:20 41:3 Animas 44:4 anti- 41:21 anticipated 17:14 anymore 34:17 Anyway 27:17 Apologies 4:21 apparently 29:6 48:9 appeared 29:19 appearing 13:15 appears 31:18 applaud 29:10

applications 19:14,14 applies 10:20 **appreciate** 36:12 47:4 55:5 approach 11:6 18:18 31:18 approaches 20:9 **appropriate** 10:22 11:1 appropriately 15:7 47:22 April 7:6 arbitrary 33:1 area 16:2 35:4,10 51:2 areas 11:19 16:12 32:8 50:6 argue 25:12 artificial 26:17 45:16 artist 41:18 **aspect** 45:15 **aspects** 11:7 45:18 **assess** 16:5 assessing 10:20 18:16 18:19 assessment 7:18 9:22 12:16 20:5 assessments 10:2 32:10 assigned 6:2 assist 23:2 **Associate** 1:20 23:13 associated 13:3 **Association** 47:19 52:7 attempted 18:9 **attendee** 22:7,14 attendees 23:18 attending 54:17 **AUGUST** 1:10 **author** 41:15 automatically 4:12 available 13:12 avoid 14:10 aware 6:11 21:5 31:10 awareness 7:20

В back 7:6 11:14 17:18 18:1 20:22 22:14 23:5 36:1 42:17 48:20 49:7 back-to-school 42:14 background 6:10 balanced 33:21 based 8:15 35:8 baseline 48:8 Beach 2:6 24:11 **begs** 32:2 **behalf** 3:10 believe 25:2,5,14 26:13 26:18 27:2 31:15

34:19,21 35:1,11 36:22 best 12:9 35:1 **better** 12:1 13:1 37:2 **beyond** 33:11 biggest 45:21 **bills** 34:21 Bipartisan 1:5 3:15 7:11 **bit** 6:10 9:4 17:3 50:3 **BLM** 47:21 **Bob** 2:6 24:4,9 26:19 book 41:20,21 42:1 **books** 41:16 42:13 **boss** 28:2,15,18 29:18 **box** 22:2 **Brandi** 39:12,12 41:2 breadth 27:20 break 53:18 **brief** 22:13 **briefly** 16:20 **bring** 42:3 bringing 44:21 **Brooks-Goodein** 2:2 34:9,11,14,18 **Buckley** 2:3 27:9,10 46:10,14 47:7 building 29:20 bullying 41:22 **burden** 13:20 Bureau 45:1.2 bureaucrat 28:16 **button** 4:15 30:17 buttons 4:20

С call 13:9 22:15 24:1 40:15 47:17 49:3 53:21 **called** 24:10 calling 21:20 23:14 camera 22:1 captioning 4:14 Captions 4:15 capture 4:3 care 24:17 **Carolyn** 40:6 41:3 carried 15:10 case 9:16 33:3 40:5,19 49:11 cases 48:6,21 categorical 7:16 9:8 19:11,13,19,22 20:5 20:17,18 categories 9:3 category 19:15 **CC** 4:15 **CE** 7:17 10:22 19:15

ı	1			37
	contor 45:4	olimate 17:14	14:15 01 10:13 25:21	
	center 45:4	climate- 17:14	14:15,21 19:13 25:21	D
	CEQ 3:11,22 5:6,9 6:21 7:1,3 15:12 18:6	climate-related 15:2 closed 4:14	27:5 47:22 consideration 10:5	data 10:13
			11:9 12:8 14:9 15:20	day 55:6
	23:14 25:6 28:16,19	closing 54:13	19:16	deadline 9:21,22
	46:1 48:5 50:6,22	Club 37:7 41:14		deadlines 19:2
	52:3	CO2 53:2	considerations 9:15	deal 30:20 48:18
	CEQ's 3:5 6:22 20:19	coal 43:18	considered 33:20 39:6	debate 46:22
	CEQ-21:10	code 35:9,10	considering 12:11 14:16 16:8 25:11	decades 45:1
	certain 11:7	codified 10:10 12:14		decision 13:3,15 15:8
	certainty 8:16 18:5	codify 20:18	36:18 48:14 55:4	17:17 26:6 31:14
	CEs 20:19,21	codifying 19:9	consistent 6:21 28:19 consolidate 10:18	decision-making 8:15
	challenge 37:15 challenges 20:12 31:10	colleagues 3:22 53:17 combined 19:8	18:15	17:5,6 25:1 26:14
		come 51:22		decisions 9:18 15:9
	challenging 38:18 chance 36:12,22		consultation 19:3	38:14 39:1,7
		coming 39:5 54:19 commend 33:22	consume 32:13 consumer 32:12	decrease 32:12
	change 4:10 8:12 14:5			deeply 24:17
	14:13 15:14 28:12 31:11,21 32:18,20	comment 3:17 5:22 12:15 13:14 21:1,6,8	consumption 32:11 contact 43:10	define 26:1
	34:19,21 36:17 37:12	22:5 24:4 33:9 36:12	containment 53:2	definition 16:17 19:12
	38:17,21 39:6 41:20	37:8 39:9 40:14 42:19	contamination 44:4	25:10 26:12 33:2 51:4
	42:1,5,9 44:8 45:8	43:2 47:2 49:4,16,18	context 11:2 16:4 18:19	definitions 15:13 16:16
	47:22 48:14,16	52:12 53:14,20 54:1	51:4,15	delay 22:13
	changed 13:19 43:16	comments 4:4 5:14	continue 33:3 34:20	delayed 31:12
	changes 8:8,22 9:1,2,6	13:21 21:9 26:20 47:5	35:2,12,13 45:3	democratized 52:17
	11:16,20 12:5 13:8	52:6 55:1,4	continued 32:16	Department 24:13
	15:16 16:3 17:12,15	communities 12:7	continues 33:4 43:19	27:13 29:15 44:21
	17:16,21 18:2 25:14	14:22 15:21 17:1	contractors 11:12	depth 27:19,20
	30:11 49:19	33:13 35:14 44:12,16	contribute 33:10	Deputy 1:16,18,19 5:6,9
	channels 30:8	44:22 45:3	controls 4:16 5:21	describe 12:1 17:13
	characters 41:17	community 14:2 18:8	convened 1:12	designate 13:22
	chat 4:6 22:21	38:3 39:4 43:12 44:19	conversation 7:21	designed 30:12
	chatting 7:15	45:4 46:5,6 53:7,8	cooperating 9:12 16:9	desk 29:19
	Chicago 38:5	compare 32:3	16:11	determination 10:17,20
	Chief 13:22	compared 32:8	coordinate 14:1	11:4 20:6 determine 33:5 51:13
	choose 22:2	completion 9:21,22	coordination 18:22	51:20
	circle 23:5 36:1	complexity 12:12	copies 42:13	devastated 39:4
	circumstances 19:17	compliance 15:6 29:4	cost 32:13	develop 12:3 32:14
	city 38:7,8,10	component 15:4 17:11	Council 1:2,13,15,16,17	developing 52:22
	clarification 46:20 47:1	37:22	1:18,19,21,21 3:9,11	development 32:4 33:1
	clarified 16:7	components 37:12	6:16	devices 53:9
	clarify 9:10 19:12,18	comprehensive 8:22	Counsel 1:16,20 5:9	dialogue 23:10
	clarity 11:10 18:15	concepts 10:13 11:9	23:14	dictating 28:9
	19:16 20:2	51:10	countries 32:4 42:4	difference 28:4,6
	classroom 42:12	concerned 24:14,19	country 32:14	different 7:15 27:6
	clean 35:7 42:3 43:8,10	37:19 38:19 44:1	court 18:9	28:20 48:7
	cleanup 27:14 29:16	concerns 15:1,8,22	covered 19:15	difficulties 23:3 30:21
	41:21 44:3	17:2 31:17 44:21	cowriter 41:20	41:8
	clear 5:2	45:21	Coyle 1:16 4:1,18 5:8,9	difficulty 42:21
	click 22:4 30:17 34:9	conclusion 33:19	8:2 14:4 18:2	directed 16:22
	42:18	concurrence 19:4	Crawford 39:12 41:2	direction 17:7 30:13
	clicking 4:14	confirmation 22:22	create 18:7	Director 1:13,15,18,19
	climate 8:11 14:13	conflict 25:15,16	created 25:15	3:9 5:6
	15:13 31:11,21 32:18	conflicts 51:7	creating 18:4 26:17	disaster 45:20 53:5
	34:19,20 35:9 36:17	Congress 34:2 37:18	creation 35:7	disconnect 22:15
	37:12 38:17,21 39:6	38:19	crisis 32:18 42:5 43:20	discuss 7:10 14:16
	41:20,22 42:5,9 43:20	consequences 10:8	curious 33:12	17:9
	44:7 45:8 47:22 48:14	14:20 32:1	currently 21:8	discussion 10:7 14:20
	48:16	consider 11:3 12:6	CXs 34:1	discussions 19:8
		I	l	l

disproportionate 14:21 20:5 encounter 23:3 15:20 **encourage** 12:5 20:10 disproportionately 54:8 16:22 38:3 encourages 14:14 diverse 33:10 16:20 exist 27:22 **divert** 22:16 encouraging 18:22 existing 20:17 divided 38:10 ends 21:8 division 38:6 energy 24:13 27:13 docket 5:16 48:4 document 20:1 24:20 explicit 10:5 **Energy's** 29:15 **engagement** 8:10 11:18 25:13 26:2,5,13,17 27:2 50:21 11:21 12:2,4 13:22 documents 9:15 11:13 14:2 19:5 30:3 **extend** 49:16 12:20,21 13:2,6 19:9 ensure 4:3 10:11 15:6 20:7 25:18 48:1 52:22 15:19 17:17 33:19 extra 46:17 doing 25:12 29:9 30:9 ensuring 20:6 38:2 42:8 47:5 **entire** 11:21 entirety 18:16 domestic 32:3 environment 4:7 26:9 donorschoose.org 32:20 37:20 42:14 draft 12:16 13:4,13 47:3 environmental 1:2,3,13 1:15,16,17,18,20,21 **facilitate** 14:1 19:2 **due** 31:12 duration 14:15 1:22 3:7,10,11 6:13 facilitating 1:13 6:17 7:17,19 8:11,13 facilities 27:15 Ε 9:14,21 10:1,2,3,8,9 facing 35:9 **EA** 7:18 10:22 50:15 11:22 12:16 13:11 factors 11:3 16:4 18:19 earlier 11:17 16:5 17:20 14:5.18.19.20.22 51:6.15 fall 8:9 9:2 11:16 25:13 40:13 15:16,21 16:1,16,17 17:1,14 20:1,4,4,12 familiar 27:15 28:20 early 19:4 25:19 **EAs** 11:13 12:18 18:21 27:4 29:16 31:22 32:5 **family** 43:22 **easily** 13:5 33:4,13,16,17,20 far-off 28:16 **EDT** 1:12 36:18 37:11,21 38:2,7 federal 6:18,19,19 8:21 educating 42:9 38:13,20 43:17 44:9 11:11 16:10 19:6 effectively 27:3 45:7,18 46:6 52:1,7 20:15 26:6 27:18 effectiveness 15:11 53:4 31:13 33:11 37:2 environmentally 13:10 **effects** 10:6 11:4 14:11 feedback 3:19 21:15 14:13,15,22 15:2,21 environments 17:10 feel 36:4 52:12 15:22 16:6,22 18:20 **EPA** 43:9 44:2 **fifth** 27:18 escorted 29:19 44:20 fight 34:20 31:22 **efficiency** 9:16 19:10 **especially** 42:5 45:1 **figure** 30:20 48:22 filters 42:4 46:6 essential 33:10 34:20 efficient 8:15 18:4 final 7:7 25:22 26:1,5 **EIS** 7:20 10:22 11:5 35:12 26:11 13:4,13 17:11 50:15 essentially 24:21 Finally 33:7 EISes 11:13 12:18 establish 19:19,21 find 13:2,5 21:11 54:10 20:16 18:22 **fine** 51:5 established 6:16 9:9 elaborate 33:12 finish 12:20 elements 20:18 37:11 establishing 20:19 fired 29:14 30:6 37:15 evaluate 17:8 **first** 3:14 4:11 7:2 8:5 evening 45:13 elevate 22:3 9:4 21:4 23:16 27:21 elevating 21:22 22:12 **event** 43:9 41:21 Fiscal 6:15 8:6 9:5 25:4 **Elvis** 40:2 41:3 events 46:4

exclusions 9:8 19:11 19:20,22 20:17,18 **Executive** 1:1 16:19 experience 29:7 experiencing 44:22 expertise 16:9 **explore** 17:7 32:22 **express** 31:17 Extending 33:9 extensive 32:2 extraction 43:18 extraordinary 19:17 **extreme** 20:11 44:1

Foundation 42:3 four 3:14 8:14 **FRA** 25:22 26:12 frankly 25:17 free 36:4 52:12 frequent 39:5 Friedman 2:3 47:10,12 47:15 fully 4:3 function 4:6 funding 50:19 Further 13:8 Furthermore 32:21 future 47:8 G

focused 15:16 18:3

folks 6:1 7:21 13:6

21:15 33:5

follow 47:18

Force 43:8,11

foremost 8:5

31:21

forecloses 26:7

Forest 47:19,20

format 12:10

found 37:18

foreseeable 17:13

former 24:12 47:16

forward 5:13 34:3 55:2

gas 32:4,7 43:19 General 1:16,20 5:9 23:13 qive 48:21 giveaway 46:2 53:11 given 6:5 53:7 giving 8:3 glad 29:9 37:3 38:15 **Glover** 23:16 41:1 **go** 32:3 41:6,8 43:4 47:10 49:12 51:14 **goal** 12:2,22 13:12 14:4 17:4 26:8 goals 8:4 25:11 going 4:7,17 6:8 7:22 9:1 11:15 14:3 15:15 17:3 23:8,22 28:8,10 28:17 29:5,6,8,10 30:4,7,12,12 35:4 40:17 43:15 46:4 48:20 50:8,17 53:4,18 **good** 3:3 5:8 31:8 43:14 45:12 46:19 government 6:19 19:5 governmental 12:2 governments 44:12,18 grazing 32:6 great 5:3 29:6,8 34:14

25:8 50:9

Florida 36:11

53:22

five 8:15 28:20 48:2

five-minute 53:18

focus 5:13 20:6 37:10

everybody 54:16 55:1

everything's 29:6

examples 51:22

50:18

example 14:11 25:8

exclusion 7:16 19:13

enable 22:18

email 21:18 23:1 43:9

emphasis 17:18 19:3

embroiled 47:21

emphasize 17:12

Emma 1:21 4:1

52:15 55:6 ground 34:4 grounded 33:21 group 30:3,4 33:22 37:7 groups 33:5,16,18 37:16 **growing** 45:15 **guards** 29:18 **guess** 51:9 guidance 20:19 28:5,8 28:14 48:22 51:1 gutting 27:3 **guys** 48:19 Н

H.E 39:20 41:3 hand 4:17 6:6 22:18 23:19 30:19 35:20 36:4 39:14,18,21 40:4 40:9,14,21 43:3 46:11 49:5,9 52:11 53:14,20 handheld 53:9 hands 22:9 23:21 49:3 54:12 **handy** 45:19 happen 3:17 29:2 happening 29:1 45:20 happens 9:17 **happy** 46:7 **Harris** 1:17 4:2 Hawaiian 41:18 hay 31:11 head 48:3 heads 29:21 health 18:12 **Healy** 1:18 4:1,18,20 5:3,5,10 11:15 21:3 30:22 hear 5:1 7:14,20 21:14 24:5 30:8 31:4,5,7 34:12,13,16 36:8 41:11,12 42:21 43:5 47:12 49:13 heard 35:3,14 43:9 44:13,17,18,19 hearing 5:13,14 12:10 29:22 heat 44:1 Hello 34:11 36:8 47:12 54:6 help 6:18 12:19,22 13:5 14:1 23:6 35:14 48:19 50:7,22 51:19 52:3 helpful 48:5 50:12 51:3 52:2 hey 28:3 51:18 Hi 27:10 43:5 45:12

high-quality 16:14 17:12 higher 53:3 **highlight** 9:2 18:3 highlighted 11:17 highlights 8:19 **Hill** 2:4 49:12,13,15 historic 43:17 hit 35:20 39:3 **hola** 41:10 **hole** 19:7 hope 26:22 27:5 **hours** 46:18 **House** 1:13,15,16,17,18 1:19,20,21 3:9 **human** 26:9 Hurricane 39:3

lan 39:4 identification 12:18 identified 13:12 identify 13:9 16:12 **impact** 7:19 10:1,3,9 13:11 14:18,19 20:4 26:8

impacted 38:4 **impacts** 43:17 48:16 **implement** 6:18 8:6 9:6 implementation 1:5 3:6 3:16 7:12 9:5

implemented 34:3 implementing 1:4 7:1 importance 31:16 important 36:20,21 37:13 38:13 44:6 45:4

importantly 44:9 imports 32:8 **improve** 50:7 52:3 improved 51:8 improvement 8:11,12

improvements 33:22 37:3 **improving** 14:5 15:16

inbox 23:1 include 10:5 15:13 18:3 20:20

included 15:9 37:4 includes 9:20 10:4,7 16:11 26:6

including 9:15 10:12 11:22 12:8,20 14:9,11 17:13 18:8

inclusion 31:20 inclusions 36:20 44:7 45:7

inclusive 33:17 incorporated 9:7 10:14

17:21 24:11 incorporates 11:6 increase 51:12 independently 20:7 Indian 45:2 indicated 21:16 54:17 54:18

indigenous 16:11,13 individual 6:20 19:13 43:11

industries 33:15 industry 38:9 **inform** 11:3 information 16:14

17:12 21:12 informed 8:14 13:7 17:4,5,18

Infrastructure 1:19 **initial** 50:13 initiatives 34:21 46:1,1 injunctive 18:10

innovate 20:11 innovative 20:9 input 3:13 13:18 18:8

33:14

instance 31:20 integrity 10:12,12 17:19 intelligence 45:16 intended 17:16

intensity 11:2 16:4 18:19 51:5.6 intent 12:1 38:1 50:13 interagency 30:4

interest 53:6 interested 6:12 54:21 **introduce** 3:22 23:9

42:1,7 introduction 14:6 invitation 41:14 invite 12:15 24:3 27:8 **involved** 9:18 25:3 Island 2:6 24:11

issue 6:20 23:7 27:1,5 35:2,7 50:4 52:16 issued 6:17 7:1,3,6,9 **issues** 35:4,8 36:18

38:2,7,13,21

Jason 2:4 49:12,12 **Jennifer** 1:19 4:2 jeopardize 18:7 **Jersey** 24:11 **Jill** 40:8 41:4,5,5,7 42:17,18,20,21 49:7 **iob** 27:21 28:1 **iobs** 29:13 join 3:13 22:10 41:15

54:8 joined 3:21 5:7 joining 3:4 5:4 **ioins** 35:22 ioint 9:11,14 **jointly** 19:19 Jomar 1:12,15 3:8 5:1 54:12 Juan 44:4 judges 33:5 48:9,18 **Julia** 2:2 34:9,9,16 **July** 7:3,9 **jump** 6:6 June 6:15 justice 8:13 14:22 15:17,21 16:16,18 17:2 33:13,18 36:18 37:11,22 38:2,13 44:9 45:8

Κ

Kaitlynn 23:16,17 41:1 Katry 1:17 4:2 **keep** 30:9,10,12 50:22 53:20 keeping 29:21 key 9:2 kind 50:13 53:1 Kindness 41:16 know 21:19 29:7 30:10 37:8 43:21 45:15,17 45:18 46:17 50:17 51:18 52:2,21 53:9 **knowledge** 16:12,13

labeled 4:15 **Lamb** 2:4 49:21 50:1 land 13:2 45:2 language 12:9 15:19 25:4,6,17,21,22 26:11 26:12 41:17 largely 7:2 **lastly** 20:13 late 25:18 27:2 late-stage 24:15 law 6:13 33:21 laws 33:8 laxer 32:4 lead 9:11,11 **leaders** 44:15 leading 24:10 **leads** 37:1 lean 31:18 learning 45:17 46:3 **led** 44:3 **left** 48:17 legally 37:13

50:1

Leon 42:2 let's 33:19 51:11 **letting** 21:19 45:9 level 10:17,21 18:17 34:4 levels 7:15 18:14 19:5 life 24:12 limit 18:9 **limits** 10:2 line 37:22 lines 38:11 links 54:10 list 6:3 16:4 23:6,17 35:19 39:13,22 40:18 40:21 43:10 **listening** 3:15,18 29:3 55:3 litigation 18:7 little 6:10 8:4 9:4 17:3 38:17 46:15 50:3 live 4:14 36:11 38:5 livestock 32:5 local 19:6 **locate** 13:6 Long 2:6 24:11 long-standing 12:14 19:9 look 5:13 34:3 37:17 48:6 51:5,17 55:2 looked 38:22 looking 5:20 8:5 36:16 38:1,14,18,19,20 48:20 looks 30:22 41:7 46:16 48:10 lose 29:13 **lot** 4:13 27:6 28:13,13 33:22 34:21 35:4,7 38:9 43:14,15 44:18 49:17 lots 29:11 **loud** 5:2 **Lucy** 41:18 Lyman 2:7 36:7,11

M

machine 45:17 Madhavan 2:5 45:11 52:10 **main** 6:9 maintain 53:3 maintains 11:8 majorly 30:11 maker 31:15 **makers** 17:17 making 24:18 38:14 Maldonado 1:13,15 3:3 3:8 5:2 54:14

managed 24:12 Management 45:2 map 48:11 Marianna 41:8 Marianne 2:2 42:10 marry 26:13 material 55:3 matter 54:3 55:7 McLaughlin 42:3 mean 29:1 44:11 46:20 50:18 meaningful 8:10 11:17 11:20 47:2 means 25:12 26:1,7 33:6 measures 14:18 15:9 16:21 meat 32:8 mechanism 19:21 media 51:11,20 52:1 meeting 1:7,12 3:5 4:16 12:10 19:2 21:2,14 54:19 Megan 1:18 4:1,18 5:5 8:2 10:22 11:14 20:22 23:12 54:18 Mehta 36:2 41:2 Mekkaoui 39:16 41:2 member 37:6 43:12 44:15 45:5 members 43:22 44:13 memo 29:17 mention 37:6 mentioned 17:20 18:13 44:20 methane 32:7 methodology 17:10 **metric** 52:20 **Mexico** 43:22 microphone 22:1,19 mind 50:22 Mindy 2:6 30:16,17 31:3,3 minimize 14:10 mining 43:19 44:3 minutes 53:22 **mitigation** 15:3,7,8 16:21 mode 22:7 Moms 43:8,10 monitored 15:10 **monitoring** 15:5 23:1 months 28:1 50:20 move 6:8 8:17 11:15 21:1 22:7 23:4,9,22 35:21 36:6 39:15,19 40:1,5,7 43:1 49:12 49:21 55:4

moved 22:5 38:9 **movie** 27:16 movie's 41:16 **moving** 21:13 Moyer 1:19 4:2 multiple 9:17,18 10:14 15:18 16:16 34:4 **mute** 4:19,20

name 3:8 4:10 5:5 22:17 23:15 24:9 36:10 42:10 names 4:11 40:18 narrow 7:8 **Nation 43:13 National** 1:3 3:6 6:12 27:3 47:19 52:6 nations 16:1 **Navajo** 43:13,17 need 9:19 13:21 22:4 35:6.6 39:6 needs 12:6 50:15 **NEPA** 1:13,15,18,19 3:9 5:6 6:12,16,18,20 7:16 8:6 9:9.13.19 10:4,10,17,20,21 11:2 11:7,13 18:11,14,16 20:11,15 24:12,16,17 24:20 25:13 26:2.4.13 27:12,19,21 28:2 29:4 29:20 31:14,18 36:14 36:17,19 37:1,17 43:16 46:21 50:2,11 51:13 nepa.gov 21:12 nerd 46:21 Nevada 31:9 never 44:17 **new** 19:21 20:9,10,16 24:11 25:9 43:22 54:11 newer 54:18 **newly** 30:6 nine 53:15 no-action 10:6 noise 51:11,12,14 **north** 38:6,10 not-in-my-backyard 31:19 32:17 **note** 5:19 7:13 8:18 16:2 **noted** 11:1 notes 4:6 notice 1:5 8:20 33:11

21:19 23:15 24:3 27:8 30:15 34:8 35:17 36:2 36:7 39:11,16,19 40:2 40:6,8

0

objectively 17:8 **observe** 54:22 obviously 48:13 occurs 13:15 ocean 41:21 office 1:1 28:2 officer 14:1 29:5 offshore 24:16 Oh 52:15 oil 32:4 43:19 Okay 23:21 31:2,7,8 35:21 36:6 39:15,19 40:1,5,11 41:13 43:1 46:14 47:7,15 49:11 53:17 Oliver 2:5 41:10.13 43:4 43:5,7,8 once 5:18 one-9:20 ones 28:21 online 4:9 33:16 54:9 open 5:22 21:8 22:5 Oppenheimer 27:16 opportunity 3:19 6:6 13:14,17 24:9 35:22 37:8 39:8 **opposed** 26:15 order 16:19 21:18 orders 6:1 organization 24:10 outcome 32:19 outcomes 8:12,13 14:6 15:17 outreach 12:4 33:13 overall 50:4 overview 5:11 8:4,17

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3:1 **p.m** 1:12 3:2 54:4,5 55:8 page 10:2 **Pallan** 2:5 45:11,12 52:10,13,15 panelist 21:22 22:10,13 31:1 paper 28:22 part 6:9 14:6 27:20 36:17.18 39:1 participants 35:19 39:22

50:13

nuclear 27:14

number 5:16 12:18

practitioners 50:7,17 participate 33:14 36:22 participation 37:1,4 51:1 particular 8:9 16:2 33:8 preferable 13:10 36:16 46:5 47:6 preparation 11:5,12 partnering 42:2 24:21,22 25:13 26:2,5 passed 34:2 26:14 27:2 **people** 27:15 29:12 prepare 15:5 30:1,5 34:6 37:16 preparing 9:14 25:18 40:11,16,18 47:17 25:18 **PRESENT** 1:14 2:1 49:17 presentation 6:9 17:20 perceive 24:15 percent 29:20 54:17 Perfect 41:18 presenters 4:18 period 3:17 21:8 49:16 PRESIDENT 1:1 press 23:19 36:4 39:13 49:18 permits 32:6 39:17,21 40:3,9,22 **Permitting** 1:5 3:15 prevalent 38:8 7:11 primary 12:8 perpetuate 43:20 person 23:5 29:4 problems 29:15 **procedures** 6:20 9:9 person's 22:17 20:15,20 persons 12:9 perspective 50:20 process 8:16 9:7 11:22 **Phase** 7:7,11 50:5 13:7,16 18:4,16 20:1 **phone** 23:18 35:19 36:3 20:11 24:16,18 25:1 39:13,17,21 40:3,9,22 26:14.16 36:15.17.19 53:15 37:1 44:14 52:16,17 **phones** 53:9 55:5 **place** 30:5 33:2 production 32:7,11 **places** 10:14 15:18 products 32:13 placing 19:3 professional 10:11 **plan** 15:6 17:19 planet 14:5 Professionals 52:7 planning 20:1 program 29:16 **plants** 35:5 programmatic 19:8,22 progress 12:19 please 4:10 22:14,16,21 39:17 40:9,14,21 41:6 prohibitive 32:14 41:8 49:5,9 53:14,20 **project** 9:18 19:1,7 plunge 26:1 project-level 32:19 racial 38:11 projects 12:8 24:17 podcasts 42:8 point 21:19 29:11 50:8 48:3.4 pointing 29:14 proliferates 32:16 policies 33:20 **promote** 19:10 23:20 **policy** 1:3,21 3:7 6:13 promoted 22:13 14:8 27:4 promoting 18:4 political 44:18 **proposal** 10:15 14:14 populated 4:12 21:15 25:10 36:20 portion 5:22 21:1,13 37:5 23:10 proposals 46:22 **possible** 23:2 48:16 **propose** 49:19 posted 4:8 5:18 54:9 **proposed** 1:5 3:5,16,20 **power** 35:5 5:11 7:12 8:4,8,18,20 8:20 9:1,20 10:7,21 practical 50:19 12:12 15:4,19 16:3,20 practicality 9:16 practice 12:14 19:9 18:11 25:16 28:3 32:17 31:22 practitioner 47:16 50:2 **proposing** 9:10,13 51:13,19 10:18 11:20 12:17

13:8 14:7 15:12 18:6 19:12,18,21 36:16 37:14 protecting 37:19 **provide** 13:17 18:10,15 20:10 **provides** 7:5 8:22 providing 8:19 provision 18:8 **provisions** 7:5,8 18:6 24:19 44:8 **public** 1:7 3:5,14,17,18 8:10 11:17,20 12:2,3 12:10,15,19 13:1,13 13:17,20,22 18:12 21:1 30:2 33:9 36:21 37:1,4 54:18,22 publicly 4:9 **publish** 12:16 published 8:21 purpose 12:1 14:8 25:2 **purposes** 26:2,4 **pushing** 24:21 26:15 put 21:6 48:8 50:10

Q

Q&A 46:19 47:5 quality 1:2,13,15,16,17 1:18,20,21,22 3:10,11 6:17 44:2 question 32:2 47:16 **questions** 22:11,20 46:21 **queue** 31:1 quick 4:5 7:13 quickly 23:2 50:4

R

raise 6:6 22:9,17 23:19 30:19 35:20 36:4 39:14,18,21 40:3,9,14 40:21 43:2 49:5,9 53:14 raised 23:21 46:11 52:11 53:20 54:12 rancher 31:9 **random** 48:10 read 40:17 ready 31:3 41:6 47:11 49:22 50:21 Ready.gov 46:1 real 38:6 really 25:15 26:17 28:14 45:4 48:5 50:6 50:6.12 51:2.18 52:3 53:3 reasonable 14:9,12

17:8 32:15 33:2 reasonably 17:13 31:21 receive 3:19 received 21:18 recognize 25:3 reconvene 53:19 record 13:3,15 54:4 55:8 recorded 4:4.8 55:2 recording 5:15 red 35:10 reduce 13:20 14:12 reduction 14:17 redundancy 51:6 refer 7:4,7,17,18,20 referenced 16:5 references 15:13 16:15 reflected 25:16 Reform 1:5 3:16 7:11 regard 24:16,18 regarding 24:20 25:7 25:17 register 6:4 8:21 33:11 registered 4:11 21:16 40:12,12,17,19 registration 22:22 regulation 14:7,9 32:22 36:13 37:12 39:2 regulations 1:4 3:6 6:17,21,22 7:1 10:18 11:8 17:9 20:14 27:21 28:3,5,7,12 32:5,15 36:15 48:9 50:5 regulations.gov 21:10 **regulatory** 8:16 18:5 36:20 37:5 related 10:19 12:21 13:2 14:13 17:15 31:21 relevant 14:16 reliable 10:13 relief 18:10 **relies** 15:3 relv 26:10 relying 15:7 20:8 25:7 remarks 54:13 reminder 5:15 **remove** 18:6 reply 22:22 request 50:19 require 11:5 12:17 13:9 15:5 required 14:21 32:6 requirement 10:5,11 requirements 9:14 10:19 13:19 requiring 13:21 18:21

20:20

reservation 43:18.21 15:19 16:13 17:16.22 sensors 53:8 31:4 42:22 43:10.11 44:16 24:20 25:16 32:16 separate 14:7 44:12 54:21 reserved 15:22 33:8,14 37:22 September 21:9 special 16:9 specialist 27:19 resilience 14:17 rule's 31:18 **series** 41:16 resiliency 15:1 rulemaking 1:5 3:16 serious 27:5 specialists 29:21 resolve 23:7 25:20 5:11 7:10 8:20 55:5 serves 25:2 **specific** 6:1 13:20 resources 10:13 rules 37:14 **Service** 47:19,20 26:11 session 3:18 4:4 5:12 respecting 8:13 15:17 running 6:2 specifically 11:13 respond 46:7 5:22 6:7 21:7,17 47:6 specificity 20:16 responsibilities 9:11 sessions 3:15 54:9,22 **spill** 44:3 11:11 **safety** 18:12 set 7:8 18:2 34:10 36:5 **spoke** 25:10 Responsibility 6:15 8:7 spoken 53:17 54:7,20 **Sam** 1:20 4:1 23:9,11 40:4,10 43:3 45:22 23:12,13 24:6 26:19 9:5 25:5,9 50:10 49:5,10 52:11 53:16 sponsors 19:1 rest 55:6 27:7 30:14,22 31:2,6 **Shaina** 2:5 43:4,7 **stab** 26:3 restore 14:7 34:7,13,16 35:16 36:9 **share** 3:13 stacking 31:11 restores 11:7 **Sharon** 2:3 47:10 **stage** 13:11 39:10 41:12 42:16 restoring 17:6 18:18 43:6 45:10 46:9 47:4 **shift** 32:10 **stance** 31:19 results 37:2 Shiprock 43:22 standard 52:16 47:9,14 49:2,14,20 resumed 54:4 52:9,14 53:12 54:6,15 **short** 49:18 standards 53:1 retain 9:13 **Show** 4:15 stands 27:1 54:17 retired 30:6 50:2 San 44:4 **shut** 35:6 **star-** 53:14 Retirees 47:19 Sanibel 36:11 39:3 side 38:7,8,10 star-nine 23:19 35:20 return 53:21 **SATURDAY** 1:9 Sierra 37:7 41:14 36:4 39:13,17,21 40:3 **returned** 22:7,14 **Save** 2:6 24:10 sign 41:17 54:22 40:9,22 review 7:16 10:17.22 says 22:3 28:18 50:14 signed 6:13 22:8 34:22 **start** 8:3 12:20 50:11,12 11:2.22 13:16 18:15 scale 12:11 34:22 50:21 18:17 50:11 scenes 42:8 significance 14:16 16:6 starting 6:9 reviewing 20:7,21 scheduled 46:18 18:20 51:4,13,15,20 state 19:6 22:16 reviews 16:1 schedules 18:21 19:4 significant 11:4 26:8 **statement** 7:19 10:9 **revise** 20:17 schools 42:4 32:20 13:11 14:18,19 20:4 revised 18:14 51:3 science 38:20,22 simpler 21:12 **statements** 10:1,3 12:1 52:19 science-8:14 **Sims** 41:19 STATES 1:1 situation 52:21 53:5 revising 3:5 science-based 17:4,6 status 22:14 revision 43:15 scientific 10:12 17:11 **six** 9:3 **statute** 37:17,18 revisit 27:1 17:19 **skip** 40:13,19 **statutory** 9:6 17:21 revisiting 43:15 scope 11:1 12:11 18:17 **slot** 22:19 24:2 19:2 38:1 **step** 52:3 rewrite 33:7 34:3 screen 5:17 21:6 22:3 **slowly** 35:6 Stephen 2:3 27:9 46:10 right 37:22 43:7 24:8 somewhat 48:7 **rights** 15:22 Seal 2:6 30:16 31:5,7 **Sorry** 45:12 46:12 rigorously 17:7 32:22 **search** 21:10 sort 52:21 53:1,5,8 **Stern** 2:6 24:4,5,7,10 33:6 searching 13:5 soundtrack 41:17 26:22 27:12 risk 14:17 18:7 **storms** 39:5 **season** 42:15 **source** 16:13 **River** 44:4,5 second 51:2 south 32:9 38:6,8 strategies 12:4,6 **ROD** 13:3 section 10:9.16 18:14 stuff 28:13 29:22 30:7 **sovereignty** 8:14 15:18 **role** 16:8,20 20:9,14 37:18 38:18 44:10,11 45:6,6 33:15,15 48:8 roles 9:10 11:11 50:11 Su 1:21 4:2 **speak** 5:20 6:5 21:17 subject 37:15 **Ron** 2:4 49:21,22 sections 14:8 22:12,19 24:2,9 34:10 Roth 1:20 4:1 23:9,12 see 4:13 22:2 23:6,17 36:5,12 40:4,10,12,17 submit 21:5,9 23:13 24:6 26:19 27:7 24:7 28:5 35:18 37:3 43:3 45:9 46:13 49:9 submitting 52:5 30:14 31:2,6 34:7,13 53:16 54:7 38:15,18 39:12,22 substantial 51:12 speaker 6:1 21:18 34:16 35:16 36:9 41:5 42:17 46:10 49:7 suffering 44:1 39:10 41:12 42:16 52:10,10,18 23:16,20 24:3 27:8 suggest 25:20 49:15 43:6 45:10 46:9 47:4 30:15 34:8 35:17 36:2 seeing 23:21 36:6 suggesting 28:9 47:9,14 49:2,14,20 39:15 40:1,7 43:16,20 36:7 39:11,16,19 40:2 summary 8:22 52:9,14 53:12 54:6 49:11 54:11 40:6,8 **support** 11:20 12:13 rule 3:5,13,20 5:14 7:4 seen 33:14,15,16 **speakers** 22:9 23:14 17:5 36:14 37:13 39:1 7:4,7,7,9,12 8:5,18,20 44:20 54:6,11 supported 38:16,21 segmented 32:19 9:20 10:7 11:19 15:4 sense 48:17 speaking 22:6 30:18 supporters 24:14

sure 6:10 21:4 **vastly** 51:8 wondering 45:14 46:16 today's 3:4,18 4:4 **Susanne** 41:19 54:17 veg 48:3 46:20 52:16,20 **system** 30:11 told 29:18 vein 27:6 work 7:21 24:18 27:12 verbal 5:14 27:21 43:8 **tool** 20:10 Т toolbar 4:16 versus 25:18 29:4 worked 27:18 28:20 tailor 12:5 tools 19:10 45:19,22 Videoconference 1:12 31:13 take 14:3 25:22 31:20 top 18:13 30:1 48:3 violations 18:11 working 12:7 29:12 30:4 41:19,22 53:18 **topic** 53:6 VIRTUAL 1:7 totally 48:10,10 visit 21:10 42:11 worldwide 42:4 talk 9:4 17:3 24:8 teachers 42:13 touched 16:19 voice 35:3,13 worry 33:3 track 12:19 voices 33:10 44:18 45:5 wrap 26:21 team 5:6 traditional 30:8 45:5 write 29:18 technical 22:11,20 23:3 23:7 30:20 41:7 42:21 transcribed 4:5 **volumes** 48:15 **writing** 48:15 written 21:6 52:5 55:3 technologies 45:16 transcribing 5:16 voted 52:17 transcript 4:8 wrote 29:17 technology 45:15 W tell 29:6,12 30:7 transcripts 5:18 Χ telling 28:16 29:22 transparency 8:10 walk 15:15 11:18,21 12:14 37:3 walking 5:10 tells 28:15 Υ ten 20:21 51:5,15 trends 17:14 want 3:11 5:17 8:3,18 tribal 8:14 15:17 16:1 terms 7:14 9:4 18:3 22:15 27:17 Yeah 46:16 47:15,15 thank 3:4,12 4:22 5:3,4 19:6 43:12 44:10,10 29:11 37:10 49:8 50:3 48:12 44:11,13,15,16 45:5,6 year 9:21 29:17 34:2 21:3 26:19 27:7.10 wanted 5:19 7:13 21:4 30:13,14 34:6,7 35:14 trigger 50:10 21:16 31:9 41:14 42:1 50:20 35:15,16 36:10 37:9 trouble 30:19 42:6,7 years 7:3 20:21 28:11 29:7,14 31:14 44:2 39:8,10 42:6,10,15,16 try 23:2 **wants** 46:5 45:9,10 46:4,7,9 47:8 trying 13:5 22:3 48:21 Washington 24:13 48:15 50:2 47:9 49:1,2,20 50:1 turn 4:14 5:21 7:22 wasn't 24:1 yellow 35:10 52:9 53:11,12 54:1,14 11:14 20:22 21:21 water 35:8 42:3 44:2 Young 40:8 41:4 42:17 22:1,18 23:8 54:12 **Wave** 41:18 54:16 55:1.6 youth 42:9 thanks 8:2 23:12 24:8 **two** 8:11 37:10,15 wav 28:17 29:1 30:2.10 Z 27:6 52:8 two-year 9:22 30:11 52:17 theme 11:16 type 51:20 we'll 21:13 24:1 30:15 **Zoom** 4:6,7,10 22:22 **themes** 8:9 types 38:12 39:5 30:19 34:10 35:17,22 52:13 thing 35:1 49:15 53:2 35:22 39:11,15,19 **Zornic** 40:2 41:3 U **things** 30:9 43:14,16 40:1,5 45:11 49:21 0 44:17 51:9 **UN** 46:7 53:15,19,21,22 think 36:19 37:13,21 unchanged 7:2 we're 7:10,14 9:1 10:17 1 38:12,15 43:14 44:6 undermine 45:3 11:19 12:17 13:8,21 44:10 45:4 48:2,6,12 understand 13:1 31:12 19:18 22:3 23:22 17:7 48:19 49:17,18 50:16 47:1 50:9 24:19 31:10 35:9 **1:00** 1:12 51:2,7,9,10,14,17,21 understood 18:18 41:22 42:2 43:20 47:5 **1:04** 3:2 53:18 undertakes 26:15 53:18 **10** 39:16 thinks 50:14 **Unfortunately 28:11** we've 12:13 13:19 **101** 37:18 15:19 16:7,15,17 third 41:20 unhelpful 50:15 **102** 38:18 threaten 18:12 **unique** 12:18 17:21 20:8 23:6 54:9 **107(g)** 50:11 three 7:15 8:12 46:18 UNITED 1:1 weapons 27:14 **11** 39:20 52:22 threshold 10:19 11:9 unmute 42:18 46:12,15 website 21:12 42:11 **12** 40:2 throw 51:10 **update** 15:12 Welch 2:7 36:7,8,10,11 **13** 40:6 tie 17:18 **updates** 20:14 welcome 42:19 46:13 **14** 40:8 time 3:12 6:5 22:16,19 **uranium** 43:18 went 7:2 51:21 54:4 **14096** 16:19 23:22 26:20 33:9 34:6 urge 33:7 55:8 **15** 29:14 White 1:13,15,16,17,18 35:15 46:17 49:18 **Urvishkumar** 36:2 41:1 **1500** 14:6 50:3 52:8 **USA** 42:12 1:19,20,21 3:9 40:6 **1501.3** 10:16 18:14 timelines 34:1 use 9:8 10:13 16:5 41:4 **1970** 6:14 45:14 timing 24:20 25:7 **who've** 54:7 **1978** 7:2.5 11:8 useful 48:13 Wilberforce 39:20 41:3 today 3:12,21 5:14 7:10 7:15 9:1 31:10,11 wind 24:16 2 ٧ 43:11 **winter** 31:12 **2** 7:11 24:4 50:5

2·07 5/·/
2:07 54:4
2:12 53:19,22 54:5
2:13 55:8
20 31:13 48:15
2010 20:19
2020 7:3,4,9 11:9
2020 7.5,4,9 11.9
2022 7:6
2023 1:10 6:15 21:9
2023-0003 21:11
26 1:10
29th 21:9
231121.3
3
3 27:8
30 50:2
31st 7:9
35 42:4
3rd 6:15
4
4 30:15
40 7:3 28:11 29:7
10 1.0 20.11 20.1
5
5 34:8
3 34.0
6
6 35:17
60-day 21:7
•
7
7 36:2
8
8 36:7
9
9 39:11
00.00
99.9 29:20

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting of the Council

on Environmental Quality

Before: EOP

Date: 08-26-23

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &